



# How to Compete for New FRA Grants

March 22, 2018

# Objective

- ▶ Provide guidance to applicants on how to compete for new FRA grants
  - ▶ Grant Program Information
  - ▶ Application Content
  - ▶ Notices of Funding Opportunity
  - ▶ Application Review Information
  - ▶ Key Takeaways

# Grant Program Information

# Restoration and Enhancement

## Purpose of Program

- ▶ Provide **operating assistance** to initiate, restore, or enhance intercity passenger rail service

## Federal Funding

- ▶ \$4,796,500

## Federal Share

- ▶ 80% 1<sup>st</sup> year
- ▶ 60% 2<sup>nd</sup> year
- ▶ 40% 3<sup>rd</sup> year

# Restoration and Enhancement

## Application Due Date

- ▶ May 22, 2018

## Eligible Applicants

- ▶ State Entities
- ▶ Public Agencies or Publicly Chartered Authority
- ▶ Local Governments
- ▶ Amtrak
- ▶ Intercity Passenger Rail Carriers
- ▶ Any Rail Carrier in partnership with at least one state entity, public agency, and/or local government

## Eligible Projects

- ▶ Additional frequency
- ▶ Extension of service
- ▶ Offering new on-board services
- ▶ Establishing new service

Examples of eligible expenses: train engineer staffing, fuel, train dispatching, station management, and overhead.

# Restoration and Enhancement

## Application Requirements

- ▶ Identify private sector and/or state, local, or other Federal funding
- ▶ Include detailed SOW, capital & mobilization plan, operating and funding plans
- ▶ Provide status of negotiations and agreements with operators (e.g., Amtrak) and host railroads

# Restoration and Enhancement

7

## Evaluation Criteria

- ▶ **Technical Merit:**
  - ▶ Project readiness
  - ▶ Restoration of service over routes formerly operated by Amtrak
  - ▶ Financially sustainable beyond the 3-year grant period
- ▶ **Public Benefits:**
  - ▶ Daily or daytime service
  - ▶ Service to underserved communities
  - ▶ Promotion of economic development particularly in rural communities
  - ▶ Enhancing connectivity and geographic coverage
  - ▶ Other non-transportation benefits

# Restoration and Enhancement

## Selection Criteria

- ▶ Preference for higher matching funds
- ▶ Key departmental objectives
  - ▶ Supporting Economic Vitality
  - ▶ Leveraging Federal Funding
  - ▶ Innovative Approaches
  - ▶ Accountability



# Consolidated Rail Infrastructure & Safety Improvements

9

## Purpose of Program

- ▶ To fund capital projects that improve safety, efficiency, and/or reliability of intercity passenger and freight rail systems

## Federal Funding

- ▶ \$65,232,400
  - ▶ \$10M for initiation/restoration capital projects
  - ▶ At least \$17M for rural area projects

## Federal Share

- ▶ Maximum: 80%
- ▶ Statutory Preference: 50% or less

# Consolidated Rail Infrastructure & Safety Improvements

10

## Application Due Date

- ▶ June 21, 2018

## Eligible Applicants

- ▶ State Entities
- ▶ Public Agencies or Publicly Chartered Authority
- ▶ Local Governments
- ▶ Amtrak or other Intercity Passenger Rail Carrier
- ▶ Class II or III Railroad
- ▶ Any Rail Carrier or equipment manufacturer in partnership with at least one state entity, public agency, and/or local government
- ▶ The Transportation Research Board
- ▶ A University transportation center engaged in rail-related research
- ▶ A non-profit labor organization

# Consolidated Rail Infrastructure & Safety Improvements

11

## Eligible Projects

- ▶ Wide Range of Rail Capital Projects:
  - ▶ Congestion mitigation
  - ▶ Ridership growth facilitation
  - ▶ Enhancements to multimodal connections
  - ▶ Improvements to short-line or regional railroad infrastructure
- ▶ Railroad Safety Technology, including PTC
- ▶ Track, Station, and Equipment Improvements
- ▶ Grade Crossing Improvements
- ▶ Rail Line Relocation and Improvement
- ▶ Regional Planning and Environmental Analyses
- ▶ Safety Programs and Institutes

