

Volume I Table of Contents

S.0	SUMMARY.....	S-1
S.1	Background.....	S-1
S.2	Purpose and Need	S-3
S.3	Alternatives Considered	S-3
S.3.1	No-Build Alternative	S-3
S.3.2	Build Alternatives	S-3
S.3.3	Tier 1 Preferred Alternative	S-4
S.4	Summary of Impacts.....	S-8
S.4.1	Land Use/Socioeconomic Impacts	S-9
S.4.2	Energy	S-9
S.4.3	Agriculture	10
S.4.4	Cultural Resources	10
S.4.5	Natural Resources	S-11
S.4.6	Air Quality	S-13
S.4.7	Noise and Vibration	S-13
S.4.8	Water Quality.....	S-13
S.4.9	Wetlands.....	S-14
S.4.10	Utilities.....	S-15
S.4.11	Visual and Aesthetic Quality Impacts.....	S-15
S.4.12	Special Waste	S-15
S.4.13	Section 4(f)/6(f) and Parklands	S-15
S.4.14	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts	S-17
S.4.15	Travel Benefits	S-18
S.4.16	Transportation Impacts	S-20
S.5	Summary of Potential Mitigation Measures.....	S-23
S.6	Implementation Plan	S-26
S.7	Comments and Coordination.....	S-28
S.8	Tier 2 Environmental Evaluation: Springfield Rail Improvements Project (Volume II) Summary	S-29
S.8.1	Springfield Background	S-29
S.8.2	Springfield Purpose and Need	S-29
S.8.3	Springfield Alternatives Considered.....	S-31
S.8.4	Summary of Springfield Impacts	S-32
S.8.5	Springfield Preferred Alternative	S-35
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Tiering Process.....	1-1
1.2	Anticipated Decisions	1-2

2.0	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION.....	2-1
2.1	Background.....	2-1
2.1.1	History	2-1
2.1.2	Midwest, Statewide, and Regional Planning Context.....	2-3
2.1.3	Existing and Future Conditions	2-4
2.2	Purpose.....	2-7
2.3	Need	2-7
2.3.1	Travel Time, Frequency, and Reliability	2-8
2.3.2	Safety	2-11
2.3.3	Conclusion.....	2-11
2.4	Major Authorizing Laws and Regulations	2-13
2.4.1	Permits, Licenses, and other Regulatory Requirements	2-13
3.0	ALTERNATIVES	3-1
3.1	Tier 1 Alternatives Screening Process	3-2
3.1.1	Alternatives Screening and Selection Process	3-2
3.1.2	Tier 1 Screening Objectives and Criteria.....	3-2
3.2	No-Build Alternative	3-11
3.2.1	Passenger Rail Service under the No-Build Alternative.....	3-12
3.2.2	Annual Ridership Estimates	3-16
3.2.3	Purpose and Need Assessment of the No-Build Alternative.....	3-17
3.3	Build Alternatives	3-18
3.3.1	Program Elements for the Proposed High Speed Rail Corridor	3-18
3.3.2	Annual Ridership Estimates	3-20
3.3.3	Purpose and Need Assessment of the Build Alternatives.....	3-21
3.3.4	Alternative Route Screening for Chicago to Joliet	3-22
3.3.5	Alternative Route Screening for Springfield	3-38
3.3.6	Alternative Route Screening for Alton to St. Louis	3-45
3.3.7	Tier 1 Build Alternatives from Chicago to St. Louis.....	3-52
3.4	Costs.....	3-59
3.4.1	Capital Costs	3-59
3.4.2	Maintenance Costs	3-63
3.5	Preferred Alternatives	3-63
3.5.1	Hine’s Emerald Dragonfly	3-63
3.5.2	Operational.....	3-64
3.5.3	Cost.....	3-64
3.5.4	Public Policy.....	3-64
3.5.5	Summary	3-64
4.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4-1
4.1	Existing Land Use.....	4-1
4.1.1	Development Patterns	4-1

