

# Federal Railroad Administration Office of Railroad Safety Accident and Analysis Branch

Accident Investigation Report HQ-2014-1049

CSX Transportation (CSX) Vandalia, IL October 30, 2014

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report, including this one, made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration	FRA FA	CTU	JAL RAILRO	T RE	<b>POR</b>	RT FRA	File #HQ-2014-1049			
TRAIN SUMMARY										
1. Name of Railroad Operating	Train #1			1a. A	lphabetic Code		1b. Railr	Incident No.		
CSX Transportation		CSX			000137001					
			GENERAL IN	FOI	RMATION					
1. Name of Railroad or Other En	intenance		la. Alphabetic Code	1b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.						
CSX Transportation					CSX	000137001				
2. U.S. DOT Grade Crossing Ide	entification Number			1	3. Date of Accident/I	Incident	4. Time of Accident/Incident			
546495N					10/30/2014		6:49	PM		
5. Type of Accident/Incident				•						
Derailment										
6. Cars Carrying 7	. HAZMAT Cars		8. Cars Releasing		9. People		10. Sub		division	
HAZMAT 8	HAZMAT 8 Damaged/Derailed 0 HAZMAT 0							St Louis Line		
11. Nearest City/Town		12. Mi	ilepost (to nearest tenth)	13.	State Abbr.	14. Cou	inty			
Vandalia	Q172.3	IL		FAYE	FAYETTE					
15. Temperature (F)	17. Weather			18. Typ	3. Type of Track					
50 °F	Dark		Rain		Main					
19. Track Name/Number		21. Annual			c Density	22. Time Table Direction				
Single Main	s-80	(gross tons in millions) 28.1			illions)	East				

0	U.S. Department of Transportation
•	Federal Railroad Administration

# FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

FRA File #HQ-2014-1049

<b>OPERATING</b>	TRAIN	#1
------------------	-------	----

1. Type of Equipment Consist:								2. W			as Equipment	nt Attended? 3. Train		Number/Sy	/mbol
Freight Train								Yes Q33230							
4. Speed (recorded speed, if available)     Code     5. Trailing Tons (gross exluding power units)       R - Recorded     47 MPH     R     9989								6a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive?   Code     0 = Not a remotely controlled operation   1 = Remote control portable transmitter     2 = Remote control tower operation   3 = Remote control portable transmitter							
6. Type of Territory							I	0 10		or portable t				ioi dunom	
Signalization:															
Signaled															
Method of Operation/Authority for Movement:															
Signal Indication															
Supplemental/Adjunct C	Codes:														
Q															
7. Principal Car/Unit (1) First Involved		a. Initia	l and Nur	nber b. Pos	ition in Train	baded (yes/no) 8. If railroad em			ad employe	employee(s) tested for drug/			Alcohol		
(derailed, struck, et	c.)	U	JP5183		1				positive in the appropriate box.				0		0
(2) Causing (if mecha cause reported)	; (if mechanical, orted)							9. Was this consist transporting passengers? N					No		
10. Locomotive Units	e Units a. Head Mid Train Rear End 11. Cars						11. Cars			Loa	ded	Em	pty	ity	
(Exclude EMU, DMU, and Car Locomotives.)	d Cab	End	b. Manu	al c. Remote	c Remote d Manual e Remote Car Locon			tives.) and Cab			b. Pass.	c. Freight	c. Freight d. Pass.		Caboose
(1) Total in Train		2	0	0	0	0	(1) Total in Consist	in Equipment 6		69	0	34	0		0
(2) Total Derailed		0	0	0	0	0	(2) Total De	Derailed 0 0 0				0		0	
12. Equipment Damage T	his Con	sist		13. Track, Sign	al, Way & Stru	icture Dam	lage		I						
10	0				8200										
14. Primary Cause Code															
M302 - Highway user	r inattei	ntiveness													
15. Contributing Cause C	Code														
M305 - Highway use	r unawa	areness di	ue to env	vironmental fa	ctors (angle	of sun, et	c.)								
		Nun	nber of Ci	rew Members			,				Length o	f Time on Du	ıty		
16. Engineers/Operators 17. Firemen 18. Conductors					uctors	19. B	19. Brakemen 20			20. Engineer/Operator 21. Conductor					
1		0			1		0	Hrs: 3		М	Mins: 50 Hrs:		3 Mins: 50		ns: 50
Casualties to:	23. Trair	n Passengers	24.	Others	hers 25. EOT Device?		?		26. Was 1	EOT Device	Properly A	rmed?			
Eatal 0							4				N/A				
		0			0		+	27. C	Caboose Oc	cupied by C	rew?			I	
Nonfatal		0			0		1								N/A
28. Latitude 29. Longitude															
-89.097374000															

## FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

FRA File #HQ-2014-1049

### **CROSSING INFORMATION**

Highway User Involved						Rail Equipment Involved					
1. Type			5. Equipment								
Auto			Train (Units Pulling)								
2. Vehicle Speed (est. mph at impac	aphical)		6. Position of Car Unit in Train								
5			1								
4. Position of Involved Highway Us	er					7. Circumstance					
Moved over Crossing						Rail Equipment Struck Highway User					
8a. Was the highway user and/or rai in the impact transporting haz	l equipment involved ardous materials?	1				8b. Was there a hazardou	us material	s release by			
Rail Equipment						Neither					
8c. State here the name and quantity	of the hazardous ma	aterial relea	ased, if any.								
N/A											
9. Type of Crossing Warning				1	0. Signaled Cr	rossing Warning			11. Roadway Conditions		
1. Gates4. Wig wags2. Cantilever FLS5. Hwy. traffi3. Standard FLS6. Audible	agged by crew her ( <i>spec. in</i> one	w narr.)	1, 1, 1				Wet				
1, 3, 6											
12. Location of Warning			13. Cross	sing Wa	rning Intercon	nected with Highway Sig	nals	14. Crossing	g Illuminated by Street Lights or Special Lights		
Both Sides			No			Yes					
15. Highway User's Age	16. Highway User's C	Gender	17. Highway and Stru	y User W uck or w	Vent Behind or as Struck by S	or in Front of Train 18. Highway User Second Train					
35	Female		No				en proceeded				
19. Driver Passed Standing Highway	y Vehicle	20. View	of Track Ob	scured b	by (primary o	obstruction)					
No		Oth	er (Specify	in Narı	rative)						
Casualties to:	njured	21. Driv Ki	ver was lled		Driver in the Vehicle?						
23. Highway-Rail Crossing Users	fighway-Rail Crossing Users 4 1 24. Highway Vehicle (est. dollar dam.						e Property Damage 6500 25. Total Number of Vehicle Occupants (including driver) 5				
26. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights?		1	27. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights Operational?								
Yes						Yes					
28. Locomotive Headlight Illuminat				29. Locomotive Audible	Warning	Sounded?					
Yes			Yes								

### 10. Signaled Crossing Warning

Explanation Code

- 1 Provided minimum 20-second warning
- 2 Alleged warning time greater than 60 seconds
- 3 Alleged warning time less than 20 seconds

4 - Alleged no warning

- 5 Confirmed warning time greater than 60 seconds
- 6 Confirmed warning time less than 20 seconds

7 - Confirmed no warning

N/A - N/A

- A Insulated rail vehicle
- B Storm/lightning damage
- C Vandalism
- D No power/batteries dead
- E Devices down for repair
- F Devices out of service

G - Warning time greater than 60 seconds attributed to accident-involved train stopping short of the crossing, but within track circuit limits, while warning devices remain continuously active with no other in-motion train present

H - Warning time greater than 60 seconds attributed to track circuit failure (e.g., insulated rail joint or rail bonding failure, track or ballast fouled)

J - Warning time greater than 60 seconds attributed to other train/equipment within track circuit limits

K - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to signals timing out before train's arrival at the crossing/ island circuit

L - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to train operating counter to track circuit design direction

M - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to train speed in excess of track circuit's design speed

N - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to signal system's failure to detect train approach

O - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to violation of special train operating instructions

P - No warning attributed to signal systems failure to detect the train

R - Other cause(s). Explain in Narrative Description

9	U.S. Department of Transportation
	Federal Railroad Administration

## SKETCHES

### Sketch of Scene



# FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

### SYNOPSIS

On October 30, 2014, at 6:49 p.m., an eastbound CSX Transportation (CSX) freight train traveling on single main track, in train control system territory collided with an automobile at a highway-rail grade crossing. The accident occurred in Vandalia, Illinois, at Milepost (MP) Q172.3, on CSX's St. Louis Line Subdivision. The motor vehicle driver and three passengers were killed. One passenger survived and the automobile was completely destroyed. The U.S. DOT Crossing Number is 546495N and was equipped with gates and standard flashing lights. There were no injuries to the train crew. The leading locomotive sustained minor damage of about \$100, and there was no derailment.