# Consolidated Rail Infrastructure & Safety Improvements

12

## Application Requirements

- ▶ Identify private sector, state, and/or local funding
- ▶ Provide benefit-cost analysis
- ▶ Separate projects into Tracks:
  - ▶ (1) Planning
  - ▶ (2) PE/NEPA
  - ▶ (3) Final Design/Construction
  - ▶ (4) Safety Programs & Institutes

# Consolidated Rail Infrastructure & Safety Improvements

13

## Evaluation Criteria

- ▶ **Technical Merit:**
  - ▶ Project readiness
  - ▶ Private sector participation
  - ▶ Consistent with DOT planning guidance and documents
  
- ▶ **Project Benefits:**
  - ▶ Effects on system performance, safety, competitiveness, reliability, trip or transit time, and resilience
  - ▶ Integration with other modes
  - ▶ Ability to meet existing or anticipated demand

# Consolidated Rail Infrastructure & Safety Improvements

14

## Selection Criteria

- ▶ Preference for higher matching funds, maximized net benefits, and private sector participation
- ▶ Key departmental objectives
  - ▶ Supporting Economic Vitality
  - ▶ Leveraging Federal Funding
  - ▶ Innovative Approaches
  - ▶ Accountability

# Notices of Funding Opportunity

# What is a NOFO?

- ▶ NOFO = Notice of Funding Opportunity
  - ▶ Announces a grant opportunity to the public
    - ▶ Federal Register
    - ▶ Grants.gov
    - ▶ FRA Website: Discretionary Competitive Grant Programs at <https://www.fra.dot.gov/Page/P0997>



# What is a NOFO?

- ▶ A NOFO consists of several parts:
  - ▶ Program summary
  - ▶ Key Dates
  - ▶ Addresses
  - ▶ FRA Contact Info
  - ▶ Table of Contents
    - ▶ Program Description
    - ▶ Federal Award Information
    - ▶ **Eligibility Information**
    - ▶ **Application and Submission Information**
    - ▶ **Application Review Information**
    - ▶ Federal Award Administration Information
    - ▶ Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

# NOFO Best Practices

- ▶ Read the NOFO in its entirety, several times, before starting the application process
- ▶ Start early
- ▶ Apply early

# Application Content

# How to Apply

- ▶ Obtain a Dun and Bradstreet number (DUNS)
- ▶ Register in the Federal government's System for Award Management (SAM)
- ▶ For Grants.gov, complete an Authorized Organization Representative profile and create a username and password
- ▶ Submit an application addressing all requirements outlined in the NOFO

# Application Content

- ▶ Required Documents
  - ▶ Project Narrative
  - ▶ Statement of Work
  - ▶ Project Development Supporting Documentation
  - ▶ Benefit- Cost Analysis
  - ▶ Other required documents, such as NEPA documentation and planning documents

# Application Content

- ▶ Required Forms
  - ▶ SF 424 (Application for Federal Assistance)
  - ▶ Either: SF 424A or 424C – Budget info for Non-Construction OR Construction
  - ▶ Either: SF 424B or 424D – Assurances for Non-Construction OR for Construction
  - ▶ FRA's Additional Assurances and Certifications
  - ▶ SF LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

# Application Content

- ▶ Applicants are expected to deliver what is proposed in the application
- ▶ FRA must uphold the competitive integrity of its grant programs

# Application Content - Project Narrative

- ▶ Cover page
- ▶ Brief summary of the project
- ▶ Project funding information
- ▶ Applicant eligibility
- ▶ Project eligibility
- ▶ Detailed project description
- ▶ Project location/map
- ▶ Project implementation and management
- ▶ Evaluation and selection criteria
- ▶ Project readiness



# Project Narrative – Best Practices

- ▶ Be sure to organize the Project Narrative headings and corresponding information exactly as specified in the NOFO
- ▶ The Project Narrative must sufficiently address the evaluation and selection criteria to be a competitive application

# Application Content – Statement of Work (SOW)

- ▶ Addresses the scope, schedule, and budget for the proposed project *as if it was selected for award*
- ▶ A Sample SOW Template is located at:  
<https://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L18661>.