4.1.2	Existing Land Use Description by County	4-2
4.2	Socioeconomic and Environmental Justice Community Characteristics..	4-7
4.2.1	Demographics.....	4-7
4.2.2	Economics.....	4-7
4.2.3	Environmental Justice.....	4-10
4.2.4	Community Services and Facilities.....	4-11
4.3	Energy	4-12
4.4	Agriculture.....	4-13
4.5	Cultural Resources	4-14
4.5.1	Historic Architectural Resources	4-18
4.5.2	Archaeological Resources	4-21
4.6	Natural Resources	4-21
4.6.1	Geology and Soils.....	4-21
4.6.2	Ecological Resources.....	4-24
4.6.3	Threatened and Endangered Species	4-38
4.6.4	Natural Areas.....	4-41
4.7	Air Quality.....	4-42
4.7.1	Existing Conditions.....	4-43
4.7.2	Ambient Air Quality	4-46
4.8	Noise and Vibration.....	4-49
4.8.1	Noise Descriptors	4-49
4.8.2	Vibration Descriptors.....	4-49
4.8.3	Existing Setting.....	4-51
4.9	Water Quality/Resources	4-53
4.9.1	Surface Water.....	4-53
4.9.2	Drainage Basins	4-54
4.9.3	Water Quality.....	4-58
4.9.4	Special Status Streams	4-61
4.9.5	Groundwater.....	4-68
4.10	Floodplains	4-72
4.11	Wetlands	4-76
4.12	Utilities.....	4-80
4.13	Visual and Aesthetic Quality	4-80
4.13.1	Guidance.....	4-80
4.13.2	Application of FHWA Guidance.....	4-80
4.13.3	Visual Quality of the Study Area	4-81
4.14	Special Waste	4-85
4.15	Section 4(f)/6(f) and Parklands	4-89
4.16	Safety and Security	4-93

4.16.1	Rail Operations Safety and Security	4-93
4.16.2	Crossing Safety	4-94
5.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	5-1
5.1	Land Use Impacts	5-1
5.1.1	No-Build Alternative	5-1
5.1.2	Alternative A.....	5-1
5.1.3	Alternative B	5-5
5.1.4	Preferred Alternative C	5-6
5.1.5	Preferred Alternative D	5-7
5.1.6	Summary of Land Use Impacts	5-8
5.1.7	Mitigation	5-9
5.2	Socioeconomic and Environmental Justice Community Impacts	5-9
5.2.1	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	5-9
5.2.2	No-Build Alternative	5-10
5.2.3	Alternative A.....	5-10
5.2.4	Alternative B	5-12
5.2.5	Preferred Alternative C	5-13
5.2.6	Preferred Alternative D	5-14
5.2.7	Summary of Socioeconomic and Community Impacts	5-15
5.3	Energy	5-16
5.3.1	Energy Consumption during Construction.....	5-16
5.3.2	Energy Consumption during Operation.....	5-17
5.4	Agriculture.....	5-18
5.4.1	No-Build Alternative	5-18
5.4.2	Build Alternatives	5-18
5.5	Cultural Resources	5-20
5.5.1	Historic Architectural Resources	5-21
5.5.2	Archaeological Resources	5-32
5.6	Natural Resources	5-32
5.6.1	No-Build Alternative	5-32
5.6.2	Build Alternatives	5-32
5.7	Air Quality.....	5-36
5.7.1	No-Build Alternative	5-36
5.7.2	Build Alternatives	5-36
5.7.3	Conclusion.....	5-44
5.8	Noise and Vibration.....	5-44
5.8.1	Operation Noise Impact Criteria.....	5-44
5.8.2	Operation Vibration Impact Criteria	5-47
5.8.3	Noise Impacts during Operation	5-49
5.8.4	Vibration Impacts during Operation.....	5-51
5.8.5	Noise Impacts during Construction	5-52
5.8.6	Vibration Impacts during Construction.....	5-53

5.8.7	Mitigation during Construction	5-54
5.8.8	Mitigation during Operation	5-56
5.9	Water Quality/Resources	5-59
5.9.1	No-Build Alternative	5-59
5.9.2	Build Alternatives	5-59
5.10	Floodplains	5-64
5.10.1	No-Build Alternative	5-64
5.10.2	Build Alternatives	5-64
5.11	Wetlands	5-65
5.11.1	No-Build Alternative	5-65
5.11.2	Build Alternatives	5-65
5.12	Utilities	5-67
5.12.1	No-Build Alternative	5-67
5.12.2	Build Alternatives	5-68
5.13	Visual and Aesthetic Quality Impacts.....	5-68
5.13.1	No-Build Alternative	5-69
5.13.2	Build Alternatives	5-69
5.14	Special Waste	5-71
5.14.1	No-Build Alternative	5-71
5.14.2	Build Alternatives	5-71
5.15	Section 4(f)/6(f) and Parklands	5-73
5.15.1	No-Build Alternative	5-73
5.15.2	Build Alternatives	5-73
5.16	Safety and Security	5-95
5.16.1	No-Build Alternative	5-95
5.16.2	Build Alternatives	5-95
5.17	Permits.....	5-98
5.18	Construction Impacts.....	5-99
5.18.1	No-Build Alternative	5-99
5.18.2	Build Alternatives	5-99
5.19	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts.....	5-100
5.19.1	No-Build Alternative	5-100
5.19.2	Build Alternatives	5-100
5.20	The Relationship between Local Short-Term Uses and Man’s Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity.....	5-103
5.21	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	5-104
5.22	Summary of Impacts and Costs	5-105
5.23	Potential Mitigation Measures	5-106

