

Federal Railroad Administration Office of Railroad Safety Accident and Analysis Branch

Accident Investigation Report HQ-2019-1350

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) Derailment Caliente, Nevada July 10, 2019

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report, including this one, made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

SYNOPSIS

On Wednesday, July 10, 2019, at 5:22 a.m., PDT, a westbound Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) freight train, MNPWCB-08 (Train 1), traveling at a recorded speed of 35 mph, derailed 32 loaded bi-level autorack cars. The derailment occurred at Milepost (MP) 432.8 on the UP's Caliente Subdivision, Rocky Mountain Service Unit, 27 miles south of Caliente, Nevada. Caliente is located approximately 150 miles northeast of Las Vegas, Nevada. The train consisted of 5 locomotives, 3 on the head end and 2 cut-in mid-train as the Distributed Power Units (DPU), with 179 loads and 3 empties. Train 1 had 20,572 trailing tons and was 12,927 feet long.

No injuries or release of hazardous material occurred. The estimated monetary damage to mechanical equipment was \$2,801,390, and the damage to track and structures was \$203,764.

Weather at the time of the derailment was pre-dawn, clear skies and a temperature of 75° F.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) investigation determined the probable cause of the accident was H504 -- buffing or slack action excessive, train make-up, the descending grade and track curvature.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration	FRA FAC	TUAL RAILI	PORT F	FRA File # HQ-2019-1350								
TRAIN SUMMARY												
1. Name of Railroad Opera	1a.	1a. Alphabetic Code			1b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.							
Union Pacific Railroad Con	UP	UP			0719RM012							
GENERAL INFORMATION												
1. Name of Railroad or Other	Entity Responsib	le for Track Mainte		1a. Alphabetic Code			1b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.					
Union Pacific Railroad Co	mpany			UP			0719RM012					
2. U.S. DOT Grade Crossing I		3. Date o	of Accid	lent/Inciden	ent 4. Time of Accident/Incident							
				7/10/20	19		5:22 AM					
5. Type of Accident/Incident Derailment												
6. Cars Carrying HAZMAT 0	Zarrying MAT7. HAZMAT Cars Damaged/Derailed8. 0							9. People Evacuated 0				
10. Subdivision UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY - CALIENTE												
11. Nearest City/Town	nth) 13. State Abbr. 14. Cour			14. Count	nty							
CALIENTE	432.8			NV L			LINCOLN					
15. Temperature (F)	6. Visibility	er	r 18. Typ			e of Track						
75 °F	Dawn		Main									
19. Track Name/Number	. Track Name/Number 20. FRA Track Class						21. Annua	ual Track Density 22. Time Table Direction				
SINGLE MAIN TRACK	Freight Trains-40	er Tra	ains-60 $(gross)$ 41.4			ons in millions)	West					
23. PTC Preventable		24. Primary Cause C			25. Co	ntributing (Cause Code(s)					
No		[H504] Buffing o	or slack ac	tion e	excessi							

U.S. Department of Transpor Federal Railroad Administra	CTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT							FRA File # HQ-2019-1350										
OPERATING TRAIN #1																		
1. Type of Equipment Consist:										2. Was Equipment Attended					3. Train Number/Symbol			
Freight Train										Yes MNPWCB						08		
4. Speed (recorded spe if available)	ed,	Code 5. Trailing Tons (gross excluding power units)					6a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive? 0 = Not a remotely controlled operation 1 = Remote control portable transmitter									Code		
R - Recorded E - Estimated 35.0	MPH	R	R 20572 2 = Rem 3 = Rem						ontrol tower operation ontrol portable transmitter - more than one remote control transmitter									
6. Type of Territory																		
Signalization:																		
Signaled																		
Method of Operation/Authority for Movement:																		
Signal Indication																		
Supplemental/Adjune	ct Codes	:																
<u>J, Q</u>																		
7. Principal Car/Unit	a. Initi	al and N	Number b. Position in Train			c. I	Loaded (yes	/no)	8. If rail	road employ	ted for	Alcoho	Alcohol I					
(1) First Involved (derailed, struck, etc.)	TTG	X 9407	788	47		yes			number that were p appropriate box			positive in the			0			
(2) Causing (if mechanical, cause reported)	TTG	X 9407	788	47		yes			9. Was this consist transportin			ng passeng	gers?		No			
10. Locomotive Units	a. Head	M	id Traiı	rain Rear Er			nd 11. Cars		ENGL		Load	led	Em	pty				
(Exclude EMU, DML and Cab	End	b.	b c d			e. [Include		EMU, nd Cab		a. b.		c.	. d.		e.			
Car Locomotives.)		Manu	al Ro	emote	Manual	Rei	mote Car Lo		omotives.)		Freight	Pass.	Freight	Pass.	Ca	aboose		
(1) Total in Train	3	0		2	0	()	(1) Total Consist	in Equipment 179		0	3	0		0			
(2) Total Derailed	0	0		0	0	()	(2) Total	Derailed 32		0	0	0	0				
12. Equipment Damage This Consist 13. Track, Signal, Way & Structure Damage 2801390 203764																		
Number of Crew Members							Length of Time on Duty											
14. Engineers/Operators 15. Firemen				16. Conductors			17. Brakemen		18. Engineer/Operator				19. Conductor					
1		0		1		0		Hrs:	Hrs: 6 ^{Mins:}			Hrs:	6	Mins:	27			
Casualties to:	20. Ra Emplo	0. Railroad Employees		21. Train Passengers		22. Others 2		23. I	23. EOT Device? Yes			24. Was EOT Device Proper			erly Armed? Yes			
Fatal		0		0		0		25.0	Caboose (Occupied by	Crew?				N/A			
Nonfatal		0 0				0												
26. Latitude 37.300659000				27. Longitude -114.467364000														



