

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration

ICE/U.S. DEMONSTRATION VEHICLE DYNAMICS TESTS TEST REPORT

PB95-193041

Office of Research and Development Washington D.C. 20590

DOT/FRA/ORD-94/25

June 1994 Final Report This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

NOTICE

In numerous places, this report discusses whether various aspects of the technology that is the subject of this report comply with Federal safety laws and regulations. Those discussions, which reflect the seasoned judgment of commentators qualified in their fields, do not constitute rulings by the Federal Railroad Administration's Office of Safety or its Office of Chief Counsel concerning compliance with the law.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data completion and completion and completion of information. Send complete response, including the collection of information.									
Public reporting burden for this collection existing data sources, gathering and main burden estimate or any other aspect of Directorate for Information Operations and and Burdnet, Paperwork Reduction Projections	n of information is estimated to average inteining the data needed, and completin this collection of information, including s and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highw at (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503	1 hour per response, including 19 and reviewing the collection 10 uggestions for reducing this bu vey, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 3	the time for reviewing instructions, searching of information. Send comments regarding this irden, to Washington Headquarters Services, 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management						
	2. REPORT DATE	3.	REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED						
PB95-193041	June 1994		Test Report June 1993 - October 1993						
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE SAFETY OF HIGH SPEED GROUND ICE U.S. DEMONSTRATION VEH) TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS - ICLE DYNAMICS TESTS, TEST REPC	IRT	5. FUNDING NUMBERS DTFR53-90-C-00025						
6. AUTHOR(S) Brian T. Whitten/ENSCO Dale Stout/ENSCO			-						
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAU ENSCO, Inc. Applied Technology and Eng 5400 Port Royal Road	WE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ENSCO-DOT-FR-94-03						
Springfield, VA 22151		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEN U.S. Department of Transpor Federal Railroad Administra Office of Research and Deve Washington, DC 20590	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) tation ation elopment		10. SPONSORING/HONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER DOT/FRA/ORD-94/25						
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE This document is available to the public through the National Technical 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE									
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo		<u> </u>							
This test report documents the	ras)								
trainset in the U.S. during Ju assess the suitability of the Amtrak's Northeast Corridor un	ros) procedures, events, and result ly 1993. These tests, sponso ICE trainset for safe operatinder rder existing track conditions	Its of vehicle dynamic red by Amtrak and suppo on at elevated speeds a in a revenue service of	tests carried out on the German ICE orted by the FRA, were conducted to and modest cant deficiencies in lemonstration.						
Trainset in the U.S. during Ju assess the suitability of the Amtrak's Northeast Corridor un The report describes the safe instrumentation used, the test Amtrak lines between Washingto 162 mph and average cant defic the results is discussed. Cont	ros) e procedures, events, and resulty 1993. These tests, sponso ICE trainset for safe operating reder existing track conditions ty criteria used to evaluate to tocations, and the track con on DC and New York NY, and bet ciencies of 7 inches were reac clusions and recommendations a	ults of vehicle dynamic ored by Amtrak and support on at elevated speeds a in a revenue service of the performance of the l ditions. Results are p ween Philadelphia and b thed in a safe and contr ore presented.	tests carried out on the German IC orted by the FRA, were conducted to and modest cant deficiencies in demonstration. ICE/U.S. test train, the presented from tests conducted on farrisburg, PA, in which speeds of rolled manner. The significance of						
Trainset in the U.S. during Ju assess the suitability of the Amtrak's Northeast Corridor un The report describes the safe instrumentation used, the tes Amtrak lines between Washingt 162 mph and average cant defin the results is discussed. Con	ros) e procedures, events, and resu uly 1993. These tests, sponso ICE trainset for safe operati inder existing track conditions ty criteria used to evaluate t t locations, and the track cor on DC and New York NY, and bet ciencies of 7 inches were read clusions and recommendations a	ults of vehicle dynamic ored by Amtrak and support on at elevated speeds a in a revenue service of the performance of the 1 ditions. Results are p ween Philadelphia and 1 thed in a safe and contr ore presented.	tests carried out on the German IC orted by the FRA, were conducted to and modest cant deficiencies in demonstration. ICE/U.S. test train, the oresented from tests conducted on Harrisburg, PA, in which speeds of rolled manner. The significance of						
Trainset in the U.S. during Ju assess the suitability of the Amtrak's Northeast Corridor u The report describes the safe instrumentation used, the tes Amtrak lines between Washingto 162 mph and average cant defin the results is discussed. Cont 14. SUBJECT TERMS	ros) e procedures, events, and resuly 1993. These tests, sponse ICE trainset for safe operatinder existing track conditions ty criteria used to evaluate to t locations, and the track cor on DC and New York NY, and bet ciencies of 7 inches were read clusions and recommendations a	ults of vehicle dynamic ored by Amtrak and suppo- ion at elevated speeds a in a revenue service of the performance of the 1 ditions. Results are p ween Philadelphia and 1 shed in a safe and contra re presented.	tests carried out on the German IG orted by the FRA, were conducted to and modest cant deficiencies in demonstration. ICE/U.S. test train, the presented from tests conducted on Harrisburg, PA, in which speeds of rolled manner. The significance of						
 Trainset in the U.S. during Juassess the suitability of the Amtrak's Northeast Corridor un The report describes the saferinstrumentation used, the tess Amtrak lines between Washington 162 mph and average cant define the results is discussed. Constant of the results is discussed. Constant of the results are discussed. Constant of the Subject TERMS High-Speed Rail, Cant Deficurving, Vehicle Dynamics. 	ros) e procedures, events, and resu uly 1993. These tests, sponso ICE trainset for safe operati inder existing track conditions ty criteria used to evaluate t t locations, and the track cor on DC and New York NY, and bet ciencies of 7 inches were read clusions and recommendations a dusions and recommendations a	Lateral Acceleration,	tests carried out on the German IC orted by the FRA, were conducted to and modest cant deficiencies in demonstration. ICE/U.S. test train, the presented from tests conducted on Harrisburg, PA, in which speeds of rolled manner. The significance of 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 89 16. PRICE CODE						
 11. SUBJECT TERMS High-Speed Rail, Cant Def Curving, Vehicle Dynamics, 	ros) e procedures, events, and resu uly 1993. These tests, sponso ICE trainset for safe operati inder existing track conditions ty criteria used to evaluate t t locations, and the track cor on DC and New York NY, and bet ciencies of 7 inches were read clusions and recommendations a clusions and recommendations a statement of the second train, Safety Regulations, Ground T	Lateral Acceleration, ransportation	tests carried out on the German ICd orted by the FRA, were conducted to and modest cant deficiencies in demonstration. ICE/U.S. test train, the bresented from tests conducted on Harrisburg, PA, in which speeds of rolled manner. The significance of 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 89 16. PRICE CODE						
 11. SUBJECT TERMS High-Speed Rail, Cant Defi Curving, Vehicle Dynamics 14. SUBJECT TERMS High-Speed Rail, Cant Defi Curving, Vehicle Dynamics 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified 	ros) e procedures, events, and resu- uly 1993. These tests, sponso ICE trainset for safe operati- inder existing track conditions ty criteria used to evaluate t t locations, and the track cor on DC and New York NY, and bet ciencies of 7 inches were read clusions and recommendations a iciency, Safety, German Train, Safety Regulations, Ground T 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	Lateral Acceleration, ransportation	tests carried out on the German ICI orted by the FRA, were conducted to and modest cant deficiencies in demonstration. ICE/U.S. test train, the bresented from tests conducted on Harrisburg, PA, in which speeds of rolled manner. The significance of 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 89 16. PRICE CODE CATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified						

٠

.

-

÷

	Approximate Co	onversions to M	letric Measures		م المالية المالية	23		Approximate Co	nversions from	n Metric Measures	
mbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol	∞ 	20 21 20 20	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by LENGTH	To Find	Symbol
	1	LENGTH	1				Ē	millimeters centimeters	0.04 0.4	inches inches	2. 2.
۲. ۲. ۲. ۴	inches feet yards miles	*2. 30.5 1.6	centimeters centimeters meters kilometers	55 E 2			EE£	meters maters kilometers	3.3 1.1 0.6	feet Vards miles	₽₹₽
		AREA				16	¢.	1	AREA		۲
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	square inches square feet square yards square miles acres	6.5 0.09 2.6 0.4	square cantimeters square meters square meters square kilometers hectares	ar the second	, s , s , s , s , s , s , s , s , s , s	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	cm² km² ha	square meters square meters square kilometers hectares (10,000 m ²)	2.5	square incnes square yards square miles acres	yd ² Yd ² in
	2	ASS (weight)			, 	12		-	MASS (weight)	_1	
۲o	ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb)	28 0.45 0.9 VOLUME	grams kilograms tonnes	°5 × 5			5 × 5	grams kilograms tonnes (1000 kg)	0.035 2.2 1.1 VOLUME	ounces pounds short tons	5 2
bsp bsp et t	teaspoons tablespoons fluid ounces cups pints quarts quarts	15 30 0.24 3.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2	milliiters milliiters milliiters liters liters liters	ĒĒĒ			1333 <u>– – 1</u>	milliliters liters liters liters cubic meters cubic meters	0.03 2.1 0.26 36 1.3	fluid ounces pints quarts gallons cubic feet cubic yards	1 02 ዋt ቤal Yd ³
i 12 50 y	cubic yards	0.76 0.76 ERATURE (ex	cubic meters cubic meters (act)	- Ê Ê Û	2	3 4 5	သိ	TEMP Celsius temperature	ERATURE (e. 9/5 (then add 32)	xact) Fahrenheit temperature	Цo
r n - 2,1 15 Misc	rantennent temperature 54 cm (exectly). For ot Publ. 286. Units of We	5/9 (arter subtracting 32) ther exect convers light and Messure!	Celsius temperature iions and more detail t a. Price \$2.25 SD Cata	ables see				°F −40 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	32 140 20 20	98.6 120 141111110 40 60 80	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
o. C13 1	0 286.	•		ı	inches	ŝ		ŝ	0	37	S

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

PREFACE

Several advanced intercity high-speed train technologies have become operating reality overseas in recent years. Though of foreign origin, these new trains have potential for immediate application in the United States to lessen trip times and improve ridership. Each high-speed train has been developed to meet the particular operating environment and in accordance with the parent country's transportation policy. Therefore a candidate train must be evaluated with regard to applicability to U.S. practices and expectations to ensure that safety levels are maintained in the U.S. environment. The responsibility for such evaluation rests with the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), U.S. Department of Transportation (U.S. DOT), which is charged with ensuring the safety of rail systems in the United States under the Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970, as amended.

The German ICE train, manufactured by a consortium led by Siemens AG, offers potential for application over the existing rail infrastructure. For evaluation purposes, a representative ICE trainset was provided to Amtrak by Siemens AG and the Deutsche Bundesbahn (DB) for test and revenue service demonstration in the U.S. Northeast Corridor. A cooperative test effort was conducted under the direction of Amtrak and supported by the FRA Office of Research and Development, with test instrumentation supplied and operated by DB, data analysis support provided by DB and ABB Henschel, and test monitoring maintained by the FRA Office of Safety. Based on the results of the performance testing, the trainset was entered into a revenue service demonstration.

This report summarizes the procedures and results of the vehicle dynamics tests carried out with the ICE trainset in the Northeast Corridor and on the Philadelphia - Harrisburg line, during the month of July, 1993. Instrumented wheelsets, installed on the coach car directly adjacent to a power car, provided direct and immediate measurement of the wheel/rail forces experienced during high speed and moderate cant deficiency operation. In order to attain maximum speeds in tangent and curved track, the tests were conducted incrementally, with analysis of forces and accelerations evaluated against safety criteria during and at the conclusion of each test run before proceeding to the next stage. The principal results and conclusions from these test are discussed.

The authors wish to thank Arne Bang and Thomas Tsai of the FRA Office of Research and Development, for their direction and support in realizing the test and demonstration. Valuable information during the test program and in the preparation of this document was provided by Amtrak, under the direction of Ed Lombardi, by Al Shaw, Michael Trosino and Conrad Ruppert.

The authors also wish to thank AI MacDowell and William O'Sullivan of the FRA Office of Safety, and Herbert Weinstock of the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center for their careful monitoring and judgement in the progression of all tests.

In the conduct of tests, the personnel of Siemens, led by Josef Fischer and Jürgen Prem, and the personnel of DB, lead by Petr Dolezel are gratefully acknowledged for their careful preparation, proficient data collection and presentation.

ICE/U.S. DEMONSTRATION VEHICLE DYNAMICS TESTS TEST REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

<u>Sectio</u>	<u>on</u>		<u>Page</u>
PREFA	ACE		iii
1.	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	BackgroundTest and Demonstration ObjectivesTest Program SummaryTest Report Objectives and Organization	1 2 2 4
2.	TEST	PREPARATION	5
	2.1 2.2	Waiver Process	5 6
		 2.2.1 Electrical and Control System 2.2.2 Power Car Front Coupler 2.2.3 Wheel Profile 2.2.4 Instrumented Wheelsets 2.2.5 Suspension Modifications 	6 6 7 7
	2.3 2.4 2.5	Shipping and UnloadingTrain ConfigurationCommissioning Tests	7 8 9
		2.5.1 Braking	9 11
3.	SAFE	TY REQUIREMENTS	13
	3.1 3.2	Safety Criteria	13 14
4.	VEHIC	CLE PERFORMANCE TEST PROCEDURES	15
	4.1 4.2	Instrumentation	15 16

TABLE OF CONTENTS (con't)

<u>Sections</u>	on		Page
	4.3	Test Region and Test Zones	16
		4.3.1 NEC, New Brunswick - Metro Park NJ4.3.2 NEC, Trenton - New Brunswick NJ4.3.3 Philadelphia - Harrisburg PA4.3.4 NEC, Washington DC - New York NY	17 18 19 20
	4.4 4.5 4.6	Test SequenceHigh Speed Brake TestsCarbody Acceleration and Ride Quality Tests	20 20 20
5.	DYNA	MIC TEST RESULTS	23
	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Maximum Speed and Maximum Unbalance RecordedCant Deficiency Test RunsHigh Speed Stability Test RunsPre-Revenue Service Demonstration Runs	23 23 34 37
6.	DISC	USSION OF RESULTS	41
	6.1 6.2 6.3	Test Highlights and Significant EventsHigh Speed TestsCant Deficiency Tests	41 42 43
7.	RECO	MMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	45
	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Recommendation for Operation at 6" of Cant Deficiency Recommended Conditions for 6" Cant Deficiency Operation Recommendation for 135 mph Maximum Operation Speed Conclusions	45 46 47 48
APPE		A Instrumentation Details	A-1
APPE		3 Track Geometry Data	B-1

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u> </u>	<u>Page</u>
2.1	Summary of ICE Braking Tests	10
4.1	ICE Test Runs in Chronological Order	1,22
5.1	Peak Values of Safety Parameters from All Cant Deficiency and High Speed Test Runs	24
5.2	Summary of Peak Values from Cant Deficiency and High Speed Test Runs	27
5.3	Peak Values of Safety Parameters, Pre-Revenue Service Demonstration Runs; Exceptions, Speeds > 110 mph	39

-

÷

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
2.1	ICE Stopping Distances, Air Disk Brakes Only	11
4.1 4.2	Transducer Configuration, ICE Tests U.S	15 17
5.1 5.2	Peak Minimum Vertical Wheel Forces During Cant Deficiency Runs Peak Net Axle Lateral Forces During Cant Deficiency Runs	29 29
5.3 5.4 5.5	Peak Values of Wheel L/V During Cant Deficiency Runs Peak Values of Truck Side L/V During Cant Deficiency Runs	30 30 31
5.6 5.7	Net Axle Lateral Force, Instrumented Wheelset 1, in Curve 266 Wheel L/V Ratios, Left Wheel, Instrumented Wheelset 1, in Curve 266 .	32 33
5.8 5.9	Truck Side L/V Ratio, Left Side, Instrumented Truck 3, in Curve 266 Peak Minimum Vertical Wheel Forces During High Speed Runs	33 34
5.10 5.11	Peak Net Axle Lateral Forces During High Speed Runs	35 35
5.12 5.13	Peak Values of Truck Side L/V During High Speed Runs Truck Side L/V Ratio (Peak) versus Speed, Truck 3, In Curve 276	36 36

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) is evaluating the technological advances made in railroad passenger transportation in Europe for their application in the United States. Under the Federal Railway Safety Act of 1970, it is the responsibility of the FRA to assure the safety of rail systems in the U.S. The German Intercity Express (ICE) is one of several high speed integral trainsets presently operating in Europe. A series of reports, including "Safety Relevant Observations on the ICE High Speed Train,"¹ were prepared based upon brief visits and literature reviews sponsored by the FRA, which provided a brief description of high speed systems being considered for use on new passenger service lines by regional transportation authorities.

Concurrently, Amtrak has been searching for new passenger equipment to replace its aging fleet for its existing passenger routes and to satisfy the increasing demand of the United States public for modern high speed ground transportation. Amtrak is particularly interested in the possibility of operating passenger trains at higher speeds than are presently permitted. The fastest existing Amtrak train, the Metroliner, is presently limited to a line speed of 125 mph on the Northeast Corridor (NEC).

The German ICE, manufactured by a consortium led by Siemens AG, is designed for speeds in excess of 170 mph. Amtrak wished to explore the potential of the ICE concept in the United States and, accordingly, one ICE trainset was made available for test and demonstration under American conditions. A cooperative effort was initiated with Siemens, Amtrak, and the Deutsche Bundesbahn (DB), the train operator in Germany, to help identify potential concerns of the FRA's Office of Safety in implementation of the procedures for the demonstration and to help identify technical data that can be applied to address these concerns.

The testing and demonstration of the ICE/U.S. trainset described in this report represents measures being taken by Amtrak to permit specification and acquisition of a modernized rail passenger car fleet. In the planning of this program, the approach to proving safety was to first conduct a test program which provided an estimate of the limits of safe performance under conditions that were more severe than those to be used in the demonstration. Based upon the test results, limits and procedures for the demonstration program, carrying paying passengers, were established. The test program using instrumented wheelsets and other instrumentation was conducted in carefully controlled increments. The test proceeded incrementally from known safe conditions to increasingly severe conditions. At each step, the data was carefully evaluated against established safety conditions and used as the basis for the next test condition.

¹ <u>Safety Relevant Observations on the ICE High Speed Train</u>, U.S. DOT, FRA OR&D Report Series " Moving America, New Directions, New Opportunities", July 1991.

1.2 TEST AND DEMONSTRATION OBJECTIVES

In order to evaluate the safety of the ICE trainset in operations on United States track typical of Amtrak operation, special testing was conducted to provide data to establish the range of safe operation, providing a basis for Amtrak to request a waiver from the FRA for conduct of their in-service evaluations.

The objective of this demonstration was to determine the suitability of the ICE/U.S. trainset for operation at elevated speeds and moderate cant deficiencies in Amtrak's Northeast Corridor. The primary objective of the test program was to determine that the ICE trainset was safe while running in the United States. The results of the technical tests were used to support Amtrak's request for FRA approval to run the ICE/U.S. in a revenue service demonstration.

1.3 TEST PROGRAM SUMMARY

The evaluation program for the ICE trainset involved a series of different technical tests followed by several pre-revenue service demonstration runs. Each test in sequence was dependent upon successful completion and analysis of the performance from previous tests. The general test sequence was as follows:

- 1) Commissioning to confirm operational readiness.
- 2) Cant Deficiency to establish safe curving limits.
- 3) High Speed Stability to establish maximum safe speed.
- 4) Pre-Revenue Service Demonstration Runs to demonstrate the safety of the intended revenue service operation.

Commissioning Tests in Northeast Corridor

The purpose of the commissioning tests was to confirm operational readiness, up to a speed of 125 mph, with particular interest in:

- 1- Propulsion systems
- 2- Safety equipment (i.e.- lights, horns, etc.)
- 3- Brake systems and stop distances
- 4- Cab signal system

Operational checkout was also performed for:

- Clearances and tight switch/curve negotiation
- Basic vehicle stability
- Electromagnetic Interference (EMI), including that during regenerative braking
- Pantograph uplift forces
- Acceleration/current draw and transformer in-rush current.

Dynamic Performance Test

A single round-trip test run was made from Philadelphia to Harrisburg, PA, at line speeds up to 90 mph and cant deficiencies to 3 inches, to assess the general performance and safety of the ICE/U.S. trainset on representative U.S. track.

Cant Deficiency Tests

Test runs from 3" up to 7" cant deficiency were made on the Northeast Corridor (NEC) between New Brunswick and Metro Park, NJ.

Additional test runs, at cant deficiencies of 4" and 5" were made on the Philadelphia -Harrisburg line between Parkesburg and Lancaster, PA.

High Speed Stability Tests

Tests of high speed stability were conducted on the NEC mainline east of Trenton, NJ, between MP 34 and MP 54. Tests were scheduled to a maximum speed of 160 mph. Stop distance checks, using air brakes only, were conducted during these runs at speeds of 130 mph to 160 mph.

Pre-Revenue Service Demonstration Runs - Round Trip Washington to New York City

A recommended revenue speed profile run between Washington, DC, and New York City was submitted by Amtrak and approved by the FRA. Following the tests described above, several round trips were made between Washington and New York City. The first test was undertaken at the proposed revenue service cant deficiency/speed profile, with speeds up to 135 mph and cant deficiencies to 5 inches. A second test run was made at a speed profile 5 mph faster in curves, not exceeding the 135 mph speed limit.

The instrumented wheelsets were removed from the trainset following these round trips and replaced with conventional wheelsets.

National Tour

The ICE/U.S. trainset was taken on a nationwide tour for evaluation, towed by conventional Amtrak diesel-electric locomotives, from 4 August until 24 September, 1993.

Revenue Service Operation

Following successful completion of the tests described above and a review of results, approval was given by the FRA for revenue service operation of the ICE/U.S. trainset at cant deficiencies up to 5 inches, and speeds up to 135 mph in selected tangent sections and curves located in the present 125 mph territory, provided that 5 inches

of cant deficiency was not exceeded. A final pre-revenue round-trip check run was made at Amtrak's intended speed profile, and the ICE/U.S. trainset was placed in service in the Northeast Corridor between Washington and New York City from 4 October, 1993 until 17 December, 1993.

1.4 TEST REPORT OBJECTIVES AND ORGANIZATION

The purpose of this test report is to document the process, procedures, events and results from the overall test program that were required to support Amtrak's request for FRA approval to safely demonstrate and operate the ICE/U.S. trainset in revenue service.

Preparations for the test, including the train modifications and configuration for the U.S. demonstration, shipping and unloading of the trainset, and the commissioning tests are given in **Section 2**.

The safety and stop test criteria established for the ICE/U.S. trainset test, together with pre-test dynamic analysis and predictions of safety assurance, are given in **Section 3**.

Vehicle performance tests, procedures and test locations, are described in **Section 4**, and results of test runs in the Northeast Corridor between Washington and New York City and on the Philadelphia - Harrisburg line are given in **Section 5**.

The significance of the results is discussed in Section 6, and recommendations and conclusions are presented in Section 7.

2. TEST PREPARATION

The test program was planned to meet the stated objectives and provide estimates of the limits of safe performance of the ICE trainset in the United States. Test preparations included: provision of sufficient information to enable Amtrak to petition the FRA for a waiver to test and demonstrate the ICE under conditions exceeding criteria currently permitted; essential modifications to the trainset for compatibility with Amtrak's operating environment in the U.S.; safe shipment of the trainset from Germany to the U.S.; and commissioning tests to initiate operation in the U.S.