6.0	TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS	6-1
6.1	Service Development Plan	6-1
6.1.1	Intercity Travel Options	6-1
6.1.2	Service Levels and Frequency	6-7
6.1.3	Capital Costs	6-32
6.1.4	Ridership and Revenue Forecast.....	6-36
6.2	Travel Benefits.....	6-44
6.2.1	No-Build Alternative	6-44
6.2.2	Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D).....	6-45
6.3	Impacts to Freight Rail Service.....	6-46
6.3.1	No-Build Alternative	6-46
6.3.2	Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D).....	6-46
6.4	Impacts to Commuter Rail Service.....	6-47
6.4.1	No-Build Alternative	6-47
6.4.2	Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D).....	6-49
6.5	Impacts to Rail Service During Construction	6-50
6.5.1	No-Build Alternative	6-50
6.5.2	Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D).....	6-50
6.6	Impacts at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings	6-50
6.6.1	No-Build Alternative	6-50
6.6.2	Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D).....	6-51
6.7	Impacts to Vehicular Traffic During Construction.....	6-51
6.7.1	No-Build Alternative	6-51
6.7.2	Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D).....	6-51
6.8	Station Access and Parking	6-52
6.8.1	No-Build Alternative	6-52
6.8.2	Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D).....	6-52
7.0	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.....	7-1
7.1	Continuation of Current Investment.....	7-1
7.2	Development of Staged Improvements	7-2
7.2.1	Process	7-2
7.2.2	Staged Improvements – Additional Service	7-3
7.2.3	Staged Improvements – Additional Infrastructure	7-5
7.2.4	Staged Improvements – Environmental Documentation	7-6

8.0	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION.....	8-1
8.1	Agency Coordination.....	8-1
8.1.1	Federal Agency Coordination	8-1
8.1.2	State Agency Coordination	8-3
8.1.3	Additional Coordination.....	8-4
8.1.4	Agency Coordination Meetings	8-5
8.2	Public Coordination.....	8-7
8.2.1	Public Open Houses.....	8-8
8.2.2	Public Hearings Summary	8-11
9.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	9-1
10.0	DISTRIBUTION OF THE TIER 1 FINAL EIS.....	10-1
11.0	REFERENCES.....	11-1

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Alternative Exhibits

Appendix B. Prime Farmland Soils

Appendix C. Threatened and Endangered Species

Appendix D. Special Waste

Appendix E. Potential Grade Separation Crossings and Protection Measures

Appendix F. Agency Coordination

Early Coordination

Tier 1 Draft EIS

Appendix G. Federal Register Notice of Intent

Appendix H. Tier 1 Draft EIS Public Hearings Summary

Federal Register Notice of Availability

Resource Agency and Public Comment Disposition Table

List of Exhibits

Exhibit S.0-1. Program Location	2
Exhibit S.3-1. Build Alternative Alignment Sections between Chicago and St. Louis.....	5
Exhibit S.6-1. Staged Improvements	27
Exhibit S.8-1. Existing Railroad Corridors	30
Exhibit 2.0-1. Program Location	2-2
Exhibit 2.1-1. Existing Track Configurations	2-6
Exhibit 3.1-1. Map of Overall Study Corridor for the Alternatives Screening.....	3-4
Exhibit 3.3-1. Initial Range of Chicago to Joliet Alternatives (Alternatives 1, 2, and 3)3-23	
Exhibit 3.3-2. Initial Range of Chicago to Joliet Alternatives (Alternatives 4A – 4E) ...	3-24
Exhibit 3.3-3. Initial Range of Chicago to Joliet Alternatives (Alternatives 5A – 5D)...	3-25
Exhibit 3.3-4. Initial Range of Chicago to Joliet Alternatives (Alternatives 6A – 6D)...	3-26
Exhibit 3.3-5. Initial Range of Springfield Alternatives (Alternative 1)	3-39
Exhibit 3.3-6. Initial Range of Springfield Alternatives (Alternatives 2 and 3).....	3-40
Exhibit 3.3-7. Initial Range of Springfield Alternatives (Alternatives 4 and 5).....	3-41
Exhibit 3.3-8. Initial Range of St. Louis Alternatives (Alternatives 1 and 2)	3-46
Exhibit 3.3-9. Initial Range of St. Louis Alternatives (Alternatives 3 and 4)	3-47
Exhibit 3.3-10. Build Alternative Alignment Sections between Chicago and St. Louis	3-54
Exhibit 4.2-1. Illinois Metropolitan Statistical Areas	4-8
Exhibit 4.4-1. Prime Farmland Soils (1 of 3).....	4-15
Exhibit 4.4-2. Prime Farmland Soils (2 of 3).....	4-16
Exhibit 4.4-3. Prime Farmland Soils (3 of 3).....	4-17
Exhibit 4.5-1. NRHP and NRHP-Eligible Resources within 250 Feet of Alignments ...	4-20
Exhibit 4.6-1. Physiographic Units	4-22
Exhibit 4.6-2. Ecoregions (1 of 3)	4-25
Exhibit 4.6-3. Ecoregions (2 of 3)	4-26
Exhibit 4.6-4. Ecoregions (3 of 3)	4-27
Exhibit 4.6-5. Ecological Provinces (1 of 3).....	4-29
Exhibit 4.6-6. Ecological Provinces (2 of 3).....	4-30
Exhibit 4.6-7. Ecological Provinces (3 of 3).....	4-31
Exhibit 4.6-8. Nature Preserves and Prairie Remnants	4-33
Exhibit 4.6-9. Nature Preserves and Prairie Remnants	4-34
Exhibit 4.6-10. Nature Preserves and Prairie Remnants	4-35
Exhibit 4.6-11. Hines Emerald Dragonfly.....	4-40
Exhibit 4.8-1. Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels	4-50
Exhibit 4.8-2. Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration.....	4-52
Exhibit 4.9-1. Drainage Basins (1 of 3)	4-55
Exhibit 4.9-2. Drainage Basins (2 of 3)	4-56
Exhibit 4.9-3. Drainage Basins (3 of 3)	4-57
Exhibit 4.9-4. Outstanding Resource Value Streams	4-63
Exhibit 4.9-5. Biologically Significant Streams	4-64
Exhibit 4.9-6. Aquatic Features (1 of 3).....	4-65
Exhibit 4.9-7. Aquatic Features (2 of 3).....	4-66
Exhibit 4.9-8. Aquatic Features (3 of 3).....	4-67