# Statement of Work (SOW) – Best Practices

- ▶ Organize the scope of work for the project into discrete tasks
  - ▶ Be clear regarding the work to be done in each task
- ▶ Align tasks with proposed deliverable, schedule and budget line items
- ▶ Use the FRA Standard Cost Categories as a way to organize a SOW and Budget
  - ▶ <https://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Document/16647>

# CRISI Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA)

- ▶ Follow the general DOT [BCA guidance](#) (for TIGER and INFRA projects from July 2017)
- ▶ Refer to FRA's [BCA FAQs](#) for some rail specific examples of how to apply the BCA Guidance

# CRISI BCA Best Practices

- ▶ Document ALL assumptions. The more detail, the better.
- ▶ Clearly state your base & alternative cases.
- ▶ Work from the bottom up.
- ▶ Provide individual costs and benefits for all separable project elements.
- ▶ If you predict modal diversion, provide local data to support your conclusion.
- ▶ If you use a consultant, double check their work for transparency.
- ▶ Include an Excel spreadsheet that shows all calculations and assumptions.

# BCA Grade Crossing Tools

- ▶ GradeDEC link:

<https://www.fra.dot.gov/Page/P0337>

- ▶ GradeDEC documentation:

<https://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L03761>

# Environmental Reviews

31

- ▶ Are triggered by a Federal Action
- ▶ Interact with the planning process
- ▶ Can help with good decision-making
- ▶ Required by National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

# Types of Environmental Reviews

32

- ▶ EIS / ROD: Environmental Impact Statement
- ▶ EA / FONSI: Environmental Assessment
- ▶ CE: Categorical Exclusion
- ▶ Tiered Environmental Documents



# Environmental Readiness

- ▶ Document NEPA completion or review underway
  - ▶ Date of actual or anticipated completion and related milestones
  - ▶ Website link to documents
- ▶ See additional FRA NEPA compliance information at:

<https://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L05286>

# Application Review Information

# Application Review

## *1. Intake and Eligibility*

Each application is reviewed for completeness and eligibility to determine which applications move to the evaluation stage



## *2. Evaluation*

Each complete and eligible application is evaluated by a panel of DOT subject matter experts using criteria outlined in the NOFO



## *3. Selection*

Final funding decisions are made by taking into account the evaluation and selection criteria outlined in the NOFO

# Application Review – Best Practices

- ▶ **Think like a reviewer!**
- ▶ Make a strong case for the overall significance of the proposed project
- ▶ Provide statistics, when possible
- ▶ Include project timeline information
- ▶ Describe the results/outcomes to be achieved by the end of the funding period

# Application Review – Best Practices

- ▶ In all responses, be brief, direct, and clear
  - ▶ Reviewers have many applications to go through
  - ▶ Write application in such a way that responses to the review criteria are easily identifiable
  - ▶ Do not bury responses in long-winded blocks of text
- ▶ Do not exceed page limits

# Key Takeaways

# Key Takeaways

- ▶ **Follow the directions**
- ▶ Read the NOFO carefully and use a checklist
- ▶ Indicate what "success" will look like
- ▶ Name key partners, indicate in-place agreements, and include letters of support
- ▶ Register and send in the application early
- ▶ When applying through Grants.gov, use the "Track My Application" function

# Final Check

- ▶ Are you on schedule to meet the application deadline?
- ▶ Does the project align well with the evaluation and selection criteria?
- ▶ Does the application demonstrate strong collaboration and partnerships?
- ▶ Do the project benefits exceed the costs?
- ▶ Do all budget figures match corresponding figures on the cover sheet and in the Project Narrative?
  - ▶ Do numbers in columns and rows all add up properly in budget tables?
- ▶ Have you addressed all of the criteria on which you will be rated?
- ▶ Are your strategies, activities, staffing, and other application content in alignment?





# Thank you!

R&E: Ruthie Americus

[Ruthie.Americus@dot.gov](mailto:Ruthie.Americus@dot.gov)

CRISI: Frances Bourne

[Frances.Bourne@dot.gov](mailto:Frances.Bourne@dot.gov)

BCA: Nate Vomocil and Stephen O'Connor

[Stephen.O'Connor@dot.gov](mailto:Stephen.O'Connor@dot.gov)

Environmental: Michael Johnsen

[Michael.Johnsen@dot.gov](mailto:Michael.Johnsen@dot.gov)

Grant Application Processing: Moshe Adams  
and Amy Houser

[Amy.Houser@dot.gov](mailto:Amy.Houser@dot.gov)