2.1 WAIVER PROCESS

The ICE trainset employs different equipment and operating procedures than those customarily seen in the U.S. It was not practical, and in some cases, not possible to bring the trainset into full compliance with all the requirements of Section 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations. In addition, test and demonstration of the equipment was requested at speeds and cant deficiencies greater than are presently permitted within the Code. As a result, a waiver of some requirements by the FRA was necessary before the train could be operated for test and demonstration purposes in the U.S.

Amtrak petitioned the FRA for the necessary waiver in March and April, 1993. Based on the text of the petition, the FRA published a notice in the Federal Register² which provided information regarding the receipt of the petition, its content, and an explanation of how the FRA proposed to ensure safety during the tests and demonstration. Accounting for comments received, the FRA prepared a brief for consideration by the FRA Safety Board. The brief provided complete details of the tests proposed, described measures to be taken to minimize the risk of an accident, gave the justification for such measures, and also described measures taken by Amtrak to ensure that performance limits of the test trainset would not be exceeded during the test and demonstration operations. Based on this brief, a waiver to test with provisos for revenue service demonstration was granted.

A detailed test plan³ was prepared which included the test objectives, procedures, instrumentation to be employed, data analysis techniques to be used and the general test methodology, together with the designation of responsibilities and a test schedule. At the completion of testing and before the test trainset was operated in revenue service, a review of the test data, procedures, and results was conducted. Speed and cant deficiency limitations were established to ensure complete compliance with the requirements of the initial waiver, and revenue service approval was granted.

² <u>Petition for Exemption or Waiver for Test Program and Demonstration Program; National Railroad Passenger</u> <u>Corp.</u>, FRA Docket No. H-93-1, Federal Register, Vol. 58, No. 101, Thursday, May 27, 1993, p30846.

³ Test Plan for the Evaluation of the ICE/US, ENSCO Report No. DOT-FR-93-06, July 1993.

2.2 TRAINSET MODIFICATIONS

Several changes and modifications were made to the ICE trainset leased from DB in order to operate the equipment in the U.S. infrastructure. The majority of these changes were made in Germany before shipment to the U.S.

2.2.1 Electrical and Control System

Electrical modifications included changes to the power collection and propulsion systems to accommodate the 11 kV, 25 cycle catenary on Amtrak's NEC and Philadelphia - Harrisburg, PA lines. Amtrak supplied pantographs for the two power cars which were installed in Germany. Amtrak's cab signaling equipment was also installed.

2.2.2 Power Car Front Coupler

The front coupler of each power car of the ICE/U.S. trainset was modified for coupling to a conventional U.S. locomotive when required for yard movements or propulsion in non-electrified regions. The coupler connected to either a type "E" or a type "H" tightlock coupler.

The nominal compressive and tensile load of the modified coupler was on the order of 127,000 lbs. The maximum speed of the locomotive at coupling was specified at 1 mph in order that the strength of the adapter coupler not be exceeded. The modified coupler was used to couple a pair of Amtrak's diesel locomotives to the ICE/U.S. trainset for propulsion during the National Tour.

2.2.3 Wheel Profile

The wheelsets of the ICE/U.S. test trainset were provided throughout with the wheel profile used by Amtrak's passenger equipment. This profile is identical to the AAR 1B wheel profile, with the exception of the tread taper being modified from 1:20 to 1:40. Because the 1:40 profile gives an effective conicity of 0.025, which is below the range of values specified by DB (0.05 - 0.5), some modifications were necessary to the vehicle suspensions to suppress a potential lateral 1 - 1.5 Hz carbody mode at 125 mph (200 km/h). These modifications are discussed in Section 2.2.5.

ABB Henschel evaluated the suitability of this wheel profile on the ICE vehicles to conditions on the Northeast Corridor (NEC) for the 140 RE rail profile. Analyses were done using a "new" rail profile and using actual worn rail profiles measured on the NEC and Philadelphia - Harrisburg Lines. The combinations of wheel profile on new and worn rail were predicted to provide an adequate margin of safety, with the critical speed for truck hunting well above 160 mph for all conditions. The analysis did conclude that a wheel profile more suited to the prevailing U.S. rail conditions should be considered in future.

A second important consideration was the back-to-back distance of the wheel flanges; the back-to-back distance for Amtrak's equipment is nominally, at most, 1356 mm (53 3/8 inches), while the ICE back-to-back distance was nominally 1360 mm for the power cars and 1357 mm for the coach cars. For the same thin flange thickness of about 30 mm, there was at least 4 mm (0.25") less total flange clearance for the ICE power car wheelsets than for Amtrak's wheelsets; that is, for a minimum allowable track gauge of 1422.4 mm (56 inches), the ICE/U.S. wheelset has a flange clearance remaining, each side, of about 2.4 mm as compared with the conventional U.S. wheelset having about 4.8 mm. It was expected that in tight track gauge situations, the ICE/U.S. trainset would be more responsive to track disturbances because of the increased probability of flange contact.

2.2.4 Instrumented Wheelsets

Two instrumented wheelsets, with wheels profiled as discussed above, were installed on a coach car of the ICE/U.S. trainset before shipment to the U.S. The instrumented wheelsets are further described in **Section 4.1**.

2.2.5 Suspension Modifications

Some changes were made to the suspension of the ICE/U.S. trainset vehicles for the U.S. demonstration. These changes were within the range of conditions previously tested during ICE-V prototype train trials.

For the power cars, the lateral damping, carbody to truck, was increased by about 40% and the longitudinal axle box guiding-stiffness was decreased slightly to assist the damping of body modes arising from the modified low wheel conicity. A pair of outer and inner coil springs were used instead of solid coil springs for the primary suspension; in this manner, the vertical stiffness was decreased to about 2/3 of the original value, in order to meet a potential dip of 3 inches (which could be encountered on lower Class track in the U.S.) with acceptable static unloading. This also effected a primary lateral stiffness decrease to about 3/4 of the original value.

For the coach cars, only the vertical stop clearance for movement of the primary suspension was increased by about 0.3 inches to accomodate the potential 3 inch rail dip for lower Class U.S. track. Resilient wheels were also used on 5 of the 6 coaches, the exception being the car fitted with the instrumented wheelsets.

2.3 SHIPPING AND UNLOADING

The ICE/U.S. trainset was shipped during the second half of June 1993 from the German port city of Bremerhaven, and arrived at the port of Baltimore, MD, 30 June. The vehicles were unloaded individually and assembled into a trainset at the dock-side track served by Conrail. The trainset, pulled by an Amtrak diesel locomotive, was then moved to the Amtrak's Ivy City Maintenance Facility in Washington, DC, for final preparations and commissioning.

2.4 TRAIN CONFIGURATION

The ICE/U.S. trainset consisted of 8 vehicles: power unit A (PU 401.084), three 2nd class coaches (Bvmz 802.855, Bvmz 802.657, Bvmz 802.438), one 2nd class coach with handicapped toilets and special compartments (BSmz 803.056), one restaurant coach (WSmz 804.051), one 1st class coach (Avmz 801.856), and power unit B (PU 401.584).

Two Amtrak F69PH EMD/Siemens diesel-electric locomotives were used for motive power during the national tour. An adaptive coupler was installed on the front of the power unit of the ICE/U.S. trainset for towing purposes.

<u>CAR TYPE</u>	<u>CAR CLASS</u>	<u>CAR NUMBER</u>
Power Unit A	PU	401.084
2nd Class Coaches	Bvmz	802.855
	Bvmz	802.657
	Bvmz	802.438
2nd Class Coach with handicapped toilets and special compartments	BSmz	803.056
Restaurant Coach	WSmz	804.051
1st Class Coach	Avmz	801.856
Power Unit B	PU	401.584



(See also, Figure 4.1, p. 15)

2.5 COMMISSIONING TESTS

2.5.1 Braking

The braking system of the ICE trainset consists of electrodynamic (regenerative), pneumatically actuated disc, and electromagnetic track brakes. Under normal service braking, most of the braking force is generated by the electrodynamic braking action of the asynchronous three-phase motors, with the disc braking blended and becoming predominant at low speed. The electromagnetic track brakes are used for emergency braking only. The traction and braking systems are designed for 280 km/h maximum speed; the ICE operates in revenue service in Germany at 250 km/h (153 mph).

The air brake system of the ICE/U.S. trainset was modified to Amtrak and U.S. standards, including new airbrake pressures, valves, and software. As part of the commissioning of the ICE/U.S. trainset, a series of tests were carried out to verify the braking capabilities, in both full service and emergency modes, with and without dynamic (regenerative) braking. To simulate conditions for a fully loaded trainset, the brakes on one axle of the trainset (axle #5, Figure 4.1) were disabled for the majority of the tests. Stop distances were determined using the pulse counter/speed sensor system installed by DB on wheelset #7 (Figure 4.1) for the vehicle dynamic testing. Stop times were recorded using a hand-help stop watch.

A summary of the results is given in **Table 2.1**. Average deceleration rates vary from about 0.09 g using disk brakes only to 0.15 g during emergency braking. By measuring both stopping distance and stopping time, two values of average deceleration were calculated independently. Differences in the two values indicate that the braking force and deceleration were not constant but varied during the braking process.

Stops were made using the **air brake equipment only** from speeds of 30 mph up to 141 mph during the course of the test runs in order to assess the performance of the air brake equipment and to ensure that stopping distances were within the allowable limits established for Amtrak's NEC signaling system. Results of these tests are highlighted in Table 2.1. A plot of the stopping distances is shown in Figure 2.1, together with Amtrak's maximum braking distance specification, Amtrak Standard S-603. The maximum acceptable braking distance for unrestricted operation throughout the NEC signaling system is 7848 feet. The plot indicates that the ICE/U.S. braking performance is within the acceptable envelope for speeds up to 137 mph.

The onboard tests included multiple starts and stops as well as high speed running, accelerations, and decelerations/braking. The trainset typically used regenerative braking but at least one high speed stop was made using only the disc brakes. All measurements were recorded on tape during each test period, and spectra of the AC current and voltage and the time domain waveforms of the signal circuits were monitored in real-time during these runs on a spectrum analyzer. The recorded data were then processed in the laboratory to further investigate the potential EMI effects on the signaling circuit.

The track rail-to-rail voltage measurements were made on track 3 at a wayside location north of Baltimore as the ICE/U.S. trainset passed over the rail clamp test points at speed, accelerating, decelerating/braking, and while stopped with the main power car over the rail clamp test points. The wayside rail-to-rail voltage measurements were also recorded on tape and viewed simultaneously on the spectrum analyzer; data plots were produced as required.

The principal results and conclusions from these EMI tests are summarized below⁴:

- No interference related to the operation of the ICE/U.S. train cab signaling system was found in the track signaling voltages (100 Hz filter input/output).
- Spectrum analysis of the in-coming pantograph current indicated that the peak levels in the critical frequency band of 90 to 110 Hz were typically at or below 1 amp (the experience-based EMI limit in this frequency range is 1 amp rms); levels at 250 Hz were typically above 0.5 amp and could potentially interfere with future cab signaling systems operating at this frequency.
- The measured rail-to-rail voltage levels with the ICE Train present, accelerating and decelerating, were well below the 500 mV_{rms} levels specified in the test plan for the 0-500 Hz range.
- Measurements of the pantograph current before and after modifications to the ICE control software, July 14th, indicated that the changes may have resulted in an increased level of peak interference in the 75 Hz to 100 Hz band. The mean interference levels remained unchanged.
- Additional processing and analysis of the existing data should be performed to determine whether or not changes made to the ICE system caused the occurrence of a moving tone in the 100 Hz band.
- Future modifications to the ICE control system should be reviewed. Additional testing on the ICE may be warranted depending on the change and the results of the analysis.

⁴ EMI Testing of a High Speed Trainset, German Inter-City Express (ICE), ENSCO Report No. DOT-FRA-94-06, June 1994.

3. SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

The fundamental basis for safe operation at higher speeds and cant deficiencies is the satisfactory control of forces acting at and across the wheel/rail interface. Safety criteria are concerned with assessing the risk of vehicle derailment through vehicle overturning, wheel climb, track gage widening (rail rollover, lateral deflection), lateral panel shift, and truck instability (hunting).

3.1 SAFETY CRITERIA

Two instrumented wheelsets for the ICE/U.S. trainset were installed on the truck of Coach Car, Bvmz 802.855, directly adjacent to the Power Car, PU 401.084, to directly measure wheel/rail forces during these tests. The measured wheel forces were assessed against safety criteria established prior to testing based on experience, judgement, and previous tests conducted in the NEC.⁵ The following parameters and limits were used to monitor all test operations:

- 1) Track Panel Shift: Net Axle Lateral Force (NAL) < 0.5 x Static Axle Load
 - for the ICE coach Bvmz, NAL < 65.0 kN (Note: 1 kN = 224.8 lb)
- 2) Wheel Climb Derailment⁶: L/V Ratio (Nadal), Single Wheel < 0.8
 - conditions considered safe if each wheel L/V is less than 0.8; if any wheel exceeded 0.8, then:

Axle Sum L/V Ratio (Weinstock) < 1.0

- examine axle sum if single wheel L/V exceeds 0.8; conditions are considered safe if sum is less than 1.0
- 3) Rail Rollover: Truck Side L/V Ratio (T-L/V) < 0.5
- 4) Vehicle Overturn: Minimum Vertical Wheel Force (Vmin) > 10% of Static Wheel Load
 - for the ICE coach Bvmz, Vmin > 6.5 kN

5) Truck Hunting: Truck Frame Acceleration < 0.8 g peak to peak

- no sustained oscillations

⁵ <u>Railroad Passenger Ride Safety</u>, Report No. DOT-FRA/ORD-89/06, April 1989.

⁶ <u>A Review of Literature and Methodologies in the Study of Derailments Caused by Excessive Forces at the Wheel/Rail Interface</u>, AAR Report No. R-717, December 1990.

Measurements of safety parameters 1 - 4 were displayed using a 25 Hz, 4 pole lowpass filter; measurements of parameter 5, truck frame acceleration, were band-pass filtered at 0.5 - 8 Hz, the frequency range over which truck hunting might be expected to occur. During any test run, these safety criteria were monitored to ensure that none of the above limits were exceeded. Data projections were used to minimize the likelihood that any safety limit would be exceeded. If any stop test criterion was met or exceeded during the test period, that condition was used to define the limiting speed for that particular curve.

The instrumented wheelsets were installed under a Coach Car; previous testing of the ICE in Germany and dynamic performance analyses for both the Power Car and Coach Car indicated that the Coach would be more sensitive to the above safety limits. The truck adjacent to a Power Car was chosen because it would be most affected by the dynamic motions of the Power Car (e.g. high speed transit over flexible bridges).

Vertical and lateral accelerations were recorded at various carbody locations throughout the trainset. For future test considerations, it may be desirable to correlate carbody accelerations versus instrumented wheelset measurements.

3.2 DYNAMIC ANALYSIS AND PREDICTIONS

Computer simulations were conducted by ABB Henschel to examine the safety and performance of the ICE trainset as modified for the U.S. demonstration and on representative U.S. track. Measured track data from the NEC and Philadelphia-Harrisburg lines with perturbations in space-curve form were provided by Amtrak.

Simulation results included time histories of the predicted wheel-rail force signals on perturbed track for both the power car and coach car. Speeds to 160 mph (257.5 km/h) and cant deficiencies to 9 inches were examined at selected locations on the NEC and Harrisburg line.^{7,8} In all of the examined curves, no safety criteria limits were predicted to be exceeded at cant deficiencies up to 7 inches. At a cant deficiency of 9 inches, the coach car was predicted to have unacceptable transient wheel unloadings and lateral accelerations in curves 265 and 266 on the NEC near Metro Park NJ.

Some wheel force transients with very short individual peak values above the safety criteria were predicted at speeds of 160 mph near some switches; however, the track space-curve data used as input were inconclusive in the area of the switch tongue and frog. A list of limit excursions and locations was preserved to permit precise monitoring of the measurements during the actual test runs.

⁷ <u>Nonlinear Calculations, ICE Power Car on AMTRAK Line</u>, ABB HENSCHEL Lokomotiven GmbH Report No. LOK/ TFB-K1, June 1993.

⁸ <u>Nonlinear Calculations, ICE Coach on AMTRAK Line</u>, ABB HENSCHEL Lokomotiven GmbH Report No. LOK/ TFB-K1, June 1993.

4. VEHICLE PERFORMANCE TEST PROCEDURES

Test instrumentation was installed on the trainset in Washington, DC, by DB technical personnel. The test methods, procedures, locations, and the sequence of events for the vehicle performance tests are described in this Section. Included are the methods for measurement and determination of cant deficiency, the particular test zones chosen, and a summary list of conducted test runs.

4.1 INSTRUMENTATION

Two instrumented wheelsets were installed in Germany before the ICE trainset was shipped to the U.S. These wheelsets were of the bending moment type, with strain gauges on the axle used to resolve the lateral and vertical wheel/rail forces. The two instrumented wheelsets were installed on the front truck of the Coach Car, 802.855, directly adjacent to Power Unit 401.084 ("A" end of trainset). In terms of trainset definitions, this corresponds to Axles 5 and 6 on Truck #3, as shown in Figure 4.1. These wheelsets were removed and replaced with regular wheelsets, 25 July 1993, at the conclusion of Test Run 52.

Accelerometers were installed to measure selected carbody and truck frame accelerations and cant deficiency. A lateral accelerometer was located on each of the 16 truck frames to monitor for any signs of truck hunting. Accelerometers in both the lateral and vertical direction were placed at selected carbody locations on the trainset:

- Power Unit, PU-A 401.084 ("A" end of consist), above truck #1
- Power Unit, PU-B 401.584 ("B" end of consist), above truck #16
- Instrumentation Car Bvmz 802.855, directly above Truck #3



- Restaurant Car WSmz 804.051, directly above Truck #11

Figure 4.1: Transducer Configuration, ICE Tests USA

A description of the measurement transducers and their locations on the vehicle are depicted in Figure 4.1 and detailed in Appendix A.

Safety criteria parameters were displayed in real time during the test runs using two 22-channel strip chart recorders. Distance-based strip charts with vertical time lines were produced using the vehicle speed and distance pulses to govern the chart speed. The channel allocations and descriptions are included in **Appendix A**.

An onboard computer system was used for digital data recording and onboard data analysis. Summary histogram plots giving the peak values of the safety parameters, the vehicle speed, and cant deficiency recorded over each kilometer were produced at the conclusion of each test run.

The nomenclature used to define each safety parameter name was as follows:

 $Q_{11} =$ Vertical force, right wheel, instrumented wheelset 1 (axle 5 of trainset) $Q_{12} =$ Vertical force, left wheel, instrumented wheelset 1 (axle 5 of trainset) $Q_{21} =$ Vertical force, right wheel, instrumented wheelset 2 (axle 6 of trainset) $Q_{22} =$ Vertical force, left wheel, instrumented wheelset 2 (axle 6 of trainset) SY1 = Net Axle Lateral Force, instrumented wheelset 1 (axle 5 of trainset) SY2 = Net Axle Lateral Force, instrumented wheelset 2 (axle 6 of trainset) $SY_{1} =$ Net Axle Lateral Force, instrumented wheelset 2 (axle 6 of trainset) $SY_{2} =$ Net Axle Lateral Force, instrumented wheelset 2 (axle 6 of trainset) $SY_{1}/SQ_{1} =$ Truck Side L/V, right side (Truck #3 of trainset) $SY_{1}/SQ_{1} =$ Truck Side L/V, left side (Truck #3 of trainset)

 $Y/Q_{11} = L/V$ ratio, right wheel, instrumented wheelset 1 (axle 5 of trainset) $Y/Q_{12} = L/V$ ratio, left wheel, instrumented wheelset 1 (axle 5 of trainset) $Y/Q_{21} = L/V$ ratio, right wheel, instrumented wheelset 2 (axle 6 of trainset) $Y/Q_{22} = L/V$ ratio, left wheel, instrumented wheelset 2 (axle 6 of trainset)

4.2 METHOD FOR DETERMINATION OF CANT DEFICIENCY/UNBALANCE

Unbalance was calculated from the lateral acceleration signal generated by an accelerometer installed on the truck frame above axle 8 of the Coach Car. Location magnets were installed on the track at the entry and exit spirals of each test curve on which a detailed analysis was to be performed. These magnets were sensed by a detector on the instrumented wheelset of the passing train; the output pulse was used to inform the onboard computer of the time each curve was entered and exited for each test run on a consistent basis.

4.3 TEST REGION AND TEST ZONES

The cant deficiency and high speed test runs were carried out in three principal test zones within the test region shown in **Figure 4.2**:

- Northeast Corridor (NEC) Mainline (Philadelphia New York) between New Brunswick and Metro Park; Cant Deficiency Tests to 7" (speeds to 115 mph)
- NEC Mainline (Philadelphia New York) between Trenton and New Brunswick; High Speed Stability Tests, speeds to 160 mph

Philadelphia - Harrisburg Line between Parkesburg and Lancaster; Cant Deficiency Tests to 5" (speeds to 100 mph)



Figure 4.2: Test Region and Zones for ICE/US Vehicle Performance Tests

In each of the test zones, the majority of rail is continuously welded (CWR) with a 140 RE profile. At approximate intervals of two miles, a 30 foot cut section (insulated joint) is welded into the track for signalling (cab signal) purposes. The track is well bedded in stone ballast.

4.3.1 NEC, New Brunswick to Metro Park, MP 31 - 22; Cant Deficiency Tests (Test Runs 14 - 25)

Specific zone location magnets (a total of 4) were placed trackside at MP 27 and MP 23, Tracks 2 and 3.

This test zone between New Brunswick (MP 31) and Metro Park (MP 23) is comprised of 10 miles (16 km) of electrified quadruple track. The two center high speed tracks consist of concrete mono-block ties with Pandrol rail fasteners. The interlockings (cross-overs) are on wooden ties with tieplates and cut spike rail fasteners.

There are 12 curves within this test zone on each track. Three particular test curves were selected for more detailed analyses in two groups comprising one reversed pair and a singlet for each of the high speed Tracks #2 and #3. The details for each curve in the order in which they are encountered are given below.

Curve Number	Curve Name	Location MP	Curvature/ [Radius]	Super elevation	Posted Speed	7" UB Speed	Direction
268	1st Curve west of Lincoln	27 - 26	1° 52′ [934 m]	6"	80 mph	101 mph	Left
266	Curve west of MP 24	25 - 24	1° 33′ [1127 m]	5 3/4"	90 mph	108 mph	Right
265	Curve east of MP 24	24 - 23	1° 27′ [1204 m]	5 1/4"	90 mph	110 mph	Left

Travelling EASTBOUND on TRACK #2 (in the direction of Metro Park NJ)

Travelling WESTBOUND on TRACK #3 (in the direction of New Brunswick NJ)

Curve Number	Curve Name	Location MP	Curvature/ [Radius]	Super elevation	Posted Speed	7" UB Speed	Direction
265	Curve east of MP 24	23 - 24	1° 26′ [1221 m]	6"	90 mph	115 mph	Right
266	Curve west of MP 24	24 - 25	1° 30′ [1164 m]	5 3/8"	90 mph	109 mph	Left
268	1st Curve west of Lincoln	26 - 27	1° 56′ [905 m]	6"	80 mph	98 mph	Right

4.3.2 NEC, Trenton to New Brunswick, MP 55 - 32; High Speed Stability Tests (Test Runs 25 - 36)

Specific zone location magnets (a total of 4) were placed trackside at MP 54 and MP 33, Tracks 2 and 3.