Exhibit 4.9-9. Water Supply Resources (1 of 3).....	4-69
Exhibit 4.9-10. Water Supply Resources (2 of 3).....	4-70
Exhibit 4.9-11. Water Supply Resources (3 of 3).....	4-71
Exhibit 4.10-1. 100 Year Floodplain Crossings (1 of 3)	4-73
Exhibit 4.10-2. 100 Year Floodplain Crossings (2 of 3)	4-74
Exhibit 4.10-3. 100 Year Floodplain Crossings (3 of 3)	4-75
Exhibit 4.11-1. National Wetland Inventory Crossings (1 of 3).....	4-77
Exhibit 4.11-2. National Wetland Inventory Crossings (2 of 3).....	4-78
Exhibit 4.11-3. National Wetland Inventory Crossings (3 of 3).....	4-79
Exhibit 4.13-1. Illinois Scenic Byways.....	4-84
Exhibit 4.14-1. Special Waste (1 of 3)	4-86
Exhibit 4.14-2. Special Waste (2 of 3)	4-87
Exhibit 4.14-3. Special Waste (3 of 3)	4-88
Exhibit 4.15-1. Section 4(f) Resources.....	4-92
Exhibit 5.5-1. Potential Impacts to the Lockport Historic DistrictExhibit	5-23
Exhibit 5.5-2. Potential Impacts to Joliet Steel Works.....	5-24
Exhibit 5.5-3. Potential Impacts to Hamilton Park.....	5-25
Exhibit 5.5-4. Potential Impacts to Dwight Chicago and Alton Railroad Depot	5-27
Exhibit 5.5-5. Potential Impacts to Bridge over Market Street	5-28
Exhibit 5.5-6. Potential Impacts to Lincoln Courthouse Square Historic District	5-29
Exhibit 5.5-7. Potential Impacts to Susan Lawrence Dana House	5-30
Exhibit 5.5-8. Potential Impacts to Route 66, Girard to Nilwood	5-31
Exhibit 5.6-1. Endangered Species (1 of 3)	5-37
Exhibit 5.6-2. Endangered Species (2 of 3)	5-38
Exhibit 5.6-3. Endangered Species (3 of 3)	5-39
Exhibit 5.8-1. Noise Impact Criteria for High Speed Rail Programs	5-46
Exhibit 5.8-2. Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels Allowed by Criteria	5-48
Exhibit 5.15-1. Potential Impacts to Hoyne Park.....	5-76
Exhibit 5.15-2. Potential Impacts to Summit Park.....	5-77
Exhibit 5.15-3. Potential Impacts to Centennial Trail/I&M Canal Trail	5-79
Exhibit 5.15-4. Potential Impacts to Ping Tom Memorial Park	5-80
Exhibit 5.15-5. Potential Impacts to Hamilton Park.....	5-81
Exhibit 5.15-6. Potential Impacts to Lyle Park.....	5-82
Exhibit 5.15-7. Potential Impacts to Vogt Woods Park.....	5-83
Exhibit 5.15-8. Potential Impacts to Midlothian Meadows.....	5-85
Exhibit 5.15-9. Potential Impacts to St. Mihiel Reservation	5-86
Exhibit 5.15-10. Potential Impacts to Pilcher Park	5-87
Exhibit 5.15-11. Potential Impacts to Hickory Creek Preserve.....	5-88
Exhibit 5.15-12. Potential Impacts to Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie.....	5-89
Exhibit 5.15-13. Potential Impacts to Funks Grove/Stubblefield Nature Preserve.....	5-91
Exhibit 5.15-14. Potential Impacts-Edward R. Madigan State Park/Railsplitter Park...	5-92
Exhibit 5.15-15. Potential Impacts to the Unnamed Park (Sherman)	5-93
Exhibit 5.15-16. Potential Impacts to the Interurban Trail	5-94
Exhibit 5.15-17. Potential Impacts to the Denby Prairie Nature Preserve	5-96
Exhibit 6.1-1. Rail to Rail Crossings – Chicago to Joliet (Build Alternative Section 1) .	6-11
Exhibit 6.1-2. Rail to Rail Crossings – Chicago to Joliet (Build Alternative Section 2) .	6-12