At the time of the accident, it was evening, dark, and light rain. The temperature was 50 degrees F.

The accident was caused by failure of the motor vehicle driver to yield to the train. The Primary Cause Code was determined to be M302- Highway user inattentiveness. The contributing Cause was identified as M305 - Highway user unawareness due to environmental factors (angle of sun, etc.)

### NARRATIVE

#### Circumstances Prior to the Accident

The crew of CSX Transportation (CSX) Train Q33230 included a locomotive engineer, and a conductor. They first went on duty at 3:00 p.m., CST, October 30, 2014, at CSX's Rose Lake Yard Office in East St. Louis, Illinois. This was the away from home terminal for both crew members, and both received more than the statutory off-duty period prior to reporting for duty.

Their assigned freight train consisted of 2 locomotives, 69 loaded, and 34 empty cars of several varieties. It was 6,806 feet long, and weighed 9,989 tons. The train was scheduled to travel to Indianapolis, Indiana. Records indicate the train originated on the St. Louis Terminal Railroad (TRRA) at Madison, Illinois, with 81 cars.. The Class I air brake test was performed by TRRA-qualified mechanical inspectors at Madison. The train traveled approximately 3 miles to CSX Rose Lake Yard in East St. Louis where cars were removed and added to the train. Records indicate the head 40 cars were set out at Rose Lake Yard and 59 cars were added to the head-end and 3 cars added to the rear-end of the train. Records indicate a Class I air brake test was performed on the rear 3 cars in Track Number E03 and a Class III air brake test and roll-by inspection of the entire train (103 cars) at Rose Lake Yard by a CSX-qualified mechanical inspector. The train consist was not changed after departing Rose Lake Yard.

As the eastbound train approached the accident area, the Locomotive Engineer was seated at the controls on the south side of the leading locomotive. The Conductor was seated on the north side of the cab of the leading locomotive.

In this area, the track is tangent approaching the 6th Street crossing. There is a 0.72-percent descending grade which reduces to 0.22 at or near the 6th Street crossing. Traveling eastbound there are two road crossings encountered before 6th Street and one after with-in an overall distance of 3,000 feet. CSX's track charts and wiring diagrams show this area to be double track and have not been updated since this has been reduced to single track. In this area of 6th Street, Main Street parallels the main line on the north side. Traveling north to south on 6th Street, the grade is slightly sloping upward and level after the crossing. Just east of the 6th Street crossing is a switch to the Vandalia Railroad Company (VRRC) which diverges in a northwest direction across 6th and Main Street. The 6th Street crosses two sets of track at this crossing.

The City of Vandalia was having a Halloween parade and celebration at this particular time and date which brought hundreds of people to the downtown district. CSX, nor the train crew, was notified of the celebration and was unaware of the crowded conditions and activities from this gathering. The 6th Street crossing is just one block north of the downtown business district where the parade was to take place. Numerous vehicles were parked parallel to the main line between Main Street and north of the main line. Numerous vehicles were also on Main Street facing east with their headlights on which is the same direction the train was traveling from. The north crossing gate and lights that protect the southbound 6th Street vehicle traffic is located at the northwest corner of 6th and Main Street. There are no gates, signs, or lights on the west side of 6th Street between Main Street and the main line track to protect 6th Street southbound traffic. The distance between the southbound crossing gate and the center line of the track leading to the VRRC is approximately 29 feet. The distance between the VRRC track and the center line of the main line is approximately 41 feet. Traffic on Main Street is protected by a signal pole with two lights and a stop sign mounted on it. The top light flashes yellow and the bottom illuminates "no right turn" (or "no left turn" for vehicles traveling westbound) when activated by an approaching train. All warning signals activate at the same time when a train approaches. There is also a yellow advanced warning sign on 6th Street 145 feet north of the crossing gate. There are not any painted warnings on the road. Vehicle traffic was heavy southbound on 6th Street and both directions on Main Street due to the city's parade.

This is single main track and train control system signal territory as indicated by railroad timetable. The railroad timetable direction of the train was east. The geographic direction was east. Timetable directions are used throughout this report.