This test zone between Trenton (MP 55) and New Brunswick (MP 32) is comprised of 22 miles (35 km) of electrified quadruple track. The two center high speed tracks consist of concrete mono-block ties with Pandrol rail fasteners. The interlockings (cross-overs) are on wooden ties with tieplates and cut spike rail fasteners.

Of the 6 curves within this test zone, two large radius curves are passed at the eastern one-third of the test zone on each of the high speed Tracks #2 and #3. The details for these higher radius curves are given below.

Curve Number	Location MP	Curvature/ [Radius]	Super elevation	Posted Speed	UB at 160 mph	Direction
276	41 - 39	0° 32′ [3274 m]	3 5/8"	125 mph	5.8"	Left
275	39	0° 19′ [5514 m]	2"	125 mph	3.6"	Right

Travelling EASTBOUND on TRACK #2 (in the direction of New Brunswick NJ)

۱

Curve Number	Location MP	Curvature/ [Radius]	Super elevation	Posted Speed	UB at 160 mph	Direction
275	39	0° 20′ [5238 m]	2 1/8"	125 mph	3.8"	Right
276	39 - 41	0° 31′ [3379 m]	3 1/2"	125 mph	5.6"	Left

Travelling WESTBOUND on TRACK #3 (in the direction of Trenton NJ)

4.3.3 Philadelphia - Harrisburg Line, MP 44 - 68; Cant Deficiency Tests (Test Runs 12,13; 37 - 40)

Specific zone location magnets (a total of 4) were placed trackside at MP 47 and MP 66, Tracks 1 and 4.

The test zone between Parkesburg (MP 44) and Lancaster (MP 68) is comprised of 24 miles (39 km) of electrified double track on wooden ties with tie-plates and cut spike rail fasteners. The majority of rail is CWR or long welded rail with a 140 RE profile. Some sections of jointed (bolted) rail exist with 39 foot rail lengths and staggered joints. 155 RE rail profiles also occur on this test zone.

There are 23 curves within this test zone on each track. Four particular test curves were chosen for closer examination in two groups of reversed pairs for each track.

Curve Number	Curve Name	Location MP	Curvature/ [Radius]	Super elevation	Posted Speed	7" UB Speed	Direction
662 (A&B)	Gap	51	4° 10′ [419 m]	5 1/2"	55 mph	66 mph	Left
663	Eby's	52 - 53	4° 12′ [416 m]	6"	55 mph	67 mph	Rìght
671	Ronks	60 - 61	2° 4′ [845 m]	6"	75 mph	94 mph	Right
672	Bird-in-Hand	61 - 62	2° 2′ [859 m]	6"	75 mph	95 mph	Left

Travelling WESTBOUND on TRACK #4 (in the direction of Lancaster PA)

Travelling EASTBOUND on TRACK #1 (in the direction of Parkesburg PA)

Curve Number	Curve Name	Location MP	Curvatura/ [Radius]	Super elevation	Posted Speed	7" UB Speed	Direction
672	Bird-in-Hand	62 - 61	2° 4′ [845 m]	5 3/4"	75 mph	93 mph	Right
671	Ronks	61 - 60	2° 1′ [866 m]	5 3/4"	75 mph	95 mph	Left
663	Eby's	53 - 52	4° 6′ [426 m]	5 1/2"	50 mph	67 mph	Left
662 (A&B)	Gap	51	4° 16′ [409 m]	5 1/2"	50 mph	66 mph	Right

4.3.4 NEC, Washington DC to New York NY; Pre-Revenue Service Demonstrations (Test Runs 41 - 52)

The test zone between Washington and New York comprised 225 miles (362 km) of electrified double track, quadrupled where possible between Washington DC and Newark, New Jersey. The two high speed tracks were supported predominantly by concrete mono-block ties with Pandrol rail fasteners. All but a few interlockings (cross-overs) were laid on wooden ties with tieplates and cut spike rail fasteners. The maximum line speed was normally 125 mph, although line speed was often restricted to less than this figure due to Metroliner trains not being allowed to operate at more than 4 inches of unbalance in curves. The 160 mph test speed for the ICE/U.S. trainset between Trenton and New Brunswick was not in force during the long distance test runs. Turnouts, crossovers and numerous curves of different radii and superelevation were encountered along the route. **Appendix B** provides additional track and curve information.

Track data in space-curve form was supplied by Amtrak for various portions of the test zones.

4.4 TEST SEQUENCE

A summary of the test runs and conditions is given in Table 4.1.

4.5 HIGH SPEED BRAKE TESTS

Stops were made periodically during the course of the test runs at speeds up to 161 mph using only the air brake equipment to assess the braking performance and to compare stopping distances with the allowable limits established for the NEC signaling system. Stop distance measurements are reported in **Section 2.5.1**.

4.6 CARBODY ACCELERATIONS AND RIDE QUALITY TESTS

Carbody accelerations in the lateral and vertical directions on each power unit, the instrumentation car, and the restaurant car were measured and displayed during the technical tests and during the demonstration revenue service runs between Washington and New York.

Immediately prior to revenue service (1 October 1993), lateral and vertical accelerations were measured on the instrumentation car over Truck 3 during a round-trip test run at 135 mph maximum speed between Washington and New York City.

Carbody acceleration measurements were continued at selected locations on the vehicle on a weekly basis during the revenue service period as a condition of the waiver.

TABLE 4.1 ICE TEST RUNS IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

.

Date	Run #	Line	Direction/ Track	Track Condit	Scheduled Unbalance/Speed	Leading End/ Instr Wheelset Position
Jul 16/93	12	Ph - Hrsbg	W / Trk 4	Dry	3"	A-end / trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
n	13	Hrsbg - Ph	E / Trk 1	Dry	3"	B-end / trk trl, ax 6 ldg
Jul 17/93	14	Ph - NYP	E / Trk 2	Dry	3"	A-end / trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
H.	15	NYP - Ph	_W/ Trk 3	Dry	3"	B-end / trk trl, ax 6 ldg
11	16	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	4"	A-end / trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
**	17	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	4"	B-end / trk trl, ax 6 ldg
ft	18	<u>Ph - NYP</u>	E/ Trk 2	Dry	5"	A-end / trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
,,,,,	19	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	5"	B-end / trk trl, ax 6 ldg
17	20	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	6"	A-end / trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
	21	NYP - Ph	<u>W/ Trk 3</u>	Dry	6"	B-end / trk trl, ax 6 ldg
n 	22	Ph - NYP	<u>E/ Trk 2</u>	Dry	7"	A-end / trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
11	23	NYP - Ph	<u>W/ Trk 3</u>	Dry	7"	B-end / trk trl, ax 6 ldg
	24	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	6"	A-end / trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
н	25	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 2	Dry	6"	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
		NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	130 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
July 18/93	26	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	130 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
11	27	NYP - Ph		Dry	130 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
17 	_28	Ph - NYP	_E/ Trk 2	Dry	135 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
"	29	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	135 mph	B-end/ trk trl ax 6 ldg
10	30	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	145 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	31	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	145 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
	32	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	150 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
" 	33	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	150 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
"	34	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	155_mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
" 	35	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	155 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
n 	36	Ph - NYP	<u>E/ Trk 2</u>	Dry	160 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
July 19/93	37	Ph - Lanc	W/ Trk 4	Damp	4"	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
n	38	Lanc - Ph	<u>E/ Trk 1</u>	Wet	4"	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
п	39	Ph - Lanc	W/ Trk 4	Dry	5"	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
11	40	Lanc - Ph	E/ Trk 1	Dry	5"	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
July 21/93	41	Wa - Ph	N/ Trk 2	Dry	5", 135 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	42	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	5", 135 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg

Date	Run #	Line	Direction/ Track	Track Condit	Scheduled Unbalance/Speed	Leading End/ Instr Wheelset Position
	43	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	_5", 135 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
**	44	Ph - Wa	S/ Trk 3	Dry	5", 135 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
July 22/93	45	Wa - Ph	N/ Trk 2	Dry	135 mph, 5" +5 mph in curves	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
U 9	46	Ph - NYP	E/ Trk 2	Dry	135 mph, 5" +5 mph in curves	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
T	47	NYP - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	135 mph, 5" +5 mph in curves	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
	48	Ph - Wa	S/ Trk 3	Dry	135 mph, 5" +5 mph in curves	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
July 24/93	49	Wa - Ph	N/ Trk 2	Dry	VIP 5"	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
n	50	Ph - Newark	E/ Trk 2	Dry	5", 135 mph	A-end/ trk ldg, ax 5 ldg
n	51	Newark - Ph	W/ Trk 3	Dry	5", 135 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
n	52	Ph - Wa	S/ Trk 3	Dry	5", 135 mph	B-end/ trk trl, ax 6 ldg
Oct 1/93		Wa - NYP NYP - Wa	N,E/ Trk 2 W,S/ Trk 3	Dry	5", 135 mph	B-end/ no instr wsets A-end/ no instr wsets
Oct 5/93		Wa - NYP NYP - Wa	N,E/ Trk 2 W,S/ Trk 3	Dry	5", 135 mph	A-end/ no instr wsets B-end/ no instr wsets

5. DYNAMIC TEST RESULTS

Test results are presented to examine the safety aspects and the safety margin involved with the high speed operation of the ICE/U.S. trainset. During each test run, measured peak values of the safety parameters were compiled on a kilometer by kilometer basis. A summary of the peak values, closest to the safety limits, recorded during each cant deficiency and high speed stability test run (Test Zones 1 and 2) is given in **Table 5.1**; measured values exceeding the safety limits are highlighted. Each safety parameter will be addressed in this Section.

5.1 MAXIMUM SPEED AND MAXIMUM UNBALANCE RECORDED

The maximum speed recorded from the high speed test runs was **162 mph**. This occurred during Test Runs 36 and 50 in high speed Test Zone 2 on the NEC between Trenton and New Brunswick while travelling eastbound on Track 2 under dry track conditions. This speed was sustained over two distances of approximately 3 miles each, between MP45 - MP42, and MP38 - MP35 (a moderate slow-down for track anomolies was mandated at MP41).

The lateral accelerometer installed on the truck frame adjacent to axle #8 of the instrumentation Coach Car Bvmz 802.855 was used to indicate the degree of unbalance or cant deficiency. The maximum quasi-steady lateral acceleration recorded from all test runs was 1.50 m/s². This occurred during Test Run 23 on the NEC in Test Zone 1 (New Brunswick - Metro Park) while travelling westbound on Track #3 in curve 266 (1.5° curvature) at a speed of 114 mph on dry track. This lateral acceleration translates to an unbalance or cant deficiency of 9 inches; this resulted from an overspeed of 5 mph during a planned cant deficiency test run of 7 inches.

5.2 CANT DEFICIENCY TEST RUNS

Cant deficiency tests (Test Runs 14 - 25) were conducted in Test Zone 1 between Metro Park and New Brunswick, NJ, with scheduled cant deficiencies ranging from 3 inches to 7 inches. During these test runs, cant deficiencies up to 9 inches and vehicle speeds up to 115 mph were measured over the 10 mile length test zone, travelling eastbound on Track #2 and westbound on Track #3.

From each cant deficiency test run, the peak values measured for each of the key safety parameters **anywhere** within the 10 mile length test zone (not always measured within a curve) were extracted from the kilometer-by-kilometer computer charts for closer examination. These peak values, together with the trainset location, speed, and cant deficiency at which the peak was measured, are tabulated in **Table 5.1** (also includes the high speed test runs, **Section 5.3**). At the locations where these peaks occurred, computer/strip chart recordings of the wheel/rail forces and safety parameters were analyzed in more detail. Peaks that were flagged by the computer but, on strip chart examination, were attributed to signal noise or were measured to be at amplitudes below the safety limits, are denoted by an asterisk in the Table.

TABLE 5.1(a): PEAK VALUES OF SAFETY PARAMETERS, CANT DEFICIENCYAND HIGH SPEED TEST RUNS

NEC (Metro Park - Trenton NJ), WESTBOUND, TRACK 3 - "B" END LEADING

Run #/ [test]	Track Milepost	Location	Measured Speed/ Cant Def	SY, [kN]	SY ₂ [kN]	Q ₁₁ [kN]	Q ₁₂ [kN]	Q ₂₁ [kN]	Q ₂₂ [kN]	Y/Q,1	Y/Q ₁₂	Y/Q ₂₁	Y/Q ₂₂	T- L/V _{igt}	T- L/V _{itt}
			Safety Limits	≤65	≤65	≥6.5	≥6,5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.5	≤0.5
15	23.6	tangent, before curve 265	87 mph										0.6		0.33
[37]	24.3	in curve 266	89 mph / 3.4"	38											
	25.7	tengent, Lincoln Itik	84 mph		40										
17	<u>24.3</u>	in curve 266	93 mph / 4.5*	39											
[4-]	25.7	tangent, Lincoln Itik	87 mph						26	_					
	26.7	exiting curve 268	84 mph / 0,6*									0.55		0.32	
19	24.3	in curve 266	96 mph / 5.1"	39											0.28
121	25.8	tangent, Lincoln Itik	93 mph		39	27						0.65		0.3	
	26.4	in curve 268	90 mph / 4.8"	39											
21	23.6	tangent near curve 265	106 mph										0.48		0.28
[6.]	24.3	in curve 266	106 mph / 6.7"	41						0.42					
	25.8	tangent, Lincoln Itik	95 mph		40		24								
	26	tangent	95 mph					13							
	26.4	in curve 268	93 mph / 5.2"	39							0.4				
	26.7	exiting curve 268	93 mph / 0.9"									0.51		0.3	
23	24.3	in curve 266	113 mph / 9"	51						0.58				0.32	
171	25.8	tangent, Lincoln Itik	102 mph					26	i 					0.3	
	26.6	exiting curve 268	102 mph / 1,3"											0.3	
25	41.4	tangent (Midway)	<u>131 mph</u>		65							0.8		0.48	
	44.7	tangent	131 mph					20							
27 [130 mph]	41.4	tangent (Midway)	131 mph		47		20					0.6		0.38	
29	36.3	tangent	135 mph				10								
[135 mph]	41.4	tangent (Midwaγ)	135 mph		41							0.75		0.33	
31	37.5	tangent	144 mph				21								
[145 mph]	41.4	tangent (Midway)	146 mph		48							0.98		0.46	
33	40	in curve 276	152 mph / 5.7"				22					_			
1100 mpnj	41.4	tangent (Midway)	151 mph		60							0.78		0.45	
35 (155 mph)	40	in curve 276	155 mph / 6"	36											0.36
[130 [1]01]	41.4	tangent (Midway)	155 mph						14				0.81		
			Safety Limits	≤65	≤65	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.5	≤0.5

(Instrumented Wheelset 2 Leading)

TABLE 5.1(b): PEAK VALUES OF SAFETY PARAMETERS, CANT DEFICIENCY AND HIGH SPEED TEST RUNS

NEC (Trenton - Metro Park NJ), EASTBOUND, TRACK 2 - "A" END LEADING

(Instrumented Wheelset 1 Leading)

Run #/ [test]	Track Milepost	Location	Measured Speed/ Cant Def	SY [kN]	\$Y ₂ [kN]	Q ₁₁ [kN]	Q ₁₂ [kN]	Q ₂₁ [kN]	0.22 [kN]	Y/Q ₁₁	Y/Q ₁₂	Y/Q ₂₁	Y/Q ₂₂	T-L/V _{rgt}	ͳ-L/V _{#t}
			Safety Limits	≤65	≤65	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.5	≤0.5
14	26.7	entering curve 208	84 mph / 1.9"	42										0.4	
[3"]	25.7	tangent, Lincoln Itik	87 mph				25								
	24.8	exit from curve 267	90 mph / 0.8"								0.82				
	24.5	in curve 266	90 mph / 2.2"												0.4
	23.8	exit from curve 265	89 mph / 1"	46											
16	25.7	tangent, Lincoln Itik	93 mph	_			6.5		_		1.6				0.42
[4"]	24.5	in curve 266	94 mph / 3"								[0.38
	23.9	in curve 265	93 mph / 3.9"							0.63			_	0.38	
i I	23.8	exit from curve 265	94 mph / 1.1"	46											
18	26.6	in curve 268	94 mph / 3"	49						0.6				0.42	
[5"]	25.6	entering curve 287	100 mph / 0.4"					20							
1	25.5	entering curve 267	104 mph / 3.4"							0.62					
	24.5	in curve 266	100 mph / 4.3"	48							0,6				0.42
L	23.9	in curve 265	101 mph / 5,6"							0.6					
20	26.7	in curve 268	98 mph / 3.2"	51						0.62				0.42	
[6"]	25.5	in curve 267	102 mph / 3.4"							0.62				0.40	
	24.8	exiting curve 267	104 mph / 1.3"								0.6				
	24.5	in curve 266	104 mph / 4.8"	51											0.42
	23.9	in curve 265	107 mph / 1.7"				24			0.63				0.42	
22	26.7	in curve 268	104 mph / 3.9"	52						0.61				0.42	
{7"}	25.8	tangent, Lincoln Itik	105 mph				7.5				1.9 [*]				0.5
	24.3	in curve 266	113 mph / 7.7"	52							0.62				0.48
24	26.7	in curve 268	97 mph / 3"	52						0,6				0.4	
10-1	25.8	tangant, Lincoln Itik	101 mph				14	_			0.6				
	24.5	in curve 266	107 mph / 4.7"								0.58				0.42
	23.9	in curve 265	106 mph / 5.6"							0.6				0.4	
26	41.1	tangent (Midway)	129 mph	38				27		0.6	0.62				0.44
[130 mph]	39.6	in curve 276	129 mph / 2.8"											0.38	
28	41.5	tangent (Midway)	134 mph	39			23			0.62	0.68				0.45
[135 mph]	40	in curve 276	133 mph / 3"	37										0.39	
	39.4	entering curve 275	133 mph	40											

TABLE 5.1(b): PEAK VALUES OF SAFETY PARAMETERS, CANT DEFICIENCY AND HIGH SPEED TEST RUNS

NEC (Trenton - Metro Park NJ), EASTBOUND, TRACK 2 - "A" END LEADING

Run #/ [test]	Track Milepost	Location	Measured Speed/ Cant Def	SY, [kN]	SY ₂ [kN]	Q ₁₁ [kN]	Q ₁₂ [kN]	Q ₂₁ [kN]	Q ₂₂ [kN]	Y/Q ₁₁	Y/Q ₁₂	Y/Q ₂₁	Y/Q ₂₂	T-L/V _{rgt}	T-L/V#+
30	41.5	tangent (Midway)	146 mph	46			11			0.64	0.78			0.38	0.51
(145 mph)	40	in curve 276	148 mph / 4.3"											0.42	
	39.4	entering curve 275	148 mph	48	40			_							
32	51.2	tangent	142 mph				10								
[150 mph]	41 <u>.5</u>	tangent (Midway)	148 mph	44			20			0.68	0.78			0.4	0.55
	40	in curve 276												0.43	
	39.4	entering curve 275	149 mph	49							0.58				
34	41.5	tangent (Midway)	153 mph	47						0.71	0.8			0.42	0.62
[155 mph]	41	tangent					24								
	40	in curve 276	154 mph / 5.2"											0.47	
	39.4	entering curve 275	154 mph	47	50						0.55				0.4
36	41.5	tangent (Midway)	160 mph	51				_ 10		0.7	0.82			0.48	0.63
[160 mph]	40	in curve 276	160 mph / 6"											0.49	
	39.4	entering curve 275	159 mph	53											0.47
			Safety Limits	≤65	≤65	≥6.5	≥6,5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.5	≤0.5

(Instrumented Wheelset 1 Leading)

- denotes peak values flagged by the onboard computer on a kilometer-by-kilometer basis, but,

from strip chart recordings, attributed to signal noise or measured to be below the safety limits.

A summary of the highest peak values related to each safety criterion from both the cant deficiency and high speed test runs is given in **Table 5.2**. Peak values attributed to signal noise are not included in this Table.

Composite plots of these safety parameter peak values measured during the cant deficiency test runs are shown in Figures 5.1 - 5.4 as a function of scheduled test run cant deficiency. In each plot, the relevant safety limit is indicated.

These plots convey the number of tests carried out and the magnitudes of the safety parameter peak values experienced over a particular range of track alignments, geometries, and conditions. No trend lines should be drawn from these composites; the peak values may or may not have occurred within a curve and are plotted against the intended or scheduled test run cant deficiency and not the actual cant deficiency when the peak was recorded.

Safety Crìteria	Measured Value	% of Limit	Vehicle Element/ (Position)	Run No	Direct/ Track	Track Milepost	Track Condit	Intended Cant Def	Measured Cant Def	Measured Speed	Comments
Min Vertical Wheel Force	6.5 kN	100%	Left Wheel Wheelset 1 (leading)	16	East Track 2	25.7	Dry	4″	0"	93 mph	tangent track (Lincoln) between curves 267 & 268
Vmin	7.5 kN	88%	Left Wheel Wheelset 1 (leading)	22	East Track 2	25.8	Dry	7"	0"	105 mph	tangent track (Lincoln) between curves 267 & 268
	11 kN	92%	Left Wheel Wheelset 1 (leading)	30	East Track 2	41.5	Drγ	1	0"	146 mph	tangent track (Midway)
	10 kN	94%	Left Wheel Wheelset 1 (leading)	32	East Track 2	51.2	Dry	-	0"	142 mph	tangent track
	10 kN	94%	Right Wheel Wheelset 2 (trailing)	36	East Track 2	41.5	Dry		. 0	160 mph	tangent track (Midway)
Max Net Axle Lateral	65 kN	100%	Wheelset 1 (trailing)	25	West Track 3	41.4	Dry	1	.0	131 mph	tangent track (Midway)
Force	60 kN	92%	Wheelset 2 (leading)	33	West Track 3	41.4	Dry		0"	151 mph	tangent track (Midway)
	53 kN	82%	Wheelset 1 (leading)	36	East Track 2	39.4	Dry		0"	159 mph	entering Curve 275
	52 kN	80%	Wheelset 1 (leading)	24	East Track 2	26.7	Dry	6"	3"	97 mph	in Curve 268 (1.4°)
	52 kN	80%	Wheelset 1 (leading)	22	East Track 2	24.3	Dry	7"	7.7"	113 mph	In Curve 266 (1.5°)
Max Wheel L/V Ratio	0.82	103%	Left Wheel Wheelset 1 (leading)	14	East Track 2	24.8	Dry	3"	0.8"	90 mph	exit from curve 267
Ş	0.80	100%	Left Wheel Wheelset 1 (leading)	34	East Track 2	41.5	Dry	•	0"	153 mph	tangent track (Midway)
	0.82	103%	Left Wheel Wheelset 1 (leading)	36	East Track 2	41.5	Dry		0"	160 mph	tangent track (Midway)
	0.80	100%	Right Wheel Wheelset 2 (leading)	25	West Track 3	41.4	Dry	1	0"	131 mph	tangent track (Midway)
	0.98	123%	Right Wheel Wheelset 2 (leading)	31	West Track 3	41.4	Drγ	-	0"	146 mph	tangent track (Midway)
	0.81	101%	Left Wheel Wheelset 2 (leading)	35	West Track 3	41.4	Dry	4	°.	155 mph	tangent track (Midway)

TABLE 5.2 PEAK VALUES MEASURED FROM CANT DEFICIENCY AND HIGH SPEED TEST RUNS

Comments	tangent track (Midway)	tangent track (Lincoln) between Curves 267 & 268	in Curve 266 (1.5°)	tangent track (Midway)					
Measured Speed	131 mph	105 mph	113 mph	146 mph	148 mph	153 mph	160 mph	146 mph	151 mph
Measured Cant Def	-0	-0	7.7"	•0	0"	0,	-0	•0	•
Intended Cant Def		7"	7"	1		-	-	1	1
Track Condit	δ	Dry	Dry	Dry	Drγ	Drγ	Dry	Dry	Dry
Track Milepost	41.4	25.8	24.3	41.5	41.5	41.5	41.5	41.4	41.4
Direct/ Track	West Track 3	East Track 2	East Track 2	East Track 2	East Track 2	East Track 2	East Track 2	West Track 3	West Track 3
Run No/ Line	25	22	22	30	32	34	36	31	33
Vehicle Element	Right Side	Left Side	Left Side	Left Side	Left Side	Left Side	Left Side	Right Side	Right Side
% of Limit	96%	100%	96%	102%	110%	124%	126%	92%	%06
Measured Value	0.48	0.50	0.48	0.51	0.55	0.62	0.63	0.46	0.45
Safety Criteria	Max Truck- Side L/V	1-T/V							

TABLE 5.2 (con't): PEAK VALUES MEASURED FROM CANT DEFICIENCY AND HIGH SPEED TEST RUNS


Figure 5.1: Peak Minimum Vertical Wheel Forces During Cant Deficiency Runs



Figure 5.2: Peak Net Axle Lateral Forces During Cant Deficiency Runs



Figure 5.3: Peak Values of Wheel L/V During Cant Deficiency Runs



Figure 5.4: Peak Values of Truck Side L/V During Cant Deficiency Runs

For the cant deficiency test runs, no safety limit was exceeded within the curves and transitions. However, a singular tangent track disturbance on Track #2 at Lincoln interlocking (MP 25.7) did result in transient peaks (duration < 50 ms) approaching the safety limits on occasion. Specific information on the peaks closest to the safety limits are included in Tables 5.1 - 5.2.