Exhibit 6.1-3. Rail to Rail Crossings – Chicago to Joliet (Build Alternative Section 2) .	6-14
Exhibit 6.1-4. Build Alternative Stringline Diagram (Alternatives A and B - AM).....	6-21
Exhibit 6.1-5. Build Alternative Stringline Diagram (Alternatives A and B - PM).....	6-21
Exhibit 6.1-6. Build Alternative Stringline Diagram (Alternatives C and D - AM).....	6-23
Exhibit 6.1-7. Build Alternative Stringline Diagram (Alternatives C and D - PM)	6-23
Exhibit 6.1-8. Build Alternative Stringline Diagram (Joliet to Bloomington).....	6-24
Exhibit 6.1-9. Build Alternative Stringline Diagram (Bloomington to East St. Louis).....	26
Exhibit 6.1-10. St. Louis Area Intercity Passenger Routes	6-27
Exhibit 6.1-11 Railroads in the St. Louis Area	6-28
Exhibit 7.2-1. Staged Improvements	7-7

List of Tables

Table S.4-1. Summary of Impacts and Costs.....	8
Table S.4-2. Comparison of Land Use and Environmental Justice Impacts between Build Alternatives.....	9
Table S.4-3. Annual Energy Consumption (billions of BTUs).....	9
Table S.4-4. Prime Farmland Soil Impacts by Alternative	10
Table S.4-5. Summary of Potentially Impacted Historic Architectural Resources	11
Table S.4-6. Acreage of Impact to Natural Resources by Alternative	12
Table S.4-7 Noise and Vibration Impacts (Number of Sensitive Receptors).....	13
Table S.4-8. 100-Year Floodplain Impact by Alternative	14
Table S.4-9. Special Waste Sites by Alternative	15
Table S.4-10. Visual Resource Impact Summary	16
Table S.4-11. Potential Number of Section 4(f) Resources Impacted.....	17
Table S.5-1. Potential Mitigation Measures.....	23
Table S.8-1. Environmental Impact Summary of Alternatives 2A and 2B	32
Table S.8-2. Mitigation	36
Table 2.1-1: Chicago to St. Louis HSR Corridor – Existing Track Configuration.....	2-5
Table 2.3-1. U.S. Transportation Accident Rates for Land-Based Modes (2006)	2-9
Table 2.3-2: Fatality Rates by Mode (2006).....	2-11
Table 3.1-1. Design Elements of the Program Alternatives	3-3
Table 3.1-2. Tier 1 Objectives and Screening Criteria	3-5
Table 3.2-1. Summary of Approved and/or Completed Rail Design Elements, Features, and/or Improvements Considered Part of the No-Build Alternative.....	3-13
Table 3.2-2. Travel Times by Transportation Mode between Chicago and St. Louis	3-16
Table 3.2-3. Annual Ridership Data for Each Mode of Transportation between Chicago and St. Louis under the Existing and No-Build Alternative Conditions	3-16
Table 3.3-1. Travel Times by Transportation Mode between Chicago and St. Louis	3-20
Table 3.3-2. Annual Ridership Data for Each Mode of Transportation between Chicago and St. Louis	3-21
Table 3.3-3. Summary of Chicago to Joliet Tier 1 Screening.....	3-36
Table 3.3-4. Summary of Springfield Tier 1 Screening	43
Table 3.3-5. Summary of Alton to St. Louis Tier 1 Screening.....	3-50