#### The Accident

### Train Q33230 East

The eastbound train was being operated at 47 mph with the dynamic brake in position Number 4 approaching the accident area. The train crews' view of the crossing was partially obstructed to southbound vehicle traffic by the vehicles on Main Street, west of 6th Street. The view was somewhat blurred from the light rain on the front windows and the lights from the vehicle traffic and warning devices. The Engineer and Conductor noticed the heavy traffic in the area and the Engineer started applying the horn more than usual to warn the pedestrians and traffic of their approach. As the train approached 6th Street, the silver Chrysler Pacifica SUV became visible to the crew from behind the traffic on Main Street and traveled south into the path of the train. The lead locomotive struck the vehicle on the passenger side. The Engineer immediately initiated a controlled stop by applying a full service brake application with the automatic brake valve. The speed was recorded by the event recorder of the controlling locomotive. The lead locomotive was equipped with an on board video camera which recorded the collision. The maximum authorized speed for this train was 60 mph, as designated in the current CSX Timetable Number 6.1.

#### Highway Vehicle

The motor vehicle was traveling north to south on 6th Street and occupied by the driver and four passengers. According to three witnesses, the vehicle was waiting in traffic on 6th Street at the intersection of 6th and Main Street and the railroad tracks. As traffic cleared ahead of the vehicle, the crossing gates and lights were activated at U.S. DOT Crossing Number 546495N by the approaching train. The gate at the northwest corner of 6th and Main Street came down behind the vehicle. The vehicle then backed up in a north direction to the gate and stopped. As the train approached the crossing, the vehicle started to move south at a slow rate of speed and was struck by the train. The speed limit for south traffic at this location is 30 mph. The speed limit is not posted, but governed by City Ordinance 10.08.010.

The lead locomotive struck the right side toward the rear of the motor vehicle. The vehicle then spun clockwise as it separated from the train. The front passenger portion of the vehicle then struck the signal post/crossing gate at the southeast corner of the crossing. The vehicle continued to spin clockwise until it came to rest facing southwest with the driver's side rear tire pinned against a concrete barrier. During the impact with the crossing gate/signal pole, the male seated in the driver's side third row seat was ejected through the rear window and landed approximately 60 feet south of the tracks and against the concrete barrier. The crossing gate/signal post broke and came to rest on top of the vehicle.

After the collision, the Engineer immediately made an emergency call to the Dispatcher and explained what had happened. The head-end of the train came to rest east of the Kaskaskia River Bridge, approximately 1-mile from the collision at MP QS171.3. The Engineer stated he made a controlled stop instead of placing the train into emergency due to the scattered placement of cars carrying hazardous materials throughout the train and he did not want to risk derailing the train in downtown Vandalia with all the pedestrians around. The Conductor walked the train back about 25 cars to the river bridge and took no exceptions to the train. He was unable to walk any further due to unsafe passage over the bridge. The locomotive received slight damage to the hand rail which was repaired prior to departure. The crew remained on the lead locomotive until they were relieved by another crew. While waiting for the relief crew, the crew was interviewed by CSX's Trainmaster, and local police officers. Once the crew was relieved, they were taken to their home terminal of Indianapolis via taxi/van.

The accident scene was assisted and investigated by local Vandalia Police, CSX Police, Illinois State Police, Vandalia Fire Department, Fayette County Ambulance, and Fayette County Coroner. A CSX track inspector was also assisting who was also a witness to the accident. The Track Inspector was watching the parade off duty when he witnessed the accident one block north of where he was standing. He immediately went to the vehicle after the crash and concluded he could not do much with the victims. The Track Inspector then crossed through the stopped train and asked for someone to give him a ride home to obtain his company truck and radio to assist with the train crew. Three of the passengers were pronounced dead at the scene. The driver was taken to St. Louis University Hospital in St. Louis, Missouri and died at 12:25 p.m. the next day. The passenger seated behind the driver was the only survivor and was taken to Children's Hospital in St. Louis for a broken arm and other, non-life threatening injuries.

Damages were estimated at \$100 to the locomotive, \$6,500 to the vehicle, and \$8,200 to the crossing gate/signal post. A third vehicle also sustained slight damage to the left front corner from flying debris with an unknown damage amount. This vehicle was parked on the east side of 6th Street, south of the main line and unoccupied at the time of the accident.

### Analysis and Conclusions

Analysis - Toxicological Testing: There were no toxicological tests performed on the driver or passengers of the vehicle. There were no toxicological tests performed on the train crew. Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) does not require such testing for this type of accident.