A closer examination of the safety parameters for the ICE/U.S. trainset as a function of cant deficiency for a particular curve and track is given in Figures 5.5 - 5.8. In these plots, both the average value and the peak value of each safety parameter measured in test curve 266 (1.55° curvature) while travelling eastbound on Track #2 are plotted from the 6 relevant test runs as a function of the measured quasi-steady cant deficiency. The track was dry in each of these test runs and the general track geometry is considered to be above average Class 7.

Figure 5.5 illustrates the safety from vehicle overturn as cant deficiency increases, using the vertical wheel force, Vmin, measured on the inside wheel (right side in this case) of instrumented wheelset 1 within this curve and transition as an indicator. It can be seen that, for this curve, there is a considerable margin of safety above the minimum safe limit of 6.5 kN. A trend line drawn through the peak minimum vertical measurements as cant deficiency increases indicates that, for the track conditions in this curve, the safety limit would be approached at a cant deficiency of about 17 inches. No appreciable crosswinds were encountered during these test runs.



Figure 5.5: Minimum Vertical Wheel Force, Measured In Curve 266, Eastbound

31

Representative lateral track shift forces are indicated in **Figure 5.6**, in which the net axle lateral force, **NAL**, measured on the leading instrumented wheelset 1, is shown as cant deficiency increases in this curve. A trend line drawn through the peak measurements of **NAL** in this curve as cant deficiency increases indicates that the safety limit of 65 kN for this axle would be reached at a cant deficiency of about 12.5 inches. (N.B. by linear extrapolation, the **average NAL** would reach 65 kN at a cant deficiency of about 26 inches.)



Figure 5.6: Net Axle Lateral Force, Instrumented Wheelset 1, In Curve 266

To examine the safety from wheel climb, the single wheel L/V ratio measured on the left wheel (high side wheel) of leading instrumented wheelset 1 in this curve is plotted versus cant deficiency in Figure 5.7. The measured values in this curve are well below the allowable Nadal single wheel limit of 0.8, and both the peak values and average values show very little dependence on the cant deficiency.

To assess the safety from rail roll-over, the truck-side lateral force to vertical force ratio, T-L/V, measured on the high side (left side) of the instrumented truck in this curve is shown in Figure 5.8. A peak value of 0.48 at 7.7" cant deficiency was measured in this curve, which is just below the safety criterion of 0.5. The trends indicate that the safety limit would be reached at a cant deficiency of about 10 inches.



Figure 5.7: Wheel L/V Ratios, Left Wheel, Instrumented Wheelset 1, In Curve 266



Figure 5.8: Truck Side L/V Ratio, Left Side, Instrumented Truck 3, In Curve 266

5.3 HIGH SPEED STABILITY TEST RUNS

High speed stability runs (Test Runs 25 - 36) were carried out in Test Zone 2 between Trenton and New Brunswick, NJ, with scheduled speeds of 130 mph to 160 mph. During these test runs, speeds up to 162 mph and cant deficiencies up to 6 inches (in Curve 276, 0.53° curvature) were measured over the 23 mile length test zone. No evidence of truck hunting was observed from any of the 16 truck lateral acceleration signals during any high speed test run.

From each high speed test run, the peak values measured for each of the safety parameters **anywhere** within the 23 mile length test zone were tabulated and are included in **Table 5.1**. Composite plots of these safety parameter peak values are displayed in **Figures 5.9 - 5.12** as a function of the scheduled test run vehicle speed. In each plot, the relevant safety limit is indicated.

For speeds in excess of 130 mph, safety criteria were approached and exceeded at "Midway" interlocking, MP 41.5. Other than at Midway, the nearest safety criterion which was approached at high speed was the truck-side L/V, on Track #2, travelling eastbound through Curve 276 (32' curvature, MP 40.3 -39.5), as shown in Figure 5.13.







Figure 5.10: Peak Net Axle Lateral Forces During High Speed Runs



Figure 5.11: Peak Values of Wheel L/V During High Speed Runs



Figure 5.12: Peak Values of Truck Side L/V During High Speed Runs



Figure 5.13: Truck Side L/V Ratio (Peak) versus Speed, Truck 3, In Curve 276

5.4 PRE-REVENUE SERVICE DEMONSTRATION RUNS

After a data review of the cant deficiency and high speed test runs, a speed profile was prepared by Amtrak for a pre-revenue service demonstration round trip from Washington to New York City. This speed profile was based on a maximum speed of 135 mph and a maximum cant deficiency of 5 inches, and accounted for actual allowable speeds dependent on signal spacings and other local restrictions.

In total, three round trip demonstration runs with full instrumentation were made based on this speed profile. For data recording, each trip was segmented into 4 test zones and corresponding test runs. In addition to strip chart recordings, the peak values of each safety parameter were plotted across each test zone on a general kilometer-by-kilometer basis.

1) PRE-REVENUE DEMONSTRATION, 135 mph, 5" Cant Deficiency

Test Run 41 Washington - Philadelphia, Northbound, principally on track 2
Test Run 42 Philadelphia - New York City, Eastbound, principally on track 2
Test Run 43 New York City - Philadelphia, Westbound, principally on track 3
Test Run 44 Philadelphia - Washington, Southbound, principally on track 3

2) PRE-REVENUE DEMONSTRATION, 135 mph, 5" Cant Deficiency + 5mph

The second round trip was made at speeds 5 mph above the 5 inch cant deficiency baseline speeds, except where other restrictions applied.

Test Run 45	Washington - Philadelphia, Northbound, principally on track 2
Test Run 46	Philadelphia - New York City, Eastbound, principally on track 2
Test Run 47	New York City - Philadelphia, Westbound, principally on track 3
Test Run 48	Philadelphia - Washington, Southbound, principally on track 3

3) PRE-REVENUE DEMONSTRATION, 135 mph, 5" Cant Deficiency + 5mph

The third round trip was similar to trip 2, but also served as a VIP demonstration run; thus, speeds up to 160 mph were attained in the high speed test zone for demonstration purposes and the trainset was taken only as far as Newark, NJ:

Test Run 49	Washington - Philadelphia, Northbound, principally on track 2
Test Run 50	Philadelphia - Newark, Eastbound, principally on track 2
Test Run 51	Newark - Philadelphia, Westbound, principally on track 3
Test Run 52	Philadelphia - Washington, Southbound, principally on track 3

It should be noted that, at a few locations in some test runs, speeds were lower than intended because of line traffic or local restrictions. In addition, "slip-ring" problems with the instrumented wheelsets negated some wheel/rail force recordings during Test Run 43, from MP 29 to MP 89, and Test Run 47, from MP 52 to MP 89.

A summary of peak values recorded for the safety parameters over these Test Runs 41-52 indicated that there were multiple cases where safety criteria limits were exceeded:

	No of Exceptions Recorded
Vmin < 6.5 kN	3
Net Axle Lateral Force > 65 kN	3
Single Wheel L/V > 0.8	36
Truck Side $L/V > 0.5$	12

The majority of exceptions involved transient wheel unloading in tangent track and were not related to cant deficiency or high speed. None of these recorded peak values occurred at cant deficiencies greater than 2 inches, and only 15 of these peak values were measured at speeds in excess of 110 mph (180 km/h). The higher speed exceptions are listed in Table 5.3.

The momentary single wheel unloading and high L/V recorded by the computer in Test Run 47 at MP37 was attributed to signal noise and not included since many other high speed test runs (25 - 35, 51) over the same track location gave no indications of high forces. The very high amplitude peaks measured at "Wood" interlocking during Test Run 49 were attributed to switch impact. A single, momentary force peak, about 40 milliseconds in duration, was detected at each wheel in both the lateral and vertical directions; the amplitude of the peaks on instrumented wheelset 2 were exceptionally high. During similar Test Runs 41 and 45, peaks were also observed at this switch location but the measured amplitudes were well below the safety limits.

It is possible that the amplitudes of the momentary impact forces measured at the interlockings given in Table 5.3 were exacerbated through shock loadings on the slip rings and connectors for the wheelset (which had failed previously). In the interest of safety during the revenue service operation of the ICE/U.S. trainset, speed restrictions of 125 mph were imposed for the intended 135 mph locations at which these exceptions were observed.

	≥ ₹	.5					[:	ы		5.
		N							1.5			Ň
	ד-ג/עיי	≤0.5							1.4			≤0.5
	Y/0.22	≤0.8						1.02	1.8.		0.8	≤0.8
	Y/Q ₂₁	≤0.8			0.82		0.82		0.98			≤0.8
	Y/Q ₁₂	≤0.8	1.4			1.4°						≤0.8
	Y/Q,1	≤0.8		0.82								≤0.8
	Q ₂₂ [kN]	≥6.5					6.5					≥6.5
	(kN) [kN]	≥6.5										≥6.5
- N - N	G12 [kN]	≥6.5	ю			6.5						≥6.5
o, orti	[kN]	≥6.5										≥6.5
	SΥ ₂ [kN]	≤65										≤95
	SY, [kN]	≤65										≤92
	Measured Speed/ Cant Def	Safety Limits	115 mph	121 mph	134 mph	135 mph	134 mph	118 mph	131 mph	155 mph	118 mph	Safety Limits
	Location		Ph - Wa, Track 3 "Grove" interlocking	Wa - Ph, Track 2 "Ragan" interlocking	Ph - NY, Track 2 "County" interlocking	NY - Ph, Track 3 tangent	Ph - Wa, Track 3 "Davis" interlocking	Ph - Wa, Track 3 exit from Curve 346 (.2°)	Wa - Ph, Track 2 "Wood" interlocking	Ph - Newark, Track 2 tangent (Midway)	Ph - Wa, Track 3 exit from Curve 346 (.2°)	
	Track Milepost		112.6	29.9	32.8	37.7	38.4	55.8	75.4	41.4	55.8	
	Run #		44	45	46	47	48		49	50	52	

TABLE 5.3. PEAK VALUES OF SAFETY PARAMETERS PRE-REVENUE SERVICE DEMONSTRATION BUNS

Concluded to be signal noise from the slip ring assembly which failed 14 miles after this reading

۱ م

Single, momentary (~40 msec) high amplitude peak at switch impact; peak amplitudes measured in similar Test Runs 41 & 45 at this location were below safety limits : '

•

6. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The Amtrak ICE/U.S. test program was conducted to examine the limits of safe performance under more extreme conditions than those to be used in revenue service. Valuable data was gathered, particularly from the instrumented wheelset measurements, on which to base limits and procedures for the revenue service demonstration. The following provides a brief review of the key results from the test, with some insight into the basis for establishing the safety limits for revenue service operation. Limited discussion and recommendations are provided as appropriate.

6.1 TEST HIGHLIGHTS AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

Test runs were conducted on the NEC, with measured speeds in tangent track up to 162 mph (260 km/h), and measured average cant deficiencies up to 7 inches (in one instance up to 9 inches).

Safety Criteria

- No truck hunting was observed from any truck frame-mounted lateral accelerometer (1 accelerometer on each truck), for measured speeds as high as 162 mph (260 km/h).
- No measured Net Axle Lateral Force exceeded the safety limit of 14,610 lbs (65 kN) (instrumented wheelsets, axles 5 and 6, truck 3); peak forces up to 12,590 lbs (56 kN) were observed (e.g. Midway interlocking).
- Several instances of individual wheel L/V ≥ 0.8 were observed, mainly at tangent track locations where track anomalies involved gauge narrowing combined with line variation. Some high values of wheel L/V were the result of momentary wheel unloading with no significant lateral force involved; other high values were measured at lower speeds (50 mph) in interlockings.
- Several instances of truck-side $L/V \ge 0.5$ were observed, generally at the same track locations where individual wheel L/V values were high.
- There were occasional instances where an individual vertical wheel force, Vmin, approached but did not fall below the limiting value of 1,461 lb (6.5 kN), mainly on tangent track; these appear to be momentary unloadings, predominantly at "cut" sections.

Braking Requirements

Tests were conducted to assess the performance of the ICE braking system as modified for U.S. operation with new brake pressures, valves, and software. Stopping distances, using air (disk) braking only, were measured with brakes on one axle disabled to simulate effects of full load. In this condition, the stopping distance, from an initial speed of 124 mph, was measured to be 5827 ft (1776 m); the stopping distance from 141 mph was measured to be 8,406 ft (2,562 m). The unrestricted requirement based on the current signalling block in the NEC is 7,848 ft. (The stopping distance, with brakes disabled on two axles, from 135 mph was measured to be 8,000 ft.)

Based on these measurements, and with no changes to the signalling blocks, a maximum speed limit of 135 mph is applicable for the ICE/U.S. trainset to operate within the existing signalling restrictions on the NEC.

6.2 HIGH SPEED TESTS

The key results from tests conducted in the 23 mile length high speed test zone between Trenton and New Brunswick, NJ, at speeds of 125 mph to 162 mph, were:

- No truck hunting was observed at any speed.
- Multiple safety criteria were exceeded at one location, Midway interlocking, when approached in either direction at speeds of 145 mph or greater; safety
 criteria were not avagaded at any other location within the test zero.
- criteria were not exceeded at any other location within the test zone.
- A sustained periodic carbody "yaw" oscillation (~0.4 g body lateral acceleration, peak to peak), was observed and measured in the power cars, (most pronounced in the trailing power car), at speeds above 135 mph. This condition was repeatable with speed.

Track Anomalies at Midway

The peak response for all runs and most parameters was associated with track geometry anomalies occurring in both tracks in the vicinity of Midway interlocking. Test measurements indicated a single track disturbance on Track 2 (eastbound) and a single disturbance on Track 3 (westbound) yielding pronounced peaks in the wheel/rail forces. Safety criteria were exceeded at these locations at speeds of 145 mph and above.

Examination of track geometry data and on-the-ground inspection indicated tight gauge (~56.0 inches) situations near these locations. It had been anticipated that the ICE wheelset, as modified for the U.S. demonstration, would be more susceptible to narrow gauge disturbances (Section 2.2.3) because of the lower flange clearance. As a result, a speed restriction of 125 mph was imposed on the ICE/U.S. through Midway interlocking in both directions.

Although some trackwork maintenance was done on the disturbance on Track 3 at Midway after the high speed test runs, the vehicle speed of 125 mph was maintained through this disturbance for the remaining test runs. As expected, no safety criterion was exceeded (this was also the case for previous runs at speeds of 135 mph or less). During a subsequent test run (Test Run 50) through the disturbance on Track 2, a truck-side L/V = 0.5 was again measured at a speed of 155 mph.

Results from the high speed test runs indicated safe operation at speeds of 135 mph on NEC track currently approved for 125 mph Metroliner operations.

6.3 CANT DEFICIENCY TEST

The key results from the test runs, conducted at cant deficiencies up to 7 inches, were:

- No safety criteria were exceeded within the curves or transitions at any cant deficiency.
- Truck side L/V exceeded the 0.50 limit during a 7" cant deficiency test run near curve entry (cannot be directly related with cant deficiency); a peak truck side L/V of 0.48 was observed, however, in this curve.
- As cant deficiency increases, trends from the measured data indicate that the limiting safety criterion may be the peak truck side L/V (related to rail roll-over).
- Carbody lateral acceleration exceeded 0.40 g (peak to peak) in the spiral transition during the 7" cant deficiency run.
- Some transient vertical wheel unloading (down to nearly 10% remaining) was observed, but primarily in tangent track. These events must be investigated further but are not related to cant deficiency.

From passenger comfort considerations, the ICE trainset, which does not tilt, generally operates at cant deficiencies up to 6 inches. Results from the cant deficiency tests indicated that, from safety considerations, operation at cant deficiencies up to 6 inches was within the safety limits on NEC track.

•

*

-

7. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

As previously stated, the purpose of this report was to provide a basis for establishing procedures and limits for the safe operation of the ICE by Amtrak in the NEC. In developing the conclusions and recommendations presented here, a balance was attempted between performance and safety. Where either the available data or time for analysis was limited, conservative judgement was applied in the interest of safety.

The ICE has been thoroughly analyzed and tested, and has compiled a successful operating and safety record in service in Germany. The fundamental question addressed by the tests and analysis supporting operations in the United States is how the ICE/U.S. trainset would respond to the track conditions here.

The tests in the U. S. were conducted by Amtrak over specific test zones on Amtrak's NEC and Harrisburg line. Specific test curves chosen for analysis ranged from 4° 16' (409m radius) to 1° 26' (1221m radius) giving a nominal cant deficiency of 7" at speeds ranging from 66 mph to 115 mph respectively. Trials were carried out in these selected curves at up to 7" of cant deficiency in the NEC and up to 5" on the Harrisburg line. During the 29 test runs, some safety limits were approached and exceeded for momentary intervals. The highest average cant deficiency recorded by the truck frame-mounted accelerometer through an entire curve during trials was 9". The test runs were generally made in dry conditions, with wet or damp conditions experienced only during 3 test runs on the Harrisburg line.

The following recommendations were developed from the preliminary analysis of the test results. A brief reference to the relevant and supporting analysis, test results and conclusions is included with each recommendation.

7.1 RECOMMENDATION FOR OPERATION AT 6" OF CANT DEFICIENCY

Test results showed the ICE rigid truck to be capable of operation at moderate cant deficiency. Vertical load transfer and vehicle overturning were effectively controlled by the truck design. These design features allowed the ICE to operate in regular service at 6 inches of cant deficiency in Germany (1.0 m/s^2 lateral acceleration), based on the design curve geometry.

Several factors, which were not evaluated during the test, affect the margin of safety for high cant deficiency operation. A summary of these factors and their estimated likely contributions, in terms of equivalent cant deficiency, is shown on the following page. Taken in combination, these effects would yield an equivalent increase in cant deficiency of 5.9 inches. While the probability of each of these negative factors existing simultaneously is considered extremely remote, planned operations at 6 inches of cant deficiency based on average geometry might conceivably produce a total equivalent cant deficiency of just below 12 inches.

Primary Factors Influencing the Margin of Safety for High Cant Deficiency Operations

	Factor Ca Equiva	Iculated/Estimated alent Cant Deficiency
-	45 mph Side Wind	2.0"
-	Track Geometry Variations (FRA cant deficiency enforcement limit)	1.0"
-	5 mph Overspeed	1.4"
-	Vehicle Maintenance Condition (Preliminary estimate based on worst likely vehicle condition with sub-standard mainter	1.5" nance)

While it is impossible to know the precise contribution of each of these factors and their combinations under actual service conditions, this type of assessment demonstrates that operating the ICE at 6 inches of cant deficiency over Amtrak track can be considered safe with the conditions described below. There is no justification in the data to support operation at a higher unbalance. It should be noted that, for the revenue service demonstration of the ICE/U.S., approval was given to operate at cant deficiencies up to 5 inches.

7.2 RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS FOR 6 INCH CANT DEFICIENCY OPERATION

Condition #1 - Track Geometry/Structure for 5" Cant Deficiency - The track geometry in the curves over which operation at 6" cant deficiency is allowed should meet all applicable FRA Track Safety Standards. The limiting speed for each curve is to be calculated based on a 6 inch cant deficiency using average geometry with a 1 inch tolerance limit for the worst case combination of curvature and crosslevel as measured by monthly inspections by an automated track geometry measurement car.

Track structure, ballast, ties and fasteners must meet the appropriate FRA regulations for the planned operating speed.

Condition #2 - **Wind** - When wind speeds are predicted to be in excess of 45 mph, ICE line speeds should be restricted to those applicable to Metroliner operations under the same conditions.

Condition #3 - Vehicle Conditions - While wheel wear has been reported from service experience in Germany to be very light, it is considered prudent, due to the different rail profiles which exist on Amtrak rail, that wheel profiles be monitored to ensure that accelerated wheel tread and flange wear do not occur.

Dampers are used more extensively on the ICE/U.S. than on existing Amtrak equipment to limit undesired vehicle response. Evaluating the effect of degraded

dampers was not part of the test program; therefore it is considered prudent that the condition of all vehicle suspension dampers be monitored to ensure that they are functioning properly by measuring vehicle carbody accelerations on a regular basis.

Condition #4 - Track Geometry/Dynamic Response Analysis -

Analog plots of both the track geometry and vehicle response should be analyzed to confirm that the following conditions exist:

- Relatively smooth and coordinated spirals and spiral/curve transitions
- No special track work or structures within 200 feet of the curve along the track (i.e.- switches, crossings, undergrade bridges, etc.)
- Limited dynamic response during demonstration revenue test runs.

Condition #5 - Speed Control - Amtrak should take steps to ensure that the combined effects of speedometer error and engineer error will not result in more than 5 mph overspeed in the worst case. It is recommended that this be accomplished by careful implementation of Amtrak's and the equipment manufacturer's existing procedures for speedometer calibration and engineer training.

Condition #6 - **Strict Speed Control** - Steps should be taken to ensure that the 6" unbalance speed, based on the limiting track geometry conditions, is never exceeded. In this way, overspeed operation is prevented from impacting the margin of safety.

7.3 RECOMMENDATION FOR 135 MPH MAXIMUM OPERATION SPEED

The ICE/U.S. demonstrated stable operation at 160 mph over the NEC high speed stability test zone. Analysis performed by the equipment manufacturer has predicted stable performance, under normal conditions, for speeds above 200 mph.