Table 3.3-6. Proposed New Right-of-Way for each Build Alternative by Section.....	3-57
Table 3.3-7. Summary of Station Improvements.....	3-58
Table 3.4-1. Capital Cost for each Build Alternative Section.....	3-62
Table 3.4-2. Capital Cost for each Build Alternative Section.....	3-62
Table 4.2-1. Environmental Justice Populations.....	4-11
Table 4.2-2. Community Facilities.....	4-12
Table 4.3-1. Existing Annual Passenger-Miles of Travel and Energy Consumption	4-13
Table 4.5-1. NRHP and NRHP-Eligible Resources within 250 Feet of Alignments	4-18
Table 4.5-2. Previously-Identified NRHP-listed Archaeological Sites	4-21
Table 4.6-1. INPC Protected Areas within Study Corridor	4-42
Table 4.7-1. National Ambient Air Quality Standards	4-44
Table 4.7-2. Attainment Status ¹	4-45
Table 4.7-3. Criteria Air Pollutant Monitoring Data.....	4-47
Table 4.8-1 Existing Noise Levels	4-53
Table 4.8-2 Existing Vibration Levels	4-53
Table 4.9-1. Drainage Basins*	4-54
Table 4.9-2. 303(d) Listed Impaired Waters in the Study Corridor	4-59
Table 4.10-1. Major 100-year Floodplain Streams within Study Corridor.....	4-72
Table 4.11-1. Acreage of NWI Wetland Types within the Study Corridor.....	4-76
Table 4.13-1. Landscape Regions, Units, and Visual Survey Locations.....	4-82
Table 4.14-1. Environmental Databases.....	4-85
Table 4.14-2. NPL Sites.....	4-89
Table 4.15-1. Potential Section 4(f) Properties within 250 Feet of Alignments	4-90
Table 4.15-2. Potential Section 6(f) Properties within 250 Feet of Alignments	4-93
Table 4.16-1. At-Grade Rail-Rail Crossings.....	4-95
Table 4.16-2. Summary of Highway-Rail Crossings.....	4-97
Table 5.1-1. Buildings Potentially Displaced by Alternative A.....	5-4
Table 5.1-2. Buildings Potentially Displaced by Alternative B	5-6
Table 5.1-3. Buildings Potentially Displaced by Preferred Alternative C	5-7
Table 5.1-4. Buildings Potentially Displaced by Preferred Alternative D	5-8
Table 5.1-5. Comparison of Land Use Impacts between Alternatives	5-9
Table 5.2-1. Alternative A Geographic Distribution of Low-income and Minority Populations	5-12
Table 5.2-2. Alternative B Geographic Distribution of Low-income and Minority Populations	5-13
Table 5.2-3. Preferred Alternative C Geographic Distribution of Low-income and Minority Populations.....	5-14
Table 5.2-4. Preferred Alternative D Geographic Distribution of Low-income and Minority Populations.....	5-15
Table 5.2-5. Comparison of Socioeconomic and Community Impacts between Build Alternatives and Sections.....	5-16
Table 5.3-1. Annual Passenger-Miles of Travel (millions)	5-17
Table 5.3-2. Annual Energy Consumption (billions of BTUs).....	5-18
Table 5.4-1. Prime Farmland Soil Impacts by Section	5-19
Table 5.4-2. Prime Farmland Soil Impacts by Alternative	5-20
Table 5.5-1. Potentially Impacted Historic Architectural Resources	5-21

Table 5.5-2. Summary of Potentially Impacted Historic Architectural Resources	5-22
Table 5.6-1. Acreage of Impact to Natural Resources by Section	5-33
Table 5.6-2. Acreage of Impact to Natural Resources by Alternative	5-34
Table 5.6-3. State Threatened and Endangered Species Recorded within the Existing and Proposed ROW	5-35
Table 5.7-1. Estimated Annual Operational Emissions of Key Criteria Air Pollutants in Nonattainment Areas ¹	5-41
Table 5.7-2. Predicted CO ₂ Emissions for Key Alternative Transportation Modes within the Study Corridor	5-44
Table 5.8-1. Land Use Categories and Metrics for High Speed Rail Noise Impact Criteria	5-45
Table 5.8-2. Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria for Human Annoyance	5-49
Table 5.8-3 Noise Impacts ^{1,2} (Number of Sensitive Receptors)	5-50
Table 5.8-4. Comparison of Ground Vibration Impact Curves	5-51
Table 5.8-5 Vibration Impacts ^{1,2} (Number of Sensitive Receptors)	5-52
Table 5.8-6. Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	5-53
Table 5.8-7. Construction Equipment Vibration Impact Distances	5-54
Table 5.9-1. Summary of Surface Water Crossings by Section	5-59
Table 5.9-2. Summary of Surface Water Crossings by Alternative	5-60
Table 5.9-3. Special Status Stream Impacts by Section	5-61
Table 5.9-4. Special Status Stream Impacts by Alternative	5-62
Table 5.9-5. Well Crossings by Alternative	5-63
Table 5.10-1. 100-Year Floodplain Impacts by Section	5-64
Table 5.10-2. 100-Year Floodplain Impacts by Alternative	5-65
Table 5.11-1. NWI Wetland Impacts by Section	5-66
Table 5.11-2. NWI Wetland Impact by Alternative	5-66
Table 5.13-1. Visual Resource Impact Summary	5-68
Table 5.14-1. Special Waste Sites by Section	5-72
Table 5.14-2. Special Waste Sites by Alternative	5-72
Table 5.15-1. Potential Section 4(f) Resource Uses by Section	5-74
Table 5.15-2. Potential Number of Uses of Section 4(f) Resources by	5-75
Section and Alternative	5-75
Table 5.16-1. Rail-Rail Crossings to be Modified	5-98
Table 5.22-1. Summary of Impacts and Costs	5-105
Table 5.23-1. Potential Mitigation Measures	5-106
Table 6.1-1. Travel Times and Costs by Mode of Transportation	6-2
Table 6.1-2. Chicago–St. Louis Intercity Passenger Rail Service Representative Travel Times – Southbound	6-8
Table 6.1-3. Trains per Day - Chicago to Joliet (Section 1)	6-10
Table 6.1-4. Trains per Day - Chicago to Joliet (Section 2)	6-13
Table 6.1-5. Chicago-St. Louis Train Operations on the UP Joliet Subdivision (Joliet to Bloomington)	6-15
Table 6.1-6. Chicago-St. Louis Train Operations on the UP-Springfield Subdivision (Bloomington to Ridgley)	6-16
Table 6.1-7. Chicago-St. Louis Train Operations on the UP-Springfield Subdivision (Ridgley to Godfrey)	6-16