NARRATIVE

For clarity and uniformity in this report, directions will be given per timetable directions for east and west and times will be given in Pacific Daylight Time (PDT) unless otherwise indicated.

CIRCUMSTANCES PRIOR TO THE ACCIDENT

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) westbound freight train MNPWCB-08 (Train 1) was a mixed freight Key Train with three head end locomotives, two mid-train distributed power (DP) locomotives placed between lines 119 and 120, with 179 loaded cars and 3 empties for a total train length of 12,927 feet and 20,572 trailing tons. It departed North Platte, Nebraska, on July 8, 2019, after receiving a class I brake test and pre-departure mechanical inspection by qualified mechanical inspectors. It was PTC-enabled and equipped with Trip Optimizer.

The crew of the Train 1, a locomotive engineer and conductor, went on duty at 10:55 p.m., MDT, Tuesday, July 9, 2019, at Milford, Utah. This was the crew's home terminal and both had received their statutory required off-duty time. Upon arrival into Milford, the inbound crew performed the required Class 1A brake test. At the time of the crew swap, the outbound crew assisted with the release portion of the air test prior to relieving the inbound crew.

The derailment occurred at Milepost (MP) 432.8, on the UP Caliente Subdivision, Rocky Mountain Service Unit between stations Kyle and Leith, a remote canyon area approximately 27 miles south of the town of Caliente, Nevada. This location is a canyon wash with nearly 4,400 feet of elevation drop between Crestline (Milepost (MP) 497.6) and Moapa (MP 384.3). The maximum authorized speed for freight trains on the single main track in this area of the Caliente Subdivision is 35 mph, as prescribed by Caliente Subdivision Timetable No. 5, dated December 7, 2015. Timetable direction is west, and will be used throughout this report.

Train 1 departed Milford at approximately 12:55 a.m., MDT, July 10, 2019. The crew of Train 1 reported experiencing no issues prior to the derailment.

Weather at the time of the derailment was pre-dawn, clear skies and a temperature of 75° F.

THE ACCIDENT

At approximately 5:22 a.m., PDT, the train was traveling at 35 mph in full dynamic brake on a 1.5-percent descending grade while traversing nine left- and right-hand curves ranging from 4 degrees to 8 degrees between MP 436 and the POD at MP 432.8 when an undesired emergency (UDE) brake application occurred that brought the train to a stop. The crew stated they did not experience run-in due to slack action and that led the crew to believe they probably had an air hose separation that caused the undesired emergency brake application. The conductor performed a walking inspection of Train 1 and secured the hand brakes, which is the procedure following an emergency application on this grade of track. While performing the walking inspection, the crew received a radio transmission from a signal maintainer in the area who told the crew they had cars derailed in their train. The engineer informed the dispatcher of the derailment, and a further inspection revealed 32 loaded bi-level autorack rail cars, lines 40 to 71 from the head end, had derailed.

No injuries or release of hazardous material occurred. The estimated monetary damage to mechanical equipment was \$2,801,390, and the damage to track and structures was \$203,764.

POST-ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION

On July 11, 2019, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) began an investigation of this accident. After the on-site inspection and investigation, FRA investigators requested all necessary event recorder downloads, dispatcher audio files, records, forms, and other documentation necessary to conduct the final analysis and conclusion concerning the facts of the accident.