Both the data and the analysis support the operation at elevated speeds. Operation at speeds up to 135 mph would be considered conservatively safe under conditions 2, 3 and 4 of **Section 7.2** together with the additional conditions given below. If Amtrak and Siemens can identify the specific track characteristics which produce the limiting forces and demonstrate their ability to detect, correct and maintain these spots, then 140 mph may be justified. However, it should be demonstrated that no wheel L/V ratios exceed 0.8 over the target track.

Condition #1 - Track Geometry/Structure for 125 mph - The track must meet the conditions currently approved for 125 mph Metroliner operations.

Condition #2 - **Instability in Service** - Any indications of instability during operation must be reported to the FRA. Speed for the ICE/U.S. would be restricted to 125 mph until the cause(s) of instability were identified and corrected.

7.4 CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the experimental work described in this Report and the results obtained, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- for a properly maintained vehicle, 6 inch cant deficiency operation can be safely achieved on NEC track; should wind conditions exceed 45 mph, line speeds should be restricted to those applicable to Metroliner operations under the same conditions.
- for a properly maintained vehicle, 135 mph operation can be permitted on NEC track in limited locations where track structure, geometry and rail profile satisfy the requirements currently approved for 125 mph Metroliner operation. To assure these conditions, it is recommended that:
 - Track structure, geometry, and ride acceleration should be monitored before revenue service begins, 1 week after service has been in operation, and henceforth on a monthly basis; examination should be focussed on changes, particularly in the high cant deficiency and high speed zones.
 - Vehicle wheel profiles and damper elements should be monitored for condition on a monthly basis.
 - Specifics of engineer training should be considered; precise control of overspeed may be required.
- The low effective conicity of the 1:40 wheel profile used by Amtrak's passenger equipment may not be the optimum for high speed trains; a wheel profile more suited to the prevailing U.S. rail conditions should be considered to avoid two-point contact and potential carbody "yaw" modes.
- Specific effects of track geometry, rail profile, and wet rail were not investigated in detail in this test; as requested speeds and cant deficiencies increase, more study and analysis of these effects must be considered.

APPENDIX A

٠

TEST INSTRUMENTATION DETAILS

TRANSDUCERS AND SIGNAL NAMES FOR ICE/US TEST RUNS

Signal #	Transducer Type	Signal Name	Description
1	Instrumented Wheelset	_Y11_	W/R Lateral Force, axle 5, right wheel (Coach Car)
2	Instrumented Wheelset	Y12	W/R Lateral Force, axle 5, left wheel (Coach Car)
3	Instrumented Wheelset	011	W/R Vertical Force, axle 5, right wheel (Coach Car)
4	Instrumented Wheelset	012	W/R Vertical Force, axle 5, left wheel (Coach Car)
5	Instrumented Wheelset	Y21	W/R Lateral Force, axle 6, right wheel (Coach Car)
6	Instrumented Wheelset	Y22	W/R Lateral Force, axle 6, left wheel (Coach Car)
7	Instrumented Wheelset	021	W/R Vertical Force, axle 6, right wheel (Coach Car)
8	Instrumented Wheelset	022	W/R Vertical Force, axle 6, left wheel (Coach Car)
9	Accelerometer	y*F1_	Lateral Acceleration in car (PU-A)
10	Accelerometer	z*F1	Vertical Acceleration in car (PU-A)
11	Accelerometer	γ+12/1	Lateral Acceleration, truck 1, above axle 1 (PU-A)
12	Accelerometer	y+42/1	Lateral Acceleration, truck 2, above axle 4 (PU-A)
13	Accelerometer	y+12/2	Lateral Acceleration, truck 3, above axle 5 (Coach 2)
14	Accelerometer	y*I/2	Lateral Acceleration, in car over truck 3 (Coach 2)
_ 15_	Accelerometer	z*1/2	Vertical Acceleration in car over truck 3 (Coach 2)
16	Accelerometer	y.,+42/2	Lateral Acceleration, truck 4, above axle 8 (Coach 2)
17	Accelerometer	aq	Uncompensated acceleration, axle 8
18	Speed Pickup	v	Trainset forward speed
19	Accelerometer	γ + 1 <u>2/3</u>	Lateral Acceleration, truck 5, above axle 9 (Coach 3)
20	Accelerometer	y + 42/3	Lateral Acceleration, truck 6, above axle 12 (Coach 3)
21	Accelerometer	γ + 12/4	Lateral Acceleration, truck 7, above axle 13 (Coach 4)
22	Accelerometer	γ+42/4	Lateral Acceleration, truck 8, above axle 16 (Coach 4)
23	Accelerometer	γ. <u>.</u> + 12/5	Lateral Acceleration, truck 9, above axle 17 (Coach 5)
24	Accelerometer	<u>y+42/5</u>	Lateral Acceleration, truck 10, above axle 20 (Coach 5)
25	Accelerometer	y+12/6	Lateral Acceleration, truck 11, above axle 21 (Coach 6)
_ 26	Accelerometer	y*1/6	Lateral Acceleration, in car over truck 11 (Coach 6)
27	Accelerometer	z*I/6	Vertical Acceleration, in car over truck 11 (Coach 6)
28	Accelerometer	γ+42/6	Lateral Acceleration, truck 12, above axle 24 (Coach 6)
	Accelerometer	y+12/7	Lateral Acceleration, truck 13, above axle 25 (Coach 7)
30	Accelerometer	y+42/7	Lateral Acceleration, truck 14, above axle 28 (Coach 7)
31	Accelerometer	<u> ү + 12/8</u>	Lateral Acceleration, truck 15, above axle 29 (PU-B)
32	Accelerometer	y+42/8	Lateral Acceleration, truck 16, above axle 32 (PU-B)
33	Accelerometer	γ*F2	Lateral Acceleration in car (PU-B)
34	Accelerometer	z*F2	Vertical Acceleration in car (PU-B)

CHANNEL DESIGNATION

Safety criteria parameters were displayed in real time during the test runs using two 22-channel strip chart recorders. The channel allocations and descriptions are given in the following **Tables**.

STRIP CHART RECORDER CHANNEL DESIGNATIONS

Stripchart Channel #	Signal Name	Description
1.1	Sum Y1	Net Axle Lateral Force, Axle 5 (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to ±100 kN}
1.2	Sum Y2	Net Axie Lateral Force, Axie 6 (Coach 2) [kN] (0 to ±100 kN)
1.3	<u>Y11</u>	Lateral Wheel Force, Axle 5, right wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {O to 200 kN}
1.4	Y12	Lateral Wheel Force, Axle 5, left wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to 200 kN}
1.5	Y21	Lateral Wheel Force, Axle 6, right wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to 200 kN}
1.6	Y22	Lateral Wheel Force, Axle 6, left wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to 200 kN}
1.7	Q11	Vertical Wheel Force, Axle 5, right wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to 200 kN}
1.8	Q12	Vertical Wheel Force, Axle 5, left wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to 200 kN}
1.9	Q21	Vertical Wheel Force, Axle 6, right wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to 200 kN}
1.10	022	Vertical Wheel Force, Axle 6, left wheel (Coach 2) [kN] {0 to 200 kN}
1.11	Y11/011	Wheel L/V Ratio, Axle 5, right wheel (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.12	Y12/Q12	Wheel L/V Ratio, Axle 5, left wheel (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.13	Y21/Q21	Wheel L/V Ratio, Axle 6, right wheel (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.14	Y22/Q22	Wheel L/V Ratio, Axle 6, left wheel (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.15	Sum Y1/Q1	Axle L/V Ratio, Axle 5 (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.16	Sum Y2/Q2	Axle L/V Ratio, Axle 6 (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.17	Sum Yr/Sum Qr	Truck Side L/V Ratio, Truck 3, right side (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.18	Sum YI/Sum QI	Truck Side L/V Ratio, Truck 3, left side (Coach 2) {-0.1 to 0.9}
1.19	y*I/2	Lateral Acceleration, car over Truck 3 (Coach 2) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to ± 2.5 m/s ² }
1.20	z*1/2	Vertical Acceleration, car over Truck 3 (Coach 2) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to $\pm 2.5 m/s^2$ }
1.21	aq	Uncompensated acceleration, axle 8 (Coach 2) [m/s ²] {0 to \pm 2.5 m/s ² }
1.22	v	Vehicle forward speed [mph] {0 to 250 km/h}

Stripchart #1

STRIP CHART RECORDER CHANNEL DESIGNATIONS

Stripchart #2

Stripchart Channei #	Signal Name	Description
2.1	y*F1	Lateral Acceleration in car (PU-A) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to $\pm 2.5 m/s^2$
2.2	z*F1	Vertical Acceleration in car (PU-A) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to $\pm 2.5 m/s^2$ }
2.3	y.,*I/6	Lateral Acceleration, car over Truck 11 (Coach 6) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to $\pm 2.5 \text{ m/s}^2$
2.4	z*I/6	Vertical Acceleration, car over Truck 11 (Coach 6) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to $\pm 2.5 m/s^2$
2.5	y*F2	Lateral Acceleration in car (PU-B) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to $\pm 2.5 m/s^2$
2.6	z*F2	Vertical Acceleration in car (PU-B) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to $\pm 2.5 m/s^2$ }
2.7	<u>γ + 12/1</u>	Lateral Acceleration, truck 1, above axle 1 (PU-A) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.8	γ+42/1	Lateral Acceleration, truck 2, above axle 4 (PU-A) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.9	γ+12/2	Lateral Acceleration, truck 3, above axle 5 (Coach 2) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.10	y+42/2	Lateral Acceleration, truck 4, above axle 8 (Coach 2) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.11	γ+12/3	Lateral Acceleration, truck 5, above axle 9 (Coach 3) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.12	y+42/3	Lateral Acceleration, truck 6, above axle 12 (Coach 3) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.13	y + 12/4	Lateral Acceleration, truck 7, above axle 13 (Coach 4) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.14	y+42/4	Lateral Acceleration, truck 8, above axle 16 (Coach 4) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.15	y+12/5	Lateral Acceleration, truck 9, above axle 17 (Coach 5) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.16	y+42/5	Lateral Acceleration, truck 10, above axle 20 (Coach 5) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.17	y+12/6	Lateral Acceleration, truck 11, above axle 21 (Coach 6) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.18	y+42/6	Lateral Acceleration, truck 12, above axle 24 (Coach 6) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.19	y + 12/7	Lateral Acceleration, truck 13, above axle 25 (Coach 7) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.20	γ + 42/7	Lateral Acceleration, truck 14, above axle 28 (Coach 7) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.21	y + 12/8	Lateral Acceleration, truck 15, above axle 29 (PU-B) $[m/s^2]$ {0 to \pm 10 m/s ² }
2.22	γ + 42/8	Lateral Acceleration, truck 16, above axle 32 (PU-B) $[m/s^2]$ (0 to \pm 10 m/s ²)

APPENDIX B

TRACK CURVE INFORMATION

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Profile

EASTBOUND Geometry Details

Eastbour

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

ſ

WAS to NYP

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile

(135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

		WILE	LSOd	TIMETABLE	CURVE GI	EOMETRY	UNBAL	ANCE	SUS	WING SPEE(S	MAXIMUM
8	ŢŖ		ATION	DESCRIPTION	DEGREE	SUPER-ELEV.	AVERAGE	LIMITING	CURRENT	PROPOSED	INCREASE	LINE SPEED
					fdec.degreel	finches	finchest 1	[inches]	fmohl]	[mohl]	[mohi]	[uoh]
ALL TR	ACKS	136.00	134.50	WASHINGTON TERMINAL to AVENUE								TIMETABLE SPEED
415	2	135.34	134.80		3.08	1.500	3.9	4.5	45	50	5	
TRACI	₹#2	134.50	133.00	AVENUE to MILEPOST 133.0								105
414	~	133.91	133.32		1.00	4.400	3.3	4.6	85	105	20	
TRACI	X # 2	133.00	99.80	MILEPOST 133.0 to FREDERICK ROAD								125
413	~	130.86	129.24		0.67	4.340	3.0	4.9	125	125	0	
412	2	128.89	128.78		0.35	1.040	1.9	3.4	<u>8</u>	110	10	
411	3	128.78	128.56		0.98	4.320	4.0	4.3	6	110	9	
411	2	128.56	128.53		0.17	0.790	0.6	0.6	6	110	9	
410	2	127.74	127.42		0.37	2.280	11	L.L.	04	S 1	91 m	
409 M	2	127.24	127.19	an and the analysis of the second	11.0	800	91	E C	10	8	8	
403	2	126.94	126.67		1.07	6.280	3.6	4.3	110	115	2	
408	2	126.29	126.25		0.50	2.450	2.6	32	110	120	9	
408	3	126.25	125.95		1.00	5.980	4.1	4.7	110	1 20	10	
407	7	125.55	125.21		1.03	6.020	4.4	4.9	110	<u>1</u> 28	1 0	
406	2	122.02	121.94		0.27	1.860	1:	1.1	110	<u>†</u> 25	5	
405	2	120.25	120.20		0.55	3.630	2.4	2.7	115	125	9	
4 05	~	120.20	119.98	(1) Support the second s Second second se Second second se Second second sec	0.82	5.940	3.0	4.1	125	125	0	
Ş	2	119.66	119.08	こうかい シューマン シューマン シューマン シューマン シューマー しんかく アイチャート あいたい	0.47	2.740	2.4	TR.	8 21	5	-	
403	2	118.37	118.11		09.0	4.260	2.3	4.4	120	125	5	
4 02	7	117.76	117.61		0.55	3.020	3.0	4.6	120	1 35	S	
402	2	117.61	117.56		0.15	1.300	0.3	0.3	120	125	ŝ	
6	2	117.47	117.43		0.40	2.530	1.9	3.2	120	125	ŝ	
401	7	117.43	116.74		0.87	6.030	3.5	4.4	120	125	S	
400	2	116.67	116.25		0.78	5.270	3.3	5.0	120	125	ى م	
3 80	2	115.62	115.15		0.87	5.700	3.8	4.7	120	2	ъ	
398	7	114.39	113.82	·	0.85	6.010	3.3	4.5	120	125	S	
88	2	113.82	113.79		0.12	0.780	0.5	0.5	5 2	1 3	ŝ	
397	2	113.49	113.19		0.82	5.920	3.0	3.8	1 <u>3</u>	125	ŝ	
397	2	113.19	113.16		0.12	0.580	0.7	0.7	120	125	ۍ ۲	
986 966	2	111.22	111.17		0.48	3.170	2.1	3.0	120	125	5	
30 6	2	111.17	110.70		0.85	060'9	3.2	4.1	120	125	S	

_ =
_
_
- 23
_
-
- U
-
-
ഗ
- 24
- 677
_

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile (135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

	₹	ILEPOST	TIMETABLE	CURVE G	EONETRY	UNBA	ANCE	ខ	KVING SPEEI	8	MAXIMUM
₹ *	R L	OCATION	DESCRIPTION	DEGREE	SUPER-ELEV.	AVERAGE	LIMITING	CURRENT	PROPOSED	INCREASE	LINE SPEED
				Lidec.degreel	[inches]	[inches]	[inches]	(mohl	[tuph]	fmohl L	[moh]
TRACK #	2 133.	08.66 00	MILEPOST 133.0 to FREDERICK ROAD (continued)	(125
395	2 110.	45 110.20		0.83	5.780	3.3	4.3	120	125	5	
395	2 110.	20 110.13		0.23	1.730	0.8	0.8	120	125	ŝ	
392	2 108.	49 108.07		0.47	2.950	2.2	3.1	125	125	0	
391	2 106.	93 106.47		1.52	6.480	4.1	4.8	8	100	9	
300	2 106.	01 105.42		1.00	6.030	3.2	4.8	110	115	ŝ	
390	2 105.	42 105.38		0.17	1.090	0.5	0.5	110	115	ŝ	
88	2 104.	71 104.40		0.42	2.470	2.1	3.1	110	125	15	
388	2 104.	14 103.94		0.95	5.940	3.6	4.9	110	120	9	
388	2 103.	94 103.87		0.62	4.650	1.6	2.5	110	120	₽	
387	2 103.	70 103.44		0.75	3.720	2.6	4.7	<u>6</u>	110	9	
386	2 103.	01 103.00		0.25	1.250	1.5	2.8	110	125	1 5	
386	2 102.	98 102.86		0.23	2.040	0.5	0.5	110	125	15	
385	2 102.	12 101.45		1.02	5.020	3.6	4.3	5	110	s	
383	2 99.	97 99.81		1.10	3,980	3.7	4.9	100	100	0	
TRACK #	2 99.	80 98.10	FREDERICK ROAD to FULTON								80
382 B	2 99.	78 99.37		1.72	4.580	3.1	3.9	75	ଛ	2	
381 D	2 98.	59 98.39		3.63	4,820	2.9	3.7	8	ሄ	ŝ	
381 C	2 98.	39 98.37		1.98	3.970	0.2	11	8	58	5	-
381 B	2 98.	37 98.24		3.42	4.640	2.6	2.9	8	አ	ç	
381 A	2 98.	24 98.17		0.70	0.700	0.8	1.3	8	ß	5	
ALL TRACI	KS 88	10 94.60	FULTON to NORTH PORTALS OF UNION TUNNEL								TIMETABLE SPEED
980 380	2 98.1	09 97.63		4.20	1.790	2.9	3.7	Q	ą	0	
379	76 7	42 97.38		0.80	0.010	0.5	0.5	8	ଛ	0	
378	2 97.	20 96.94		7.45	1.920	2.8	3.3	8	ଛ	0	
377 C	2 98	34 96.11		4.12	0.660	1.9	2.8	ଛ	ଛ	0	
377 B	2 96.	11 95.93		7.78	2.190	2.7	3.2	8	ଞ	0	
377 A	2 95.	88 95.79		4.95	0.080	3.0	2.3	8	ଞ	0	
377	2 95.	75 95.71		1.85	0.430	0.7	-0.8	ଞ	ନ୍ତ	0	
376 C	2 95.	52 95.48		2.30	0.180	1.3	1.0	8	ନ	0	
376 B	2 95.	48 95.40		2.75	0.010	1.7	1.9	8	ନ	0	
376 A	2 95.	37 95.31		4.30	0:430	2.3	0.6	8	ନ	0	_

Page 2 of 9

q

WAS to NYP

IC_135E5.XLS - Updated: 7/20/93

,

•

_
_
_
_
_
_
_
• •
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
•••
_
_

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile

	MUMIX	: SPEED	[uoji]	20								120									135								
	MA		_																					<u> </u>					
-	EDS	INCREAS	[moh]		0	0	0	₽	9	6	10		8	ŝ	10	9	9	9	6	10		9	9	0	6	6	10	9	6
	RVING SPE	PROPOSED	fmahl		45	45	8	2	20	20	20		120	115	120	120	120	<u>5</u>	120	120		135	1 <u>3</u> 5	135 135	135	135	110	110	135
	ິດ	CURRENT	lnahl		45	4 5	8	60	8	8	80		108	110	110	110	110	110	110	110		125	125	125	125	125	100	<u>8</u>	125
cy)	ANCE	FIMITING	[inches]		3.6	0.3	4.0	4.8	4.9	2.0	2.4		3.2	4.6	3.5	2.8	4.5	0.7	4.2	0.4		1.3	3.5	1.1	1.9	3.4	2.1	4.5	1.6
nt Deficien	UNBAL	AVERAGE	[inches]		3.0	-0.2	3.4	4.2	3.0	1.7	1.6		2.1	3.2	1.3	1.6	3.4	0.7	2.9	0.4		1.3	1. 5		1.9	2.4	1.9	3.8	1.6
- 5 inch Cal	EOMETRY	SUPER-ELEV.	[inches]		3.920	0.630	3.780	2,870	3,540	1,660	0:030		0.900	1.130	2.210	5.490	5.710	1.020	6.300	1.450		2.290	2.070	2.050	1.320	1.200	2.920	6,530	2.030
um Speed	CURVE G	DEGREE	fdec degreel		4.90	0:30	4.12	2.07	1.90	0.98	0.47		0.30	0.47	0.35	0.70	0:00	0.17	0.92	0.18		0.28	0.28	0.25	0.25	0.28	0.57	1,22	0.28
(135 mph Maxim	TIMETABLE	DESCRIPTION		DRTALS OF UNION TUNNEL to BAY								LEPOST 85.0									T 85.0 to BUSH								
	MILEPOST	LOCATION		94.60 91.70 NORTH P	94.52 94.27	94.27 94.24	94.12 93.82	93.22 92.88	92.41 92.04	92.04 91.99	91.94 91.87	91.70 85.00 BAY to M	91.21 90.23	89.69 89.73	89.68 89.43	69.33 88.39	88.14 88.62	86.62 66.58	86.34 85.76	85.76 85.73	85.00 71.50 MILEPOS	82.78 82.41	82.39 80.68	80.66 80.51	79.79 79.73	79.63 79.57	78.39 78.34	78.34 77.87	77.67 77.56
		TRK		(#2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(#2	-	-	-	~	2	2	2	2	(#2	2	~	2	7	2	2	~	2
i		*		TRACH	375 B	375 A	374	373	372	372	371	TRACK	369 B	365	364	3 8	362	362	960 960	360	TRACK	358	358	358	357 N	357 M	357	357	356

Eastbound

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile

	MAXIMUM	LINE SPEED	fmohl	135							90	125												135											
	SS	INCREASE	[moh]		10	ę	5	2	•	0			15	10	•	 0	•	0	•	1 0	9 0	10	10		10	10	10	52	10	₽ ₽	•	0	ۍ د	5	
	WING SPEEL	PROPOSED	[moh]		135	135	115	115	125	3 5			125	1 05	110	110	110	125	125	5	120	125	125		135	1 35	135 1	1 35	135 1	1 35	110	5	115	115	115
	CUR	CURRENT	[moh]		125	125	110	110	125	95			110	S	110	110	110	125	125	8	110	115	115		125	125	125	110	125	125	110	125	110	110	110
y)	NCE	LIMITING	finctes1		4.7	4.1	4.2	1.7	4.1	4,8			4.2	4.7	2.1	2.9	4.8	2.8	4.4	4.5	4.8	3.3	4.9	1	3.8	1.8	5.0	5.2	4.0	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.7	0.3	4.5
t Deficienc	UNBAL	AVERAGE	[inches]		0.5	3.2	3.4	1.7	2.9	3.3			3.3	4.6	1.5	1.6	3.8	2.0	3.0	3.8	3.9	2.4	4.4		3.1	1.8	3.0	3.9	2.8	3.5	3.1	2.5	3.8	0.3	3.1
5 inch Can	METRY	UPER-ELEV	finches!		2.700	2.950	6.050	0.150	4.240	1.340			1.620	6.210	2.760	1.750	5.850	3.090	5.440	5.970	5.680	2.160	5.680		3.500	1.000	2.280	2.730	2.340	2.680	5.920	2.980	5.960	1.130	4.440
im Speed - !	CURVE GEC	DEGREE	[dec.degree]		0.25	0.48	1.02	0.20	0.65	0.73			0.45	1.40	0.50	0.40	1.13	0.47	0.77	1.40	0.95	0.42	0.92		0.52	0.22	0.42	0.52	0.40	0.48	1.07	0.50	1.05	0.15	0.82
(135 mph Maximu	TIMETABLE	DESCRIPTION		BUSH to GRACE							GRACE to SOUTHWARD LIMITS OF PERRY	SOUTHWARD LIMITS OF PERRY to MILEPOST 46.0				<u>.</u>								MILEPOST 46.0 to YARD											
	POST	VIION		60.70	69.75	66.18	64.60	63.04	62.06	60.45	59.70	46.00	57.59	56.72	53.81	53.71	53.26	51.77	51.13	49.90	48.63	47.21	46.71	28.30	45.25	43.82	41.77	39.40	34.52	33.30	32.59	30.80	30.07	30.03	28.60
	MILE	roct		71.50	71.29	66.71	65.39	63.07	62.80	61.34	60.70	59.70	57.90	57.16	54,14	53.75	53.71	51.81	51.77	50.65	49.12	47.26	47.21	46.00	45.84	44.39	41.93	40.50	34.66	33.74	33.06	30.99	30.39	30.07	29.29
		TRK		12 # X	~	~	7	~	-	۴	CK # 2	C# %	~	8	2	~	2	7	2	2	5	~	~	C ₩2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	8	5
		\$		TRAC	354	352	351	351	350	349	TRA	TRA	388	347	345	34	æ	æ	æ	342	¥	80	æ	IRA	339	338	307	ĝ	ğ	g	33	31	g	80	329

IC_135E5.XLS - Updated: 7/20/93

.