Table 6.1-8. Chicago-St. Louis Train Operations on the UP-Springfield Subdivision (Godfrey to WR Tower).....	6-17
Table 6.1-9. Chicago to St. Louis Passenger Vehicles	6-33
Table 6.1-10. Annual Ridership – Existing (2010)	6-38
Table 6.1-11. Annual Ridership – 2030 No-Build Alternative	6-39
Table 6.1-12. Annual Ridership – 2030 Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D) – Existing (100%) Fare	6-40
Table 6.1-13. Annual Ridership – 2030 Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D) – 150% Fare	6-41
Table 6.1-14. Annual Ridership – 2030 Build Alternatives (Alternatives A and B and Preferred Alternatives C and D) – 200% Fare	6-42
Table 6.1-15. Ticket Revenue Forecasts (in 2010 dollars)	6-44
Table 7.1-1. Expected Timetable after Completion of Current Program	7-2
Table 7.2-1. Expected Timetable after 303/304 Upgrade	7-4
Table 8.2-1. Areas of Concern	8-9
Table 8.2-2. Alternative Evaluation Criteria	8-10

Volume II Table of Contents

S.1 Tier 2 Evaluation: Springfield Rail Improvements Project (Volume II)	
Summary	S-1
S.1.1 Springfield Background	S-1
S.1.2 Springfield Purpose and Need	S-1
S.1.3 Springfield Alternatives Considered	S-4
S.1.4 Summary of Springfield Impacts.....	S-4
S.1.5 Environmental Justice	S-6
S.1.6 Springfield Preferred Alternative	S-8
S.1.7 Summary of Mitigation.....	S-9
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Tiering Process.....	1-1
1.2 Tier 1 Alternatives in Springfield	1-1
1.3 Springfield Rail Improvement Alternatives	1-2
1.4 Anticipated Decisions	1-4
2.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE SPRINGFIELD RAIL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT	2-1
2.1 Project Background	2-1
2.1.1 Springfield Rail Improvements Project.....	2-1
2.2 Purpose.....	2-5
2.2.1 Chicago to St. Louis High-Speed Rail	2-5
2.2.2 Springfield Rail Improvements Project.....	2-5
2.3 Need	2-5
2.3.1 Chicago to St. Louis High-Speed Rail Program Need	2-5
2.3.2 Springfield Rail Improvements Project Need	2-8
2.3.3 Goals and Objectives.....	2-16
2.4 Major Authorizing Laws and Regulations	2-17
2.5 Scoping.....	2-17
3.0 ALTERNATIVES	3-1
3.1 Tier 2 Alternatives Screening Process	3-1
3.1.1 Alternatives Screening and Selection Process	3-1
3.2 No-Build Alternative	3-4