### Conclusion: N/A.

Analysis - Highway-Rail Grade Crossing (Active Warning Devices): The highway-rail crossing at grade is equipped with warning lights, bells, and gates. There is an advance warning sign posted about 145 feet north of the crossing. There are not pavement markings at this crossing. The view of the railroad to the west is unobstructed.

The railroad has a whistle post in place about 3,363 feet west of the crossing. This whistle post is marked for multiple crossings. Both crew members said the Locomotive Engineer began sounding the horn when the train neared this post. Numerous witnesses said they heard the train horn being sounded as the train approached the crossing. This was later validated by analysis of the event recorder data.

The active warning devices were tested by a CSX signal maintainer on October 31, 2014, with no exceptions taken. The active warning devices were tested again by a CSX signal maintainer in the presence of an FRA Chief Inspector and an Illinois Commerce Commission Signal Inspector on November 18, 2014. The warning devices worked as intended. The distance between the crossing and the crossing termination shunt was also measured at this time and measured 2,663 feet. This would give a 30-second activation warning for a train traveling at 60 mph.

Conclusion: The crossing is in relatively good condition. The warning devices functioned as intended.

Analysis - Locomotive Safety Devices: The leading locomotive was equipped with a headlight, the auxiliary lights, and the audible warning devices required by Federal regulations. Witnesses said they heard the horn, bell, and saw the lights of the locomotive. A photograph was taken by a CSX employee after the accident which illustrates that the lights on the locomotive were operational.

Lead Locomotive Number UP 5183 received a complete sound level test of the horn at Avon Yard in Indianapolis, Indiana on November 10, 2014, by DMR & Associates, Incorporated. The results of this test were below the required sound level of 96 decibels as required by the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Section 229.129(a).

Conclusion: The locomotive horn was not in full compliance with Federal requirements.

Analysis - Locomotive Engineer Operating Performance: The locomotive was equipped with a speed indicator and an event recorder, as required. The relevant event recorder data was downloaded by the trainmaster at the accident site and analyzed by CSX and FRA.

Conclusion: The Locomotive Engineer was in compliance with all applicable railroad operating and train handling requirements.

Fatigue Analysis: FRA obtained fatigue-related information for the 10-day period preceding this accident/incident, including the 10-day work history (on-duty/off-duty cycles) for all of the employees involved.

Conclusion: Upon analysis of that information, FRA concluded fatigue was not probable for any of the employees.

Analysis - Train inspection: The train was inspected by CSX-qualified mechanical inspectors on October 31, 2014, at Avon Yard. No cars were bad ordered from this inspection.

Conclusion: No defective conditions were found by CSX inspectors at Avon Yard.

Analysis - Outbound air brake test and pre-departure inspections: The air brake slip required under CFR § 232.205(e) was requested and not provided by CSX. CSX responded that there are no retention requirements to maintain that document. Further investigation revealed the train originated on the TRRA and the TRRA had a copy of the original air slip which was obtained. Further investigation revealed the trains' consist was changed at Rose Lake Yard by removing a block of cars from the head-end of the train and adding two blocks of cars. One block of 59 cars was added to the head-end of the train and a block of three cars were added to the rear. This was determined by comparing the inbound and outbound (Automatic Equipment Identification (AEI)) scans of the train. Review of the train's inspection records at Rose Lake Yard revealed a Class I air brake test and a roll-by inspection on 103 cars.

Conclusion: The train departed Rose Lake Yard without the required inspections: CFR § 232.205(a), Class I air brake test, and CFR § 215.13(b), Pre-departure inspection of 59 cars.

Analysis - Accident Reconstruction: The Illinois State Police formed an accident reconstruction team and reconstructed the accident. The results of this reconstruction are not available at the time this report was written and will be available when completed. The average time to complete this investigation and final report is 6 months.

Conclusion: The report will be available through the Illinois State Police in Springfield, Illinois, when completed.

Probable Cause and Contributing Factors: FRA concluded that the accident occurred because the driver of the motor vehicle failed to stop at the highway-rail grade crossing and yield to the train. Heavy traffic ahead of the southbound vehicle, parked vehicles on Main Street west of the intersection, the added glare from headlights of the vehicles, and the design of the intersection with the current warning devices may have been a contributing factor.

The accident was caused by failure of the motor vehicle driver to yield to the train. The Primary Cause Code was determined to be M302- Highway user inattentiveness. The contributing Cause was identified as M305 - Highway user unawareness due to environmental factors (angle of sun, etc.).