Page 4 of 9

7
<u> </u>
~
-
- 3
ō
~
-0
_
_
- 00
SCD -
ш.

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile (135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

×

		EDOCT		CLIRVE G	FOMETRY	LINRAI	ANCE	12	RVING SPEEDS	MAXIMUM
Com TR		CATION	DESCRIPTION	DEGREE	SI PCR-FI EV	AVERACE	LIMITING	CLIRRENT	PROPOSED INCREASE	LINE SPEED
				[dec.degree]	[inches]	Inchesi	finches	fmohl	tmohi fmohi	Imohl
TRACK#	2 28.3	0 27.00	YARD to BRANDY							80
328 B 2	27.5	3 27.09		3.87	2.850	1.5	2.0	\$	40 0	
328 A 2	27.0	9 27.04		1.03	1.110	0.1	0.4	4	40 0	
328 A 2	27.0	4 26.98		3.50	0.050	3.9	3.9	4	40 0	
TRACK # .	2 27.0	0 26.80	BRANDY to WINE							30
TRACK#	26.8	0 25.50	WINE to LANDLITH							80
327 2	26.9	1 26.80		2.43	1.750	1.0	2.2	4	40 0	
327 2	26.8	0 26.74		2.83	1.700	1.5	1.8	\$	40	
327 2	? 26.7.	4 26.73		2.02	1,540	0.7	1.0	4	40 0	
327 1	26.7	3 26.66		4.58	1,600	3.5	4.2	4	40	
327 2	26.6	6 26.29		1.65	2.460	-0.6	0.2	40	40 0	
TRACK #	25.5	0 16.50	LANDLITH to HOOK							115
326	25.1	3 24.13		0.45	2.060	2.1	4.2	105	115 10	
325 1	23.7	8 22.92		1.38	5.200	3.5	4.4	8	95 5	
323	22.2	7 22.22		0.50	2.080	2.6	3.1	110	115 5	
323	22.2	2 21.98		0.80	4.280	3.1	4.6	110	115 5	
323	21.9	8 21.92		0.22	0.840	1.2	1.2	110	115 5	
322	21.2	8 21.20		0.27	0:450	2.0	2.5	110	115 5	
321 1	21.0	3 20.98		0.62	2.460	3.3	3.6	110	115 5	
321	20.9	8 20.59		0.75	3.620	3.3	4.5	110	115 5	
320 N	20.2	6 20.21		0.30	0.410	2.4	3.3	110	115 5	
320	2 19.8	7 19.52		1.02	5,610	3.8	4.7	110	115 5	
319 2	2 18.4	8 17.96		1.05	5,670	4.1	4.6	110	115 5	
TRACK #	2 16.5	0 11.50	HOOK to BALDWIN							60
316	2 14.9	8 14.81		0.43	1.950	0.5	1.8	66	0	
315	2 13.9	2 13.74		0.82	2.730	1.9	3.3	8	0 06	
315	2 13.7	4 13.69		0.62	2.110	1.4	2.0	8	0	
314	2 12.7	2 11.79		0.82	2.430	2.2	4.1	8	0 06	

_
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
_
-
_
_
_
_

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile

TIMETABLE SPEED LINE SPEED MAXIMUM 105 Hom I ຂ PROPOSED INCREASE (moh) 5 5 0 ŝ ξ£ 0 0 ŝ O C 0 \mathbf{O} 0 CURVING SPEEDS [qam] 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 2228 ***** 8 8 88888 2 \$ \$ CURRENT | [thom] 8888888 <u>8</u>8 R 2228 22 LIMITING [mches] 1-0.6 0.3 4 13 28 3.1 10 2.1 0.4 0.9 3.2 1.2 3.3 3.3 0.4 4 4.8 45 2.8 3.7 1.3 2.7 5.0 2.0 1.9 0.4 3.3 2.1 UNBALANCE '135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency) AVERAGE **Inches** 9.0 0.5 0 2.3 -1.2 2.6 0.4 3.2 3.5 2,3 2.0 0.6 5 5 2.2 10 0. 1.9 1.6 2.8 1.2 3.8 33 2.9 5 2.4 SUPER-ELEV. 3.910 2.210 2.840 3.100 2.980 8 1.610 0.180 1,510 1.570 2.370 0.940 2.260 2.240 0.260 0.270 5.510 0,340 3,110 3,100 2,840 5.270 2,350 0.860 CURVE GEOMETRY **Inches** 0.570 2.570 5.450 0.630 4.700 8 DEGREE dec degree 5.20 5.08 1.80 1.05 0.22 0.57 0.95 2.80 0.72 6.50 30 1.02 0.85 0.98 4.70 3.58 120 2.90 1.02 0.23 1.02 1.05 0.10 8 1.05 43 2.38 2.05 4.77 6.07 4 EASTWARD LIMITS OF ZOO to NORTH PHILADELPHI MILEPOST 3.0 to EASTWARDLIMITS OF ZOO DESCRIPTION TIMETABLE **BALDWIN to MILEPOST 3.0** 85.50 86.75 88.88 **68.7**9 88.43 88.24 87.93 87.17 86.31 87.72 87.64 87.26 3.00 10.48 10.45 9.58 9.41 9.39 6.79 5.37 3.20 2.43 2.34 1.96 1.46 1.14 0.72 0.67 87.91 87.71 2.84 1.31 6.01 MILEPOST LOCATION 86.75 86.45 11.50 10.48 88.99 88.88 88.73 88.31 88.24 87.93 87.91 87.72 87.71 87.32 87.24 11.03 9.64 8.79 2.84 2.43 <u>.</u> 1.23 0.88 0.72 9.58 9.41 7.21 6.01 3.31 8 2.98 2.31 8 TRK ALL TRACKS 307 2 TRACK#2 2 TRACK#2 2 2 303 G 303 G 303 F 303 C 303 C 303 B 306 A 303 H 303 F 303 E 303 C 303 C 303 C 303 C 303 A 308 307 N B \$ 88 313 312 g 313 312 312 311 310 33 ğ ğ

Page 6 of 9

IC_135E5.XLS - Updated: 7/20/93

WAS to NYP

Eastbound

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile

_
1
ğ
2
٠X
ĕ
تە
Q
*
9
Õ
£
Ģ
з.
5
ъ
ġ.
2
5
~
5
2
-5
≥
,
-
÷
2
C
5
2
-

	DS MAXIMUM	INCREASE LINE SPEED	Imphi Imphi	65	5	5	ۍ 	5] 70	5	5	115	5	10	15	15	15	15	15	15	125	5	ۍ ۲	2J	5	0	5	0		ۍ	5 C	5		15
	CURVING SPEE	RENT PROPOSED	hi Imohi		0 65	0 65	0 65	0 65		5 70	5 70		٥ ۲	0 70	X0 115	0 115	00 115	0 115	30 115	0 115		20 125	0 95	95	0 95	25 125	110	25 125	15 120	15 120	20 125	20 125	101	CZI 01
<u>{}</u>	ANCE	LIMITING CURF	finchesi I fm		44 6	3.3 6	0.8	2.9 6		5.0 6	-0.4 6		4.0 5	4.9	4.9 10	2.4 10	2.9 10	4.6 1(3.1 10	3.1 10		47 12	4.1	2.3	4.2	1.9	4.6 10	3.5 11	4.8	0.3 1.	4.0	0.5 1	+	-
	UNBAL	AVERAGE	[inches]		3.7	2.8	0.4	1.9		3.6	-0.8		3.5	4	3.6	1.6	2.7	4.3	1.8	22		4.0	3.6	1.8	3.8	1.9	4.2	2.8	3.6	0.3	3.3	0.5	20	۲.3
- 2 INCI LA	SEOMETRY	SUPER-ELEV.	[inches]		2.150	1.530	2,150	1.090		5.180	2.210		5.130	2.190	2.000	1.230	2.380	5.530	1.880	3.230		4.710	5.360	2.620	5.690	1.780	6.100	2.310	3.620	0.890	3.980	1.150	A 020	55.5
Daade uinu	CURVEG	DEGREE	Idec degreel	g	- 1.98	1.47	0.87	1.02		2.57	0.40		4.05	1.83	0.60	0:30	0.55	1.07	0.40	0.58		0.80	1.42	0.70	1.50	0.33	1.22	0.47	0.72	0.12	0.67	0.15	070	1.2
	TIMETABLE	DESCRIPTION		THROUGH NORTH PHILADELPHIA INTERLOCKI					NORTH PHILADELPHIA to SHORE			SHORE to MILEPOST 76.0									MILEPOST 76.0 to MORRIS													
	EPOST	ATION		84.50	85.30	85.00	8 4.84	84.70	82.00	83.55	83.08	76.00	81.37	80.88	79.19	78.21	00.77	76.85	76.66	76.12	58.40	75.09	74.73	74.62	74.05	72.17	70.06	66.71	65.66	65.62	64.63	64.59	61.39	
		N N N		85.50	85.40	85.06	84.93	84.77	84.50	83.82	83.55	82.00	81.75	81.30	79.69	78.49	77.05	77.00	76.85	76.41	76.00	75.40	75.06	74.73	74.48	72.57	70.61	67.89	66.33	65.66	64.92	64.63	61.93	
		CV# TRK		FRACK#2	02 2	01 2	00 2	99 W 2	RACK#2	99 B 2	99 A 2	RACK#2	98 2	97 2	96 2	95 2	94 A 2	94 A 2	94 A 2	93 2	FRACK#2	92 2	91 2	91 A 2	90 2	89 2	8 8 2	86 2	85 2	85 2	84 2	84 2	83	

IC_135E5.XLS - Updated: 7/20/93

Page 7 of 9

_
_
_
_
_
_
- ^
~
-
-
_
_
_
_

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile

(135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

MAXIMUM	LINE SPEED	Imphi	115				135								120																
L SO	INCREASE	Imohl		0	2	5		10	9	10	₽ ₽	10	2	e		10		9	10	0	S	 -2	ى م	ŝ	S	ۍ د	S	ۍ ۲	₽	1 0	10
RVING SPEE	PROPOSED	Imohi		1 00	115	115		135	135	135	135	135	1 35	135		120	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	8	9 5	95	S.	ß	115	115	115	115	115	120	120	120
3	CURRENT	[moh]		6	110	110	and the second	125	125	125	125	125	125	125		110	110	8	8	જ	8	8	8	110	10	110	110	110	110	110	110
ANCE	LIMITING	linchesi			4.9	2.8		3.5	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.4	4.1	2.0		3.8	4.5	4.7	5.0	3.3	5.0	4.9	0.6	2.7	4.3	0.7	4.0	4.5	4.5	2.7	3.6
UNBAL	AVERAGE	finchest			4.3	1.7		2.4	2.9	2.3	2.7	3.0	3.2	2.0		2.8	4.0	4.0	4.5	2.9	4.1	4.2	0.6	2.1	2.6	0.7	2.2	2.7	3.7	1,9	2.5
EOMETRY	SUPER-ELEV.	[inches]			1.860	1.230		1,480	3.730	1.540	3.060	2.750	2.780	1.830		1.900	3.740	5.890	6.250	4.840	5,730	5.120	0.350	2.060	5.100	1.610	4.270	2.200	3.160	0,600	1.870
CURVE GI	DEGREE	[dec.degreel			0.67	0.32		0.30	0.52	0.30	0.45	0.45	0.47	0.30		0.47	0.77	1.42	1.90	1.22	1.55	1.47	0.15	0.45	0.83	0.25	0.70	0.53	0.68	0.25	0.43
TIMETABLE	DESCRIPTION		MORRIS to MILEPOST 54.0	Morris Interlocking			MILEPOST 54.0 to MILEPOST 28.0								MILEPOST 28.0 to MILEPOST 20.0																
EPOST	ATION		54.00	58.00	57.00	56.07	28.00	50.35	39.46	30.05	33.77	31.12	30.26	28.85	20.00	27.63	27.44	26.77	26.39	24.68	24.11	23.64	23.61	23.47	22.88	22.82	22.42	21.89	21.69	20.71	20.38
	۲ ۲		58.40	58.40	57.12	56.33	54.00	50.46	40.24	39.36	34.20	31.35	30.66	28.97	28.00	27.67	27.63	27.18	26.66	25.54	24.53	23.88	23.64	23.51	23.47	22.88	22.78	21.99	21.85	20.78	20.69
	CV# TRK		TRACK#2	2	279 2	278 2	TRACK#2	277 2	276 2	275 2	274 2	273 2	272 2	271 2	TRACK#2	270 2	270 2	269 2	268 2	267 2	266 2	265 2	265 2	264 2	264 2	264 2	263 2	262 2	261 2	260 2	259 2

Page 8 of 9

Eastbound

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profile (135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

¢

UAVIULU	MUMUM	LINE SPEED	fmohi	125				110		4						TIMETABLE SPEED													
-	2	INCREASE	[moh]		0	•	0		0	5	5	ŝ	5	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ø	0	0	0	0
		PROPOSED	Imohl		125	125	125		જ	8	8	ଞ	1 0	110	70		8	ĸ	ĸ	æ	સ	4 5	8	8	70	8	75	75	8
Ī	3	CURRENT	[moh]		125	<u>1</u> 25	125		з	8	ß	8	65	110	70		09	8	ĸ	æ	ĸ	\$	8	8	2	8	75	75	8
		CIMITING	[inches]		1.2	1.4	1.7			0.8	1.7	3.7	2.9	1.6	1.7		3.9	-0.2	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.9	4.8	Ξ		1.6	4.4	4.8	1.2
	MOND	AVERAGE	finches!		12	1.4	1.7			9.0	0.8	3.5	2.5	0.8	0.7		3.8	0.4	1.2	12	0.4	0.2	5.0	4.0			1.6	3.8	0.7
	CMEIRT	SUPER-ELEV.	[inches]		1.720	3.300	0.490			2.180	3.030	2.590	4.280	2.000	2.780		0.000	0.040	0.000	0.170	0.100	0.650	3.300	2.180		1.740	6.040	4.180	0.280
		DEGREE	Idec.degreel		0.27	0.43	0.20			0.53	1.53	2.40	1.98	0.33	1.02		1.50	0.47	1.42	1.55	0.60	0.60	3.30	0.45		0.50	1.93	2.02	0.40
		DESCRIPTION		MILEPOST 20.0 to ELMORA				ELMORA to HUNTER	Elmora Interlocking							HUNTER to PENNSYLVANIA STATION, NEW YORK									Portal Moveable Bridge				
1 100	3	NOL		15.10	19.63	19.27	18.83	10.50	14.80	14.55	14.45	14.26	14.03	12.29	10.22	0.0	9.18	8.88	8.70	8.52	8.30	8.11	7.77	W7.33	W6.10	W5.51	W3.08	W2.96	W1.11
	MILET	LOCA		20.00	19.73	19.41	18.93	15.10	15.10	14.66	14.55	14.45	14.26	12.56	10.49	10.50	9.24	8.96	8.82	8.63	8.44	8.30	8.03	6.71	W6.10	W5.75	W3.61	W3.08	W1.14
	-	TRY		#2	2	2	2	#2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	CKS	5	2	2	2	2	7	2	**	2	-	•	-	-
		#3		TRACK	258	256	255	TRACK		253 C	253 B	253 A	252	250	249	ALL TRA	248	247 M	247	246	245	244	243	242		241	240	240	239

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Profile

WESTBOUND Geometry Details

i

PREPARED BY: Conrad J. Ruppert, Jr. Manager Field Engineering
-
0
~
-
3
0
ž
<u></u>
72
v)
O D
~
~
- - - -

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

NYP to WAS

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profiles

CURRENT TIMETABLE LINE SPEED MAXIMUM 110 125 120 [uam] CURRENT | PROPOSED INCREASE [qam] 2 0 0 0 \$ \$ o o 0 ທ່ທ່ວ o 0 0 0 ο 0 o ο 0 O o 0 o o 0 CURVING SPEEDS [moh] 115 5 3 10 888 8 8 8 8 8 8 288 8888 8 8 8 \$ \$ 82 [mah] 10 888 110 10 110 110 8 5 5 2 8 8 5 8 2 8 -¥ ¥ 8 ĸ 88 '135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency) LIMITING **finches** 1.4 3.3 2 3 2.7 2.5 3.3 4.6 4.3 45 3.8 1.0 4.9 1 03 1.3 0.8 3.0 20 43 1.9 5 1.9 1.7 1.9 UNBALANCE AVERAGE **Inches** 2.8 3.5 4.4 0.8 0.7 0.4 9.4 1.2 4.1 2.8 4 28 2.7 3.6 2.0 5 3.4 3.3 0.9 4 24 0.6 ÷ 1 SUPER-ELEV. 0.070 4.110 1.710 3.370 0.180 0.290 0.300 0.470 3.040 1.390 0.750 4,120 4.580 0.750 1.500 0.740 1.390 1.100 4,090 2.920 3.520 4.540 5.970 CURVE GEOMETRY **Inches** 1.850 0.820 DEGREE <u>dec degreel</u> 0.25 0.32 0.38 <u>8</u> 0.30 0.70 0.68 0.65 4 0.33 0.43 0.67 1.45 1.47 0.85 0.32 1.97 242 0.7 222 0.27 3.27 0.97 0.47 0.97 PENNSYLVANIA STATION, NEW YORK to HUNTER Curve west of the west portal North River Tunnels MILEPOST 20.0 to MILEPOST 28.0 DESCRIPTION TIMETABLE Curve east of Elmora Interlocking ELMORA to MILEPOST 20.0 First curve west of MP 14.0 First curve east of MP 24.0 15.10 HUNTER to ELMORA Portal moveable bridge Elmora Interlocking Curve at Hunter 28.00 10.50 W 3.65 w 6.10 20.00 23.93 W 5.79 W 8.11 10.56 14.70 22.05 W 1.30 13.15 14.29 15.10 18.30 19.25 19.76 20.72 21.85 22.85 23.55 8.63 8.82 86 12.57 20.81 8,02 8.44 8.6 LOCATION MILEPOST W 6.10 86.7 W 15.10 W 1.26 W 3.03 W 5.51 10.50 13.00 19.10 20.00 21.90 14.05 14.29 18.10 19.74 20.39 20.74 21.68 89 75 22.88 0.0 8.69 8.93 10.24 12.28 14.70 23.67 7.78 8.11 8.51 9.20 TRK TRACK#3 TRACK # 3 TRACK#3 ALL TRACKS 2 ო ო ი ო ര e ŝ ന ŝ 2 2 2 ŝ ŝ ĉ ŝ ო ო m **~** ŝ \$ 22 22 22 82 88 88 78 88 88 78 18 R 245 246 247 248 82 82 82 82 240 243 243 244

IC_135W5.XLS - Updated: 7/21/93

Page 1 of 7

-
σ
C
3
0
-Q
**
- X
5
5

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profiles (135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

MAYMIN		LINE SPEEU	Jugur			120				135	فستجرج فبتهدير كثرك ومنجد وخذور والمتعاد							115				125	a real and the second sec											
2			Tuoui	>	0		5	10	10		10	9	ę	10	9	9	10		5	8	0		0	15	15	15	2	ę	0	ŝ	0	5	ŝ	15
NAME ODER		PROPOSED	HOW	3	8		8	100	120		135	135	135	135	135	135	135		115	115	100		110	125	1 <u>2</u> 5	125	125	125	125	110	135	8	8	125
ξ		CURRENI	uoui	2	8		8	8	110		125	5	ŝ	125	5	125	125		110	88	6		110	110	110	110	8	115	125	18	125	8	8	110
ANCE		DNIIIMI	Inchest	- +	4.3		4.4	4.9	4.5		0.9	3.4	3.5	3.7	21	4.1	3.5		2.4	4.4			4.3	1.3	1.5	3.9	3.6	4.3	4	5.0	2.8	4.0	4.8	5.0
INDAL		AVERAGE	lincrest	ţ	3.2		3.9	4.2	3.8		0.9	2.8	2.8	2.6	21	3.3	2.5		1.5	3.8			3.0	1.3	1.5	3.2	2.9	3.2	3.4	3.7	2.3	3.5	3.8	4.2
NUETOV		SUPER-ELEV.	11AC/PSI	2.5	4.260		6.210	6.000	3.900		1.680	2.850	3.000	3.230	1.630	3.440	1.080		1.200	2.510			3.680	0.530	2.490	4.920	4.180	4.990	1.940	5.330	1.680	5.820	5.330	4.030
		DEGREE	1000 0001001	R	1.18		1.93	1.43	0.77		0.20	0.43	0.45	0.43	0:0	0.53	0.28		0.28	0.68			0.77	0.17	0.38	0.75	0.63	0.75	0.50	1.05	0.37	1.45	1.43	0.73
TINETARIE		DESCRIPTION		LINSI CUIVE WEST OF MIL 24.0	Curve at MP 25.0	MiLEPOST 20.0 to MiLEPOST 28.0 (continued)	First curve west of Lincoln	Second curve west of Lincoln	Third curve west of Lincoln	MILEPOST 28.0 to MILEPOST 54.0								MILEPOST 54.0 to MORRIS		First curve west of Trenton	Morris Interlocking	MORRIS to MILEPOST 76.0	First curve west of Morris			Curve between MP 61.0 and MP 62.0	Curve east of Grundy	Curve west of Grundy		Curve west of Croydon		Reverse curves between MP 74.0 and MP 75.0	Reverse curves between MP 74.0 and MP 75.0	First curve west of MP 75.0
Tan	5	NOI		2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	25.55	28.00	26.67	27.18	27.67	54.00	29.07	30.05	31.34	34.23	39.35	40.26	50.52	58.40	56.33	57.12	58.40	76.00	29.09 29.09	<u>59.60</u>	60.56	61.94	64.96	66.33	87.65	20:02	72.60	74.50	75.09	75.42
AN EE		LOCA		CI .47	24.73	20.00	26.40	26.77	27.46	28.00	28.87	30.25	31.13	33.77	30 .08	39.47	50.38	54.00	56.10	56.99	58.00	58.40	58.41	59.44	60.24	61.40	64.62	66.63	66.72	20:03	72.19	74.08	74.65	75.13
	Ì	ž	ľ	າ 	e	K#3	9	e	3	K#3	6	ę	ę	e	e	~	3	K#3	3	<i>с</i>	3	K#3	~	e	3	с	ę	e	ŝ	ę	e	ر	e	e
	38	5	1	807	192	TRAC	88	8 2	270	TRAC	271	212	22	274	275	276	ш	TRAC	278	279		TRAC	88	281	282	82	5 84	38 2	59 2	88 82	68 7	82	5	262