The following analysis and conclusions represent the findings of the FRA investigation.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

<u>Analysis -- Operating Practices/Train Handling:</u> A review of crewmember records revealed no exceptions with their training, testing, certification, or hours of service records.

An interview with the crew confirmed Train 1 was Positive Train Control (PTC) and Trip Optimizer (TO) equipped; however, due to the train length and undulating terrain, the TO could not maintain speed control and was disengaged. PTC was engaged at the time of the accident and operating as intended. A review of locomotive event recorder downloads and track profile revealed the train was running with the Distributed Power Unit (DPU) in synchronous mode with the lead consist and the engineer was using a balance of dynamic brakes and air brakes to control the speed. At the time of the derailment, the train was traveling at 35 mph, and in full dynamic brake on a 1.5-percent descending grade, while traversing a series of 9 left- and right-hand curves.

The use of balanced braking results in the Engineer cycling between dynamic brakes and air brakes and can increase the buffing forces within a train. This method is necessary; however, due to the train's length and the undulating terrain, the same conditions prevented the TO from remaining engaged. FRA's investigation concluded the train handling was compliant with all carrier train-handling rules and Federal regulations and, although buffing forces were generated during train handling, it was the amplification of these in-train forces due to train make-up which led to the wheel lift that derailed the first involved car, TTGX 940788.

<u>Conclusion:</u> FRA concluded that crew training, qualifications, or train handling did not contribute to the cause or severity of the derailment.

<u>Analysis - Track:</u> The track through the accident area consisted of Continuous Welded Rail (CWR) on concrete ties with a Pandrol "Safelok-I" driven clip fastening system. The rails on the curved track were 141-pound, HH VT Nippon rail. The high-side rail was manufactured in April 2007 and the low side rail was manufactured in March 2005. No evidence existed of missing or defective fasteners. No excessive rail seat abrasion was found. Average tie spacing was 24 inches between tie centers. The track ballast is crushed granite. The tie cribs appeared to be full with an average of 18 to 24 inches of shoulder ballast.

A post-accident track inspection was conducted and no defects were noted. This segment of track is inspected twice weekly by UP track inspectors and after a review of the railroad's track inspection records no defective conditions were identified in the area of the derailment.

Conclusion - Track: FRA determined track conditions did not contribute to the cause or severity of the derailment.

<u>Analysis – Signal and Train Control:</u> FRA reviewed logs and records of the three Hot Box detectors traversed by the train, UP signal trouble logs and signal test records from C434 West Kyle to C430 East Leith, and UP's Computer Aided Dispatching (CAD) logs from West Kyle to East Leith for a 24-hour period prior to derailment. No conditions were found that would have affected the operation of Train 1. <u>Conclusion:</u> FRA concluded signal and train control did not contribute to the cause or severity of the derailment.

<u>Analysis – Mechanical (Locomotives):</u> UP Train MNPWCB-08 consisted of three head-end locomotives and two mid-train distributed power locomotives located between cars at lines 119 and 120.

FRA reviewed UP mechanical records for the locomotives and no mechanical or maintenance defects were noted.

<u>Conclusion:</u> FRA determined the mechanical condition of the locomotives did not contribute to the cause or severity of the derailment.

<u>Analysis – Mechanical (Cars)</u>: UP Train MNPWCB-08 originated at North Platte, Nebraska, with 182 cars on July 8, 2019. FRA's records review indicate Train 1 received a Class I Brake Test and mechanical inspection by qualified mechanical department inspectors at North Platte on July 8, 2019 at 12:10 a.m., CDT, with no defective cars noted.

Train 1 received a subsequent Class 1A air brake test performed by the train crew at Milford, Utah, during a crew change prior to departing Milford on July 10, 2019 at 12:55 a.m., MDT, with no defects recorded. A review of the documentation and records found no indications of defective equipment in Train 1 prior to the derailment.

<u>Conclusion:</u> FRA determined the mechanical condition of the cars did not contribute to the cause or severity of the derailment.

<u>Analysis- Train Make-up:</u> Train 1 consisted of 182 cars of mixed freight in the following breakout: 3 head end locomotives, 119 loaded cars -- primarily tank and autorack-type cars -- of which 47 were cushioned drawbar equipped, 2 DPUs, and 63 cars of mixed freight with 11 cars cushioned drawbar-equipped. The train was in compliance with current UP train make-up rules at the time of the derailment.