IC_135W5.XLS - Updated: 7/21/93

Page 2 of 7

3

We	stbo	pun		NATIONAL RAILROA	D PAS	SENGER	R CORI	PORAT	NOI			NYP to WAS
				ICEtrain Northeast Corr (135 mph Maximum	idor Rev I Speed -	enue Sei 5 inch C	vice Sp ant Defi	eed Pro iciency)	files			
		MILEP	SOST	TIMETABLE	CURVE GI	EOMETRY	UNBAL	ANCE	บี	SVING SPEE	so	MAXIMUM
* 5	Ъ.	LOCA	NOIL	DESCRIPTION	DEGREE [rec.reme]	SUPER-ELEV.	AVERAGE	LIMITING	CURRENT [mob]	PROPOSED fmohl	INCREASE (mub)	LINE SPEED
TRACI	<#3	76.00	82.00	MILEPOST 76.0 to SHORE		1000100	BAUAU I	182119111				115
88 882	6	76.13	76.47		0.70	3.480	3.1	3.9	5	115	15	
7 53	e	76.70	7.04		0.67	2.730	3.4	4.6	<u>8</u>	115	15	
82	e	78.21	78.50		0.35	1.490	7 ,0	24	8	115	15	
<u>%</u>	e,	79.23	22.62		0.60	1.690	3.4	4.7	8	110	ő	
262	м	80.90	81.32	Curve eastwrd from Ford	1.75	2.470	3.6	4.5	8	2	10	-
3 8	3	81.39	81.79	Curve between Shore and Ford	4.10	5.320	3.3	3.9	50	ß	5	
TRACI	<#3	82.00	84.50	SHORE to NORTH PHILADELPHIA								70
562	3	83.16	83.84	Curve MP 84 to 2nd Street OH Bridge	2.47	5.190	3.3	3.9	85	70	5	
TRAC	<pre><# 3</pre>	84.50	85.50	THROUGH NORTH PHILADELPHIA INTERLOCKING	0							65
W 682	9	84.74	84.81	Curve at east end North Philadelphia Station platform	1.27	0.880	4.0	3.0	8	8	5	
8	e	84.89	85.01		0.80	2.370	0.7	1.1	8	8	ŝ	
9	ŝ	85.07	85.14	Curve at west end North Philadelphia Station platform	1.37	1.520	3.8	4.9	8	8	ŝ	
302	e	85.38	85.49		1.90	2.300	3.1	3.8	8	65	5	
TRAC	(#3	85.50	86.75	NORTH PHILADELPHIA to EASTWARD LIMITS OF J	ZOO INTERL	OCKING						70
900 900	3	86.24	86.38	Curve at Bridge 86.11 (Ridge Ave.)	1.52	3.440	1.9	2.5	60	70	10	
ALL TR	ACKS	86.75	3.00	EASTWARD LIMITS OF ZOO to SOUTHWARD LIMIT	TS OF PENN	(MP 3.0)						CURRENT TIMETABLE
303 Z	4	87.68	89.76	All curves between Zoo and 34th St. OH Bridge	4.85	0.340	1.8	2.4	8	8	0	
304	3	0 8 8	90:04	All curves South St. OH Bridge to Signal Br. 2.0-2.1	4.32	1.340	3.8	4.1	\$	8	0	
8	m	90.46	2.31	All curves South St. OH Bridge to Signal Br. 2.0-2.1	2.02	2.920	0.7	0.9	ß	8	0	
8	e	2.31	2.84		2.47	6.130	2.5	3.0	2	20	0	
307	3	2.84	305		1.40	3.180	1.5	1.8	20	20	0	
TRACI	<#3	3.00	11.50	MILEPOST 3.0 to BALDWIN								105
307 M	9	3.15	3.24		0.25	0.430	1.3	1.3	5	1 85	5	
ĝ	e	5.38	6.02	Reverse curves between Brill and Sharon Hill	1.07	3.080	3.7	4.1	8	8	ыŋ	
ĝ	m	6.02	6.81	Reverse curves between Brill and Sharon Hill	<u>.</u>	3.110	3.3	4.4	8	8	S	
311	ŝ	6.81	<u>1</u> 2	Reverse curves between Brill and Sharon Hill	1.02	2.940	3.6	4.6	8	8	ŝ	
312	m	9.41	99:6		1.02	5.650	23	3.3	<u>8</u>	\$	S	
313	~	10.48	11.04		0.93	4.360	3.0	4.1	<u>6</u>	105	ŝ	

IC_135W5.XLS - Updated: 7/21/93

Page 3 of 7

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profiles (135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

	L				NIDVE 2		IVENII	ANCE	1 	NAMO SDEE		MAYIM
ž	TRK		ATION	DESCRIPTION	DECREF	SUPER FI FV	AVERAGE	IMITING	CLIRRENT	PROPOSED	INCREASE	
					[das darmer]	Tacheo?	factor .	Grathan!	[que]			
					1 10000 0001 EAT	1021221	III KUMSI	INTERIO -	11001	THOM I		
TRAC	¥ ¥	11.50	16.50	BALDWIN to HOOK	*							60
314	6	11.81	12.73		0.85	2.260	2.5	3.2	8	8	0	
315	<u>ب</u>	13.69	13.94		0.75	2.630	1.7	3.4	8	8	0	
316	6	14.79	14.98		0.47	0.860	1 .8	3.0	8	8	0	
317	ŝ	15.80	15.95		0.20	1.000	0.1	6	8	8	٥	
318	3	16.40	16.50		0.20	1.000	0.1	0.1	06	8	0	
TRAC	5#3	16.50	25.50	HOOK to LANDLITH								115
319	6	17.98	18.51		1:00	5.800	3.5	4.1	110	115	5	
320	e	19.43	19.79		1.02	5.280	4.3	4.6	110	115	ŝ	
320 M	ŝ	20:02	20.15		0.20	0.640	0.9	0.9	110	115	Ś	
320 N	n	20:22	20.28		0.20	1.030	0.7	0.7	110	115	ß	
321	ę	20.60	21.03		0.70	3.410	3.3	4.0	110	115	ŝ	-
323	n	21.86	22.18		0.97	4.880	3.3	4.8	110	110	0	<u> </u>
324	'n	22.94	23.77	First curve south of Bell	4.	4.820	4.1	5.0	8	8	ŝ	
326	ę	24.20	29.16 25.16		0.42	2.030	1.9	3.1	6	115	10	
TRACI	K # 3	25.50	1 26.80	LANDLITH to WINE								80
327	3	26.19	26.80	Curve north of Wilmington Station	3.42	1.210	3.6	3.8	45	45	0	
TRACI	₹#3	26.80	27.00	WINE to BRANDY								30
327 M	3	26.88	26.93		1.37	0.580	0.4	0.7	ଞ	8	0	
327 N	e	58 [.] 93	26.97		1.10	0.320	-0.1	0.5	30	30	0	
TRACI	¥ ₩	27.00	1 28.30	BRANDY to YARD								80
328	9	27.09	27.53	Curve at MP 27.0	3.95	2.960	2.4	3.1	45	45	0	
TRACI	₹ #	28.30	1 59.70	YARD to MILEPOST 46.0								135
329	3	28.63	29.30	Curve at MP 29.0	0.85	4.850	3.1	4.6	110	115	5	
33	e	30.07	30.41	Curve at MP 30.0	1 .	5.930	3.8	4.4	110	115	S	
331	e	30.84	30.99		0.47	3.530	1.4	2.6	125	125	0	
302	e	32.61	33.09	Curve north of MP 33.0	1.8	5.790	3.7	4.6	110	115	ŝ	
33	e	33.33	33.75		0.50	2.950	3.4	4.4	125	135	ę	
334	n	34.53	34.65		0.40	5.090	2.9	4	125	135	õ	
335	e	35.80	35.90		0.20	0.750	1.8	1.8	125	135	õ	
336	ر	39.42	40.52	First curve south of Davis	0.50	3.110	3.3	4.7	125	135	õ	
Pa	ge 4	of 7							-	C_135W5	dn - STX	dated: 7/21/93

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profiles (135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

ľ	ļ											
		MILEP	OST	TIMETABLE	CURVEG	EOMETRY	UNBA	ANCE	ß	WING SPEE	8	MAXIMUM
*	<u>T</u>	LOCAI	NOL	DESCRIPTION	DEGREE	SUPER-ELEV.	AVERAGE	LIMITING	CURRENT	PROPOSED	INCREASE	LINE SPEED
			Ţ		Idec degreel	[inches]	[inches]	[inches]	lhaml	[moh]	fmohl	[moh]
337	e	41.79	41.93		0.47	3.100	2.7	4.0	125	135	<u>0</u>	
ŝ	e	44.01	44,21		80	1.760	0.0	0.0	<u>1</u> 2	135	¢	
339	3	45.27	45.83		0.57	3.520	3.7	4.7	125	135	10	
TRACK	۲ 14	46.00	59.70	MILEPOST 46.0 to SOUTHWARD LIMITS OF PERRY	ſ							125
340	6	46.72	47.29	Curve at MP 47.0	0.95	6.040	3.5	4.2	115	120	5	
341	ю	48.62	49.07	Curve at MP 49.0	0.83	4.800	3.5	4.6	110	115	ۍ.	
342	e	49.85	50.67	Curve at MP 50.0	1,40	5.170	3.8	4.3	8	8	S	
343	e	51.18	51.85		0.80	6.150	2.7	3.8	135	13	0	
344	e	53.28	53.76	Curves between MP 53.0 and 1,000 feet south of MP 54.0	1.12	5.780	3.5	4.5	ŝ	110	S	
345	с О	53.83	54.17	Curves between MP 53.0 and 1,000 feet south of MP 54.0	0.50	2.390	2.1	3.4	<u>1</u> 05	115	9	
346	3	22 22	55.64		0:30	0.500	2.5	3.6	125	125	0	
347	9	56.74	57.20	Curve at MP 57.0, north of Prince	1.37	6.130	4.4	4.9	8	105	10	
348	e	57.61	57,93		0.47	1,590	3.5	47	110	125	15	
TRACK	¥ 3	59.70	60.70	SOUTHWARD LIMITS OF PERRY to GRACE								90
TRACK	# 4	60.70	71.50	GRACE to BUSH								135
349	4	<u>60.53</u>	61,35	First curve south of Grace	0.77	2.110	2.8	4.5	જ	8	0	
350	4	62.05	62.78		0.65	3.780	3.2	4.5	<u>1</u> 25	1 3	0	
351	4	64.63	65. 4 0		0.97	5.980	4.0	4.6	110	120	10	
362	4	66.21	66.72		0.52	3.350	3.2	4.1	125	135	10	
353	4	69.83	71.30	Curve north of Bush	0.28	1.440	2.3	5.0	120	135	15	
TRACK	#3	71.50	85.00	BUSH to MILEPOST 85.0								135
355	e	73.65	73.60		0.20	0.500	2.1	2.1	125	135	10	
356	с	19:11	19.11	First curve south of Magnolia	0.25	1.940	1.2	12	<u>5</u>	135	10	
357	ر	06.77	78.42	First curve north of Gunpow Interlocking	1.17	6.460	3.4	4.5	ŝ	110	10	
357 M	e	79.48	79.52		0.25	0.940	2.2	3.3	125	135	10	
358		80.57	82.82		0.32	1.290	3.0	3.9	125	135	10	
TRACK	#3	85.00	91.70	MILEPOST 85.0 to BAY								120
359	m	85.78	86.37		0.95	5.360	4.3	4.7	110	128	10	
361	ო	86.62	88.16		0.87	5.300	3.4	4.8	110	120	0	
33	e	88.41	89.71		0.65	3.840	2.1	5.0	110	115	Ś	
385	e	11.68	86 ⁻ 68		0.47	3.480	£.1	3.3	110	1 2	ę	
Pag	e 5 o	47							-	C_135W5	dn - STX's	dated: 7/21/93

IC_135W5.XLS - Updated: 7/21/93

NYP to WAS

and the second second
_
_
- L
_
_
-
~
-
-
- A
_
_
_
-
_
_

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profiles

NYP to WAS

	MAXIMUM	LINE SPEED	(moh)			70						CURRENT TIMETABLE						80			125				-									
	DS	INCREASE	[uoh]	S	5		10	9	10	ŝ	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	5		0	R	0	15	õ	15	15	ę	\$	0	ы	S	S
	WING SPEE	PROPOSED	[moh]	105	105		70	2	20	18	45		ଛ	8	8	8	40		ß	80		100	125	105	125	110	125	1 <u>2</u> 5	5 8	8	125	5 23	125	5
	้อิ	CURRENT	fmohl	8	100		8	8	8	8	4 5		8	8	8	8	40		ß	75		100	§	105	110	<u>8</u>	110	110	110	8	125	8	120	<u>5</u>
iciency)	ANCE	LIMITING	[inches]	4.6	2.9		2.4	4.9	3.8	4.8	3.7		2.7	3.2	3.7	0.4	3.6		4.5	4.1		5.0	1.7	4.3	1.2	4.7	4.4	3.3	4.8	4.7	41	4.3	4.9	4.1
ant Defi	UNBAL	AVERAGE	finchesi	1.5	1.8		1.7	4.1	2.8	4.3	3.0		2.8	2.5	3.2	0.4	2.8		4.1	3.0		4.6	1.7	3.5	1.2	4.1	3.7	2.6	4.1	4.3	22	3.1	3.3	3.1
5 inch C	OMETRY	SUPER-ELEV.	finches!	0.790	0.900		1.850	3.040	4,440	4.300	3.350		0.420	1.990	1.510	0.230	1.940		2.820	4.650		3.360	0.500	4.320	1.730	5.500	6.550	2.620	2.040	6.000	2.980	5.570	6.070	5.820
Speed -	CURVE GE	DEGREE	fdec.deoreel	0.37	0.37		1.00	2.02	2.02	4.10	4.43		4.95	7.20	7.65	0.82	4.15		3.88	1.72		1.18	0.20	8. 19.	0.27	1.10	0.97	0.47	0.40	1.55	0.47	0.80	0.87	0.83
(135 mph Maximum	TIMETABLE	DESCRIPTION				BAY to NORTH PORTALS OF UNION TUNNEL	Reverse curves at Bay Interlocking	Reverse curves at Bay Intertocking		Curve at MP 94.0	First curve north of Union Tunnels	NORTH PORTALS OF UNION TUNNEL to FULTON					Curve at Futton	FULTON to FREDERICK ROAD	First curve south of Bridge	First curve north of Frederick Road Station	FREDERICK ROAD to MILEPOST 133.0	First curve south of MP 100.0		First curve south of MP 101.0		Curve at Winans				Curve south of MP 106.0		All curves MP 110.0 to MP 118.0	Ali curves MP 110.0 to MP 118.0	All curves MP 110.0 to MP 118.0
	POST	ATION		91.03	91.27	94.60	92.00	92.42	33.27	94.12	94.53	98.10	95.48	96.34	97.12	92.36	38.10	99.80	<u>98.60</u>	99.79	133.00	8 6. 86. 86.	100.30	102.10	103.03	103.74	104.15	104.74	106.03	106.95	108.48	110.46	111.24	113.54
	MILE	Š		90.18	91.16	91.70	91.87	<u> 82.00</u>	32 88	<u> 93.85</u>	94.22	94.60	95 .25	3 5.89	96 .96	97.31	97.59	98.10	91 9 6	8 .66	08 .66	99.83 1	100.20	101.46	102.90	103.48	103.90	104.43	105.40	106.49	108.11	110.17	110.72	113.18
		TRK		3	3	K#3	9	<u>е</u>	ო	3	6	ACKS	9	n	e	е,	3	K#3	9	3	K#3	6	Ċ	(r)	e	ო	e	e	ę	~	e	ę	e	<u>ო</u>
		# 0		æ	370	TRACI	371	372	373	374	375	ALL TR	376	377	378	379	380	TRAC	361	382	TRAC	98 98	384	385	386	387	8 8	980 980	96 96	301	392	3 6 2	38	397

IC_135W5.XLS - Updated: 7/21/93

Page 6 of 7

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

NYP to WAS

ICEtrain Northeast Corridor Revenue Service Speed Profiles (135 mph Maximum Speed - 5 inch Cant Deficiency)

N.E. CURVE GEOMETRY UNBALANCE CURVING SPEEDS MAXIMUM	TION DEGREE SUPER-ELEV AVERAGE LIMITING CURRENT PROPOSED INCREASE LINE SPEED	[dec decree! finches! finches! finches! imobil imobil imobil imobil	0.83 5.740 3.5 4.7 120 125 5	0.80 5.550 3.3 3.8 120 125 5	0.83 6.030 2.9 4.1 120 125 5	³ OST 133.0 (continued) 125	0.83 6.050 3.2 4.2 120 125 5	0.55 3.910 2.4 3.3 120 125 5	0.70 5.190 2.6 3.5 120 125 5	0.48 1.620 3.6 5.0 125 125 0	0.82 6.250 2.9 3.9 115 125 10	0.28 1.930 1.0 1.0 110 125 15	1.02 6.160 3.9 5.0 110 120 10	0.98 6.200 3.7 4.6 110 120 10	1.12 6.050 4.3 4.9 110 115 5	0.42 2.110 2.1 2.9 110 125 15	0.60 2.720 3.4 4.5 100 110 10	0.68 4.540 3.1 3.9 125 125 0	105	0.97 4.450 3.1 4.5 85 105 20	TERMINAL CURRENT TIMETABLE	2.80 1.750 2.3 3.0 45 45 0
TIMETABLE CURVE GEOMETRY	ESCRIPTION DEGREE SUPER-ELEV	Idec degree! finches!	AP 118.0 5.740	4P 118.0 5.550 0.80 5.550	4P 118.0 0.83 6.030	o MILEPOST 133.0 (continued)	IP 118.0 0.83 6.050	4P 118.0 0.55 3.910	118.0 0.70 5.190	0.48 1.620	0.82 6.250	0.28 1.530	1.02 6.160	0.98 6.200	1.12 6.050	0.42 2.110	0.60 2.720	0.68 4.540	AVENUE	0.97 4.450	IGTON TERMINAL	2.80 1.750
	<u>-</u>		All curves MP 110.0 to N	3 All curves MP 110.0 to N	7 All curves MP 110.0 to N	0 FREDERICK ROAD to	3 Ali curves MP 110.0 to N	4 All curves MP 110.0 to N	First curve south of MP	6	4 Curve south of MP 120.0		6	6	2	2	4 Curve at Landover	8	0 MILEPOST 133.0 to /		0 AVENUE to WASHIN	6
EPOST	CATION		114.39	115.63	116.67	133.00	117.46	117.74	118.34	119.69	120.24	122.08	125.59	126.69	1 126.92	1 127.82	128.94	130.88	0 134.5	133.91	0 136.0	2 135.15
MIL	ğ		113.82	115.15	116.25	08 .66	116.76	117.58	118.10	119.10	120.01	121.96	125.26	126.01	126.64	127.44	128.57	129.26	133.0	133.34	134.5	134.82
	IRK		3	ę	e	€ # ¥	3	m	e	ر	г	3	en	m	e	ŝ	<u> </u>	6	K#3	0	ACKS	3
	* 5		398	900 300	400	TRACI	401	402	403	404	4 05	Ş	407	408	409	410	411	413	TRAC	414	ALLTR	415

IC_135W5.XLS - Updated: 7/21/93

Page 7 of 7

*

PREPARED BY: Conrad J. Ruppert, Jr. Mgr. Field Engineering

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

4

.

ICEtrain Test Program Calculated Curving Speeds for the HARRISBURG Test Zone

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Calculated Curving Speeds for the HARRISBURG Test Zone

CURVE	TRACK	MILE	POST		AVERAGE	CURVE GEC	OMETRY			CALCULA	TED CURVIN	NG SPEED	
NUMBER	NUMBER .	LOCA	NOIL	Degree	Curve F	cadius	Super-El	evation	3"ub	4"ub	5"ub	6"ub	du r 7
		[east]	[mest]	[dec.deg.]	[faet]	[meters]	[inches]	[mm]	[uph]	[uduu]	[hqm]	[µdµ]	[mph]
88	2	74.86	75.21	1.00	5,729.7	1,746.4	2.650	67.3	8	97	105	111 N	117 C
685	2	77.13	77.34	0.98	5,826.8	1,776.0	2.130	ž.	8	ष्ठ	f02	8	115
889	2	1.10	77.86	1.02	5,636.7	1,717.8	3.020	78.7	8	8	106	113	119
68]	2	79.24	79.35	0.47	12,277.8	3,742.3	0.890	22.6	109	12	5	145	1 8
* 889	2	79.83	80.19	0.92	6,250.5	1,905.2	1.600	40.6	8 B	8	101	109	16
88	2	80.95	81.18	0.55	10,417.5	3,175.3	2220	56.4	116	127	137	1	1 55
680	2	81.59	82.01	1.02	5,635.7	1,717.8	2.730	6.93	8	61	104 104	11	11
8	2	80.54	83.24	0.43	13,222.3	4,000.1	0.410	10.4	106	†21	134	145	156
692	2	83.67	84.26	0.70	8,185.2	2,494.9	1 670	42.4	86	18	117	13	13
83	2	84.70	85.35	2.07	2,772.4	845.0	6.440	163.6	81	8	8	33	8
694	2	85.51	62.79	0.85	6,740.8	2,054.6	2.630	66.8	97	106	113	120	t27
S 8	2	88.88	86.21	2.03	2,817.9	828.9	5.510	140.0	Ľ	8	88	8	84
696	2	87.76	87.96	0.47	12,277.8	3,742.3	0.950	24.1	110	123	135	146	156
697	2	8 .51	30 .65	0.87	6,611.1	2,015.1	2.930	74.4	8	107	114	121	128
698	2	91.25	91,94	1.05	5,456.8	1,663.2	3.720	94.5	8	102	109	115	121
88	2	92.58	93.20	2.07	2,772.4	845.0	6.150	156.2	8	2	88	8	<u>8</u>
002	2	53.24	33.66	1.40	4,092.6	1.247.4	4.510	114.6	8	8	8	104	108
÷.	2	8.8	85.25	2.07	2,772.4	845.0	5.700	144.8	78	8	8	8	8
82	2	8; 8	95.64	0.57	10,111.1	3,081.9	1.880	47.8	11	12	132	141	150 150
704	2	96.61	97.00	1.03	5,544.8	1,690,1	3.590	91.2	ß	132	109	115	121
706	2	88 65	36 19	0.52	11,089.6	3,380.1	1.610	40.9	1 13	125	135	₹ ₹	15
202	2	101.62	102.00	0.35	16,370.4	4,989.7	1.110	28.2	130	144	5 8	024	뛄
708	2	102.49	102.75	0.85	6,740.8	2,054.6	2.140	54.4	8	†02	10	47	∂ 124
710	~	103.35	103.53	2.08	2,750.2	838.3	2.740	69.69	8	8	73	π	8

FILE: IC_HBG_W.XLS - Page 4 of 4

NOTE: A '*' next to the Curve Number denotes a compund curve.

PREPARED BY: Conrad J. Ruppert, Jr. Mgr. Field Engineering

EASTBOUND Track No. 1

•

-

.

.

x

Eastbound

NATIONAL RAIL ROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICETrain Calculated Curving Speeds for the HARRISBURG Test Zone

Track No. 1

NUMBER LOCATION Degree Curve Fadius SuperElevation 3*0. 4*0. 5*0.	CURVE	TRACK	MILEI	POST		AVERAGE	CURVE GEC	METRY			CALCULA	TED CURVIN	KG SPEED	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	NUMBER	NUMBER	LOCA	NOIL	Degree	Curve R	adius	Super-E	levation	3"ub	4"ub	5"ub	6"ub	7-ub
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			[east]	[mest]	[dec.deg.]	[jeei]	[meters]	[inches]	{ ww }	[uph]	[inph]	[hdm]	[uduu]	[udui]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	706	1.1	102.19	101.57	0.30	19,098.8	5,821.3	1.540	30.1	147	162	176	189	202
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			100.44	39.88	0.45	12,732,6	3,880.9	1,410	35.8	118	131	143	153	163
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	704	Ŧ	833	88.83	8.	5,729.7	1,746.4	3.420	86.9	\$	8	110	1 6	\$3
710 1 97.45 97.25 0.40 14.324.1 4.366.0 1.339 533.1 1.34 1.36	733	-	97.87	97.67	0.72	7,994.9	2,436.8	1.420	36.1	34	104	13	t 22	130
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	702	-	97.49	97.29	0.40	14,324.1	4,366.0	1.330	88	124	138	5 5	162	172
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ 10L	-	97.20	8.8	2.20	2,604,4	793.8	6.030	153.2	4	81	8	88	82
689 1 55.43 94.62 2.00 2.864.8 873.2 5.870 146.1 66. 84 86 97 114 114 86 86 97 114 114 86 87 85 86.01 133.60 86.33 97 114 <	- 20 2	-	95.89 1	35.47	1.45	3,951.5	1,204.4	4.300	111.3	88	91	8	101	1 8
658 1 345 5372 1806 3380 17.1 94.5 104 111 113 666 1 86.3 97.1 1460 37.1 1411 123 134 144 666 1 86.3 103 5544.8 1,660.1 3.400 37.1 1111 123 134 144 665 1 86.3 557.2 1,066.6 3.380.1 1,460 37.1 1111 123 134 144 665 1 86.3 557.2 1,066.6 3.380.1 1,460 3.381 1760 1111 123 1111 123 1111 123 1111 123 1111 123 1111<	888	-	95.43	94.82	2.00	2,864.8	8732	5.870	149.1	8	2	88	8	8
687 1 5330 22.00 103 55448 (4901 3400 87.1 111 1233 134 114 666 1 8633 86.04 0.52 11,0866 3,3001 1460 37.1 111 1223 134 144 665 1 86.33 86.01 0.97 5,972 1,0066 3,3001 1460 37.1 111 1223 134 144 663 1 86.85 0.97 5,9272 1,0066 3,3301 1,460 37.1 111 1223 144 177 147 <td>638</td> <td>-</td> <td>94.15</td> <td>83.72</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>5,927.2</td> <td>1,806.6</td> <td>3.360</td> <td>85.3</td> <td>55</td> <td>102</td> <td>111</td> <td>118</td> <td>124</td>	638	-	94.15	83.72	0.97	5,927.2	1,806.6	3.360	85.3	55	102	111	118	124
666 1 88.25 88.04 0.52 11,066.6 3,380.1 1,460 37.1 111 123 134 144 665 1 66.53 86.20 2.06 2.750.2 888.3 5.200 150.4 76 82 87 96 665 1 66.53 86.01 1.38 2.760.2 888.3 5.200 150.4 76 82 87 96 663 1 66.55 5.800 149.4 80 833 0.75 1117 117 116 117 117 116	269	-	93.30	92.80	1.03	5,544,8	1,690.1	3.430	87.1	34	101	108	114	120
665 1 66.53 86.20 206 2.750.2 86.33 5.920 150.4 78 82 87 90 624 1 86.09 86.01 1.98 2.750.2 83.33 56 104 111 117 117 116 116 116 111	% 3	÷	88.25	88.04	0.52	11.089.6	3,380.1	1.460	37.1	111	123	134	144	1 53
634 1 86.0 85.81 0.37 5,927.2 1,806.6 3.380.1 0.36.4 100 111 117 633 1 85.66 85.01 1.98 2,888.9 880.5 5.860 149.4 80 84 89 33 632 1 85.65 5.801 1,98 2,888.9 880.5 5.860 149.4 80 84 89 33 33 33 36 100 116 117 125 117 125 117 125 117 125 117 125 136 137 147 125 147 125 147 125 147 125 126 126 111 30 127 117 126 137 147 125 136 137 147 125 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 1	%		86.53	82.38	2.08	2,750.2	838.3	5.920	150.4	78	8	8	8	8
633 11 856 8501 1.98 2.888.9 880.5 5.800 149.4 80 84 83 33 622 1 8458 8337 0.75 7,639.5 5.220 56.4 100 113 125 13 622 1 8458 8337 0.75 7,639.5 2.2205 56.4 100 114 175 135 680 1 8458 8130 0.700 17.8 1011 114 126 135 147 125 135 135 135 135 135 135 135 145 147 147 126 135 145 135 135 147 147 126 137 147 136 135 147 147 136 135 147 147 136 147 147 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 <td>88</td> <td>-</td> <td>86.09</td> <td>85.81</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>5,927.2</td> <td>1,806.6</td> <td>3.280</td> <td>8.3</td> <td>8</td> <td>104</td> <td>H</td> <td>117</td> <td>8</td>	8 8	-	86.09	85.81	0.97	5,927.2	1,806.6	3.280	8.3	8	104	H	117	8
622 1 64.59 63.57 0.75 7,639.5 2.220.5 5.24.0 56.4 100 103 111 125 691 1 83.55 82.66 0.52 11,009.6 3.380.1 0.700 17.8 101 114 126 136 690 1 81.55 82.56 0.55 10,417.15 3,175.3 2,270 57.7 117 126 137 147 680 1 81.25 0.55 10,417.1 3,015.9 1500 177 117 126 137 147 680 1 73.25 15,012 2,270 57.7 117 126 137 147 686 1 73.25 16,010 27.2 1537 147 137 147 686 1 73.25 16,100 27.2 1231 147 136 137 147 688 1 73.25 15,010 27.2 1233 137 <td>83</td> <td></td> <td>85.66</td> <td>85.01</td> <td>1.98</td> <td>2,868.9</td> <td>880.5</td> <td>5.880</td> <td>149.4</td> <td>8</td> <td>2</td> <td>8</td> <td>ខ</td> <td>8</td>	83		85.66	85.01	1.98	2,868.9	880.5	5.880	149.4	8	2	8	ខ	8
691 1 83.55 82.66 0.52 11,085.6 3.380.1 0.700 17.8 101 114 126 138 680 1 81.47 81.25 0.55 10.417.5 3.75.3 2.270 57.7 117 128 137 147 689 1 81.25 0.55 10.417.5 3.75.3 2.270 57.7 117 128 137 147 689 1 80.23 73.17 10.111.1 3.081.9 1.610 40.9 106 119 129 136 687 1 77.83 1.610 2.722 2.270 57.7 117 128 137 686 1 77.83 1.611 3.091 9.610 109 107 119 129 129 686 1 77.83 1.610 2.610 8.63 9.7 107 117 128 129 129 129 129 129 129 128	269		84.59	83.97	0.75	7,639.5	2,328.5	2.220	5.4	100	109	117	13	3
630*182.3381.900.375,327.21,806.6 2410 61.286971051116381 81.47 81.25 0.55 $10,417.5$ $3,75.3$ 2.270 57.7 1117 128 137 147 6381 81.25 0.55 $10,417.5$ $3,75.3$ 2.270 57.7 1117 128 137 147 6381 80.23 73.77 0.57 $10,111.1$ $3,081.9$ 1.610 40.9 108 119 129 137 6861 72.25 73.46 0.38 $1,4946.9$ $4.563.8$ 1.670 272 123 137 147 6871 77.27 77.86 1.06 $5.371.5$ $1.637.2$ 2.590 65.8 67 94 107 6851 77.86 $1.663.2$ 2.590 65.8 65.9 92 100 107 6851 74.66 1.07 $5.371.5$ $1.637.2$ 2.590 65.8 91 99 107 6851 74.66 1.07 $5.377.5$ $1.637.2$ 2.590 65.8 94 1017 107 6851 74.66 $5.977.2$ $1.806.6$ 2.450 65.2 86 94 1017 107 685 1 74.66 1.05 $5.545.8$ $1.663.2$ 2.450 62.2 86 94 1017 680 1 7.26 6.22	<u>5</u> 8	5	83.55	82.66	0.52	11,089.6	3,380.1	0.700	17.8	101	114	126	138	\$
689 1 81.47 81.25 0.55 10.417.5 3.175.3 2.270 57.7 117 128 137 147 688 1 80.23 79.77 0.57 10,111.1 3.081.9 1.610 40.9 106 119 129 129 137 147 147 686 1 79.25 73.16 0.357 10,111.1 3.081.9 1.610 40.9 106 119 129 129 137 150 153 <td>• 069</td> <td></td> <td>82.33</td> <td>81.90</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>5,927.2</td> <td>1,806.6</td> <td>2.410</td> <td>61.2</td> <td>8</td> <td>97</td> <td>52</td> <td>111</td> <td>118</td>	• 069		82.33	81.90	0.97	5,927.2	1,806.6	2.410	61.2	8	97	5 2	111	118
688 1 80.23 73.71 0.57 10,111.1 3,081.9 1,610 40.9 108 119 129 139 130	689		81.47	81.25	8.0	10,417.5	3, 175.3	2.270	51.7	117	128	137	447	\$
687 1 73.25 73.16 0.38 14,946.9 4.555.8 1.070 27.2 123 137 150 165 686* 1 77.80 77.66 1.05 5,456.8 1,663.2 3.380 65.9 93 100 107 113 166 107 65.3 3.380 65.8 87 94 101 107 103 133 165 165 94 101 107 165 165 165 2.590 65.8 166 113 165 113 165 113 165 113 165 113 165 113 165 113 166 113 166 113 <	889	J.	80.23	79.77	0.57	10,111,1	3,081.9	1.610	40.9	108	119	129	\$	147
686* 1 77.80 77.63 1.05 5,456.8 1,663.2 3.380 85.9 93 100 107 113 685 1 77.27 77.06 1.07 5,371.5 1,633.2 2.590 65.8 67 94 101 107 106 116 107 107<	687	-	88 20	79.16	0.38	14,946.9	4,555.8	1.070	27.2	123	137	150	162	173
665 1 77.27 77.06 107 5,371.5 1,637.2 2,590 65.8 67 94 701 707 683 1 75.15 74.80 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 2,610 66.3 94 701 707 682 1 76.15 74.80 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 2,610 66.3 94 701 707 682 1 74.65 74.15 1.05 5,927.2 1,806.6 2,400 65.3 94 701 707 681 1 74.65 74.15 1.05 5,927.2 1,806.6 3,440 87.4 98 706 107 681 1 72.17 72.32 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 3,440 87.4 98 106 117 680 1 72.16 71.69 1.05 5,927.2 1,806.6 3,440 87.4 98 106 112 116 107 <	• 989		77.80	77.63	1.85 1.05	5,456.8	1,663.2	3.380	82.9	8	100	107	113	119
683 1 75.15 74.80 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 2.610 66.3 91 99 106 113 682 1 74.63 74.15 1.05 5,456.8 1,663.2 2.450 66.3 94 101 107 682 1 72.32 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 3.440 87.4 98 106 112 107 681 1 72.32 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 3.440 87.4 98 106 112 107 681 1 72.32 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 3.440 87.4 98 106 112 116 680 1 72.06 1.05 5,945.8 1,663.2 3.540 87.4 98 106 114 679 1 71.06 71.68 1,05 5,544.8 1,663.1 3.520 89.4 101 106 114 679 1 71.	685		77.27	77.06	1.07	5,371.5	1,637.2	2.590	65.8	67	8	101	107	113
682 * 1 74.63 74.15 1.05 5,456.8 1,663.2 2,450 62.2 86 94 101 107 681 1 72.77 72.32 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 3,440 87.4 98 105 112 118 681 1 72.16 71.63 1,05 5,927.2 1,806.6 3,440 87.4 98 105 112 118 680 * 1 72.16 71.63 1,05 5,546.8 1,663.2 3.510 89.2 94 101 108 114 679 * 1 71.00 7056 1,03 5,544.8 1,660.1 3.520 86.4 95 107 108 114	e SS SS		75.15	74.80	0.97	5,927.2	1,806.6	2.610	66.3	91	8	106	113	119
681 1 72.77 72.32 0.97 5,927.2 1,806.6 3.440 87.4 98 105 112 118 · 680* 1 72.16 71.69 1.05 5,456.8 1,663.2 3.510 89.2 94 101 108 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · · 114 · 114 · 114 · · 115 · 114 · · 114 · · 114 · · 114 · 114 · 114 · · 114 · 114 · 114 · 114 · <td< td=""><td>88 •</td><td>-</td><td>74.69</td><td>74.15</td><td>.8</td><td>5,456.8</td><td>1,663.2</td><td>2.450</td><td>62.2</td><td>8</td><td>Å</td><td>101</td><td>101</td><td>13</td></td<>	88 •	-	74.69	74.15	. 8	5,456.8	1,663.2	2.450	62.2	8	Å	101	101	13
680* 1 72.16 71.69 1.05 5,456.8 1,663.2 3.510 89.2 94 101 106 114 · 679* 1 71.00 70.56 1.03 5,544.8 1,690.1 3.520 89.4 95 107 106 114 ·	681		72.77	72.32	0.97	5,927.2	1,806.6	3.440	87.4	88	105	112	118	124
579* 1 71.00 7056 1.03 5,544.8 1,690.1 3.520 89.4 95 102 109 115	• 089	-	72.16	71.69	1.05	5,456.8	1,663.2	3.510	8.2	8	101	408	114	120
	• 6/9	•	71.00	20.56	1.03	5,544,8	1,690.1	3.520	8.4	8	102	109	15	121

FILE: IC_HBG_E.XLS - Page 1 of 4

٠

ķ

NOTE: A ' * ' next to the Curve Number denotes a compound curve.

Track No. 1

ICEtrain Calculated Curving Speeds for the HARRISBURG Test Zone NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

H ide 119 138 138 R 2 2 2 2 2 **2 3** <u>788658888</u> 2 119 3 8 82 8 CALCULATED CURVING SPEED 6"ub [uduu] E 119 8 14 E 113 33575 114 8 ₹ 8 28 \$ 8 1 8 5 4 8 臣문 5.^{cb} [udm] 33 2 80 82868 8 90 Z 258 8 8 8 82 S 4ª.4 (udш) 119 5 **5 8 5 7** 2 8 2 8 2 8 8 8 5 5 5 Ş 88 85 õ 2 6 2 3"ub (you) 8 88 3 2 2 3 8 5 88188828851 126 2 80 88 6 58 90.9 143.5 144.8 134.6 18.5 78.5 16.5 838 142.0 145.3 143.8 35.3 65.3 23.1 141.0 139.7 90.2 4.98 88.4 12.7 20.6 24.4 51.3 88.6 83 28.7 (<u>u</u>u) Super-Elevation [inches] 5,700 AVERAGE CURVE GEOMETRY 0.910 5.650 3550 3,400 5,300 0.500 2.020 3.580 8 2.570 8 5.500 3,480 0.810 0.960 3.490 3.550 0.730 3.090 0.650 3.550 5.590 5.720 5.660 5.550 2,619.6 3,492.8 838.3 5,514.9 831.6 873.2 1,637.2 1,806.6 3,613.2 431.2 873.2 663.2 .776.0 866.0 4,762.9 3,613.2 806.6 1,989.7 746.4 3,742.3 1,663.2 1,114.7 2,832.0 1,663.2 ,746.4 **†**66.1 [meters] **Curve Radius** 5,371.5 11,459.3 2,864.8 5,456.8 5,826.8 18,093.6 11,854.4 8,594.5 5,456.8 2,864.8 9,291.3 5,927.2 1,332.5 5,456.8 2,841.1 15,626.3 12,277.8 3,657.2 2,750.2 6,370.4 11,854,4 5,927.2 5,729.7 2,728.4 1,414.7 5,729.7 (feet) Degree (dec.deg.) 1.07 0.62 0.97 0.48 0.50 13.8 0.98 0.97 0.35 0.47 2.10 28 2.08 4.05 4.30 2.02 80 0.48 1,0 8 55 2 8 0.37 0.67 68.40 66.25 64.78 63.49 61.61 59.51 57.34 55.75 54.36 53.63 52.70 50.14 49.78 47.45 46.75 62.96 50.94 59.94 58.39 51.97 50.72 48.81 48.30 **45.25** 43.61 41.34 [mest] LOCATION MILEPOST 59.65 60.59 57.62 56.60 53.96 51.36 50.56 50.02 49.11 48.24 69.93 83.85 83.20 62.07 61.45 58.95 5.5 53.21 52.40 48.68 **46.90 5**.35 44.81 11.64 86.57 66.21 [1986] NUMBER TRACK NUMBER CURVE 662 * 654 678 677 676 676 675 673 673 664 **5**8 665 883 660 53 53 53 53 8 53

FILE: IC_HBG_E.XLS - Page 2 of 4

24

3

2.150

2,054.6

6,740.8

0.85

40.87

11.04

Eastbound

Eastbound

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEUrain Calculated Curving Speeds for the HARRISBURG Test Zone

Track No. 1

i

CURVE	TRACK	MILE	POST		AVERAGE	CURVE GEC	METRY		:	CALCULA	TED CURVIN	G SPEED	
NUMBER	NUMBER	LOCK	VUIUN	Degree	Curve R	adius	Super-E	levation	3"ub	4"4	5°ub	e"ub	7"ub
		(east)	[mest]	[dec.deg.]	[feet]	[meters]	[inches]	[mm]	[mph]	[udu]	[uou]	[udu]	(hqm)
81	2	39.89	39.43	0.65	8,814.8	2,686.8	2.430	61.7	6	119	128	136	144
650	2	39.10	38.39	0.57	10,111,1	3,081.9	1.940	49.3	112	†22	132	141	.
6 4 6	2	37.92	37.33	1.65	5,456.8	1,663.2	3.180	80.8	8	8	105	112	118
6 4 8	8	37.27	36.79	0.95	6,031.2	1,838.3	2.560	65.0	9	8	107	113	\$
647	2	35.61	35.68	0.35	16,370.4	4,989.7	1.890	48.0	141	\$	168	179	8
646	8	88	35.07	0.27	21,486.2	6,549.0	1.180	30.0	3 2	167	t 82	1 36	82
€£;•	2	35.06	34.83	0.47	12,277.8	3,742.3	1.550	39.4	118	130	142	152	162
• 75	-	34.47	34.06	0.88	6,486.4	1,977.1	3.120	79.2	8	107	115	121	128
• FF	+	34.01	33.49	0.77	7,473,5	2,277.9	2.770	70.4	104	112	120	128	\$
6	+	33.10	32.84	80	7,162.1	2,183.0	2.580	65.5	1 8	t 08	116	124	131
• 5	+-	32.55	32.11	8.	5.456.8	1,663.2	3.520	89.4	25	101	108	114	120
€ 9	•	31.53	31.22	8	3,403.8	1,037.5	5.840	148.3	63	91	8	10	104
83	-	30.78	30.28	2.45	2,338.6	712.8	5.830	148.1	22	£	5	8	8
83		30.22	29.79	38	1,909.9	582.1	5.630	143.0	8	8	71	74	78
25 25	1	25.68	25.46	0.47	12,277.8	3,742.3	1.690	42.9	120	8	143	- 1 53	8
3		2447	24.19	0.47	12,277.8	3,742.3	1.440	38.6	117	129	140	151	161
ŝ	-	22.70	22.30	2.12	2,706.9	825 1	5.830	148.1	1	50	8	8	8
623	+	22:26	21.94	2.05	2,796.0	851.9	5.860	148.8	٩٩	8	87	91	8
6 <u>7</u> 8	**	21.82	21.57	2.05	2,795.0	861.9	5.570	141.5	4	8	8	8	3
627	1	20.41	19.96	1.40	4,092.6	1,247.4	2.690	68.3	76	8	88	8	8
873 873		19:30	19.17	0.97	5,927.2	1,806.6	1.410	35.8	81	8	6	105	11
822 822		18.69	17.62	0.50	11,459.3	3,492.8	1.020	25.9	107	120	131	142	5
624	4	17.52	11.17	4.27	1,342.9	409.3	4.880	124.0	51	ъ	8	8	8
623		16.97	8.9 8.9	23	2,455.6	748.5	5.260	1336	L	\$2	52	8	87
		15.94	14.88	1.42	4,044.5	1,232.8	4220	107.2	8	5	8	13	\$
8 3 1		14.68	14.42	1:32	4351.6	1,326.4	4.200	106.7	88	\$	100	105	110
83		13.58	13.35	3.12	1,838.4	560.3	5.860	148.8	6	67	7	2	7

NOTE: A ' * ' next to the Curve Number denotes a compound curve.

FILE: IC_HBG_E.XLS - Page 3 of 4

٠

*

Track No. 1

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION ICEtrain Calculated Curving Speeds for the HARRISBURG Test Zone

CURVE	TRACK	MILEP	OST		AVERAGE	CURVE GE(OMETRY			CALCULA	TED CURVI	VG SPEED	
NUMBER	NUMBER	LOCA	TION	Degree	Curve F	tadius	Super-E	levation	3"ub	4"ub	5"ub	6"ub	7"ub
		(aest)	[mast]	[dec.deg.]	(foot)	[meters]	[inches]	[mm]	[uduu]	[mph]	[yduu]	[uduu]	[udu]
619		13.27	12.41	2.10	2,728.4	831.6	4,560	115.8	2	76	81	85	8
618 •		12.30	1.70	0.57	10,111.1	3,081.9	0.490	12.4	8	16	118	128	137
617	Ŧ	11.25	10.96	2.07	2,772.4	845.0	4.950	125.7	74	£	ន	87	91
616	ł	10.96	10.59	2.80	2,864.8	873.2	5,040	128.0	¥.	8	8	88	8
615		9.52	9.28	1.02	5,636.7	1.717.8	3.630	92.2	97	104	10	116	122
614	-	8.97	8.72	0.33	17,189.0	5,239.2	1.080	27.4	f 32	148	161	174	186
613		8.03	7.37	1.48	3,862.7	4.17.3	4.040	102.6	82	8	8 S	88	103
612*	t	6.92	5.97	2.40	2,387.4	127.7	4.780	121.4	88	72	76	8	8
611	-	5.88	4.80	1.15	4,982.3	1,518.6	2.720	58	25	91	8	104	110
• 909	-	3.37	3.22	0.98	5,826,8	1,776.0	2.380	80.5	88	8	104	10	11
6 83	4	2.89	2.75	0.95	6,031.2	1,838.3	0.920	23.4	1	8	8	102	109
602		2.66	2.56	1.5	3,819.8	1,164.3	2.340	4 :	7	78	8	8	25
601		2.17	2.08	1.38	4,141.9	1,262.5	1.040	26.4	8	2	79	8	91
• 009	*	1.98	1.75	4.55	1.259.3	383.8	0.560	14.2	3	8	42	\$	Ş

FILE: IC_HBG_E.XLS - Page 4 of 4

Eastbound

•

.