FRA's investigation of the train make-up centered on the 119 cars between the lead locomotive consist and the DPU locomotives, which significantly impacted the weight distribution and accumulative free travel within the train.

Autorack cars are equipped with cushioned drawbars. The draft gear travel on these drawbars is 10 inches with an additional 2 to 3 inches in component wear. Using 23 inches of travel as an average per cushioned equipped car, a block of 43 cars can have up to 82 feet of free travel within that block of cars. Additionally, the autorack cars were much lighter than the cars ahead of and behind them which created a distributional imbalance in the overall mass of these 119 cars, as follows: behind the lead locomotive was a block of 33 cars with an average car weight of 124.9 tons per car, followed by the 43 autorack cars with an average car weight of 124.9 tons per car, followed by the 43 autorack cars with an average car weight of 43 cars with an average weight of 134.4 tons per car followed by the distributed power locomotives.

The event recorder shows just prior to the derailment the engineer was in full dynamic brake, the head end locomotives were retarding the train speed from the head end and the lighter block of autorack cars were being squeezed between the heavier blocks of cars ahead and behind it, which created lift. Once the compression of the lighter block of cars reached a point where the lift exceeded the weight of the cars, a wheel on car TTGX 940788 was lifted from its running path in a curve that resulted in the derailment.

Event recorder data shows the following timeline of the brake cycling prior to the derailment:

At 5:19:27 a.m., Train 1 was traveling at 35 mph with full dynamic brakes applied. The Engineer made a minimum air brake set to slow the train.

At 5:19:47 a.m., Train 1 begins to slow with the addition of the air brakes and the Engineer begins reducing the dynamic brakes.

At 5:21:22 a.m., Train 1's speed is 32 mph and slowing with the air brake set and the dynamic brakes at a minimum. At this point, all the cars would be bunched together in the train. To prevent further slowing of the train, the Engineer released the air brakes.

At 5:21:30 a.m., Train 1's speed is 31 mph; however, the brake pipe pressure at the head end of the train is 89 psi that fully released the head end brakes but the brake pipe pressure at the rear end of the train is 81 psi which prevented the brakes from releasing toward the rear of the train. This allowed the free travel within the cushioned drawbar-equipped autorack cars near the head end of the train to stretch out on the descending grade.

At 5:22:06 a.m., the brakes are fully released throughout the train and the speed is 33 mph; the Engineer is approaching full dynamic brakes on the locomotives to arrest the increasing speed of the train.

At 5:22:24 a.m., Train 1's speed is 35 mph and the engineer is in full dynamic brakes.

At 5:22:35 a.m., Train 1 experienced an undesired emergency brake application resulting from a car derailing in the train. The slowing of the train with the dynamic brakes induced buff forces in the train. These buff forces were amplified due to the cushioned drawbar-equipped autorack cars that had stretched out during the cycle between the use of air brakes and dynamic brakes. As the dynamic brakes retarded the speed of the train from the head end, the cars began bunching up with the block of lighter weight auto-rack cars squeezed between the heavier tonnage of the cars behind them. This action created enough wheel lift on car TTGX 940788 that the wheel flange climbed the rail in a curve and derailed the train.

<u>Conclusion:</u> FRA determined the train make-up was the probable cause of the derailment. (Cause code H504)

<u>Analysis- Toxicological Testing</u>: The accident met the criteria for Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 219, Subpart C Post Accident Toxicological Testing. The Engineer and Conductor were both tested under FRA guidelines for the use of alcohol and drugs with negative results.

Conclusion: FRA determined drug and alcohol use did not contribute to the cause or severity of the derailment.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

The derailment was caused by in-train buffing forces due to the placement of a block of 43 autorack cars with significant trailing tonnage behind their block. These forces were amplified during braking on this terrain of descending grades and multiple curves.

Following the accident, UP modified its System Special Instruction train placement restrictions for autoracks/flat cars 90 feet or longer and weighing 80 tons or less. The current change now requires autoracks/flat cars 90 feet or longer and weighing 80 tons or less, when combined with other equipment, continuous blocks of 20 or more autoracks/flat cars are to be placed within the rear 5,500 trailing tons of the train. Previously, the only restriction on the number of autoracks in a train restricted the train length to 80 cars if there were more than 60 autorack cars.

PROBABLE CAUSE AND CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

The FRA investigation determined the probable cause of the accident was H504 -- buffing or slack action excessive, train make-up, the descending grade and track curvature. No contributing factors were identified.