3.3	Build Alternatives	3-4
3.3.1	Alternative 1.....	3-5
3.3.2	Alternative 2.....	3-13
3.4	Springfield Tier 2 Screening of Alternatives.....	3-13
3.4.1	Safety	3-13
3.4.2	Congestion.....	3-17
3.4.3	Livability and Commercial Activity	3-18
3.4.4	Present Value and Capital Costs.....	3-19
3.4.5	Operational Issues.....	3-23
3.4.6	Right-of-Way Required	3-23
3.4.7	Impacts to Social and Economic Resources	3-24
3.4.8	Tier 2 Screening Results.....	3-25
3.5	Alternatives Carried Forward for Detailed Study	3-25
3.6	Preferred Alternative	3-26
4.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4-1
4.1	Existing Land Use.....	4-1
4.2	Socio-economic and Environmental Justice Population Characteristics ..	4-3
4.2.1	Population and Population Distribution	4-3
4.2.2	Demographics.....	4-3
4.2.3	Economics and Employment.....	4-4
4.2.4	Income and Wages	4-7
4.2.5	Environmental Justice and Title VI.....	4-7
4.2.6	Transportation Network	4-17
4.2.7	Communities, Facilities, and Services	4-17
4.3	Energy.....	4-25
4.4	Agriculture.....	4-25
4.5	Cultural Resources	4-25
4.5.1	Historic Resources in the Area of Potential Effect.....	4-29
4.5.2	Archaeological Resources	4-32
4.6	Natural Resources	4-33
4.6.1	Ecological Resources.....	4-33
4.6.2	Threatened and Endangered Species	4-35
4.6.3	Natural Areas.....	4-38
4.7	Air Quality.....	4-38
4.7.1	Air Quality Conformity	4-38
4.7.2	Mobile Source Air Toxics	4-39
4.7.3	Ambient Air Quality	4-39
4.8	Noise/Vibration	4-40
4.8.1	Noise and Vibration Impact Criteria	4-40

4.8.2	Existing Conditions	4-45
4.9	Water Quality/Resources	4-51
4.9.1	Surface Water Resources	4-51
4.9.2	Water Quality	4-53
4.9.3	Groundwater	4-53
4.10	Floodplains	4-53
4.11	Wetlands	4-54
4.12	Utilities	4-54
4.13	Visual and Aesthetic Quality	4-56
4.14	Special Waste	4-56
4.15	Section 4(f)/6(f) and Parklands	4-59
4.15.1	Section 4(f) Resources	4-59
4.15.2	Section 6(f) Lands	4-62
4.16	Safety and Security	4-62
4.16.1	Rail Operations Safety and Security	4-62
4.16.2	Crossing Safety	4-63
5.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	5-1
5.1	Land Use Impacts	5-2
5.1.1	No-Build Alternative	5-2
5.1.2	The Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2B	5-2
5.2	Socio-economics, Environmental Justice, and Community Impacts	5-3
5.2.1	Relocation	5-3
5.2.2	Environmental Justice and Title VI	5-8
5.2.3	Public Services/Facilities	5-13
5.2.4	Community Impacts	5-14
5.2.5	Economic Benefits and Impacts	5-27
5.3	Energy	5-28
5.4	Agriculture	5-29
5.5	Cultural Resources	5-29
5.5.1	Archaeological Resources	5-33
5.6	Natural Resources	5-34
5.6.1	Threatened and Endangered Species	5-34
5.6.2	Natural Areas	5-34
5.7	Air Quality	5-34
5.7.1	Conformity	5-34
5.7.2	Mobile Source Air Toxics	5-35
5.7.3	Local Air Quality	5-35

5.7.4	Construction Impacts.....	5-37
5.8	Noise/Vibration	5-37
5.8.1	Noise and Vibration Projections	5-37
5.8.2	Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment.....	5-41
5.8.3	Construction Noise Impact Assessment	5-45
5.8.4	Noise and Vibration Mitigation	5-46
5.9	Water Quality/Resources	5-48
5.9.1	Surface Water Impacts.....	5-48
5.9.2	Groundwater.....	5-49
5.10	Floodplains	5-49
5.11	Wetlands	5-50
5.12	Utilities.....	5-50
5.13	Visual and Aesthetic Quality	5-50
5.14	Special Waste	5-50
5.15	Section 4(f)/6(f) and Parklands	5-50
5.16	Safety and Security	5-50
5.17	Permits.....	5-54
5.18	Construction Impacts.....	5-54
5.19	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts.....	5-55
5.19.1	Indirect Impacts.....	5-55
5.19.2	Cumulative Impacts.....	5-56
5.20	Other Impacts.....	5-57
5.20.1	Railroad Operations.....	5-57
5.20.2	Reduce Train Horn Blowing	5-58
5.21	The Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses and Man’s Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	5-59
5.22	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	5-59
5.23	Transportation Impacts	5-60
5.23.1	Service Development Plan	5-60
5.23.2	Capital Cost.....	5-60
5.23.3	Travel Benefits	5-60
5.23.4	Additional Impacts to Rail, Air and Bus Service	5-61
5.24	Mitigation Actions	5-61
6.0	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION.....	6-1
6.1	Agency Coordination.....	6-1

6.1.1	Federal Agency Coordination	6-1
6.1.2	State Agency Coordination	6-2
6.1.3	Local Governments	6-2
6.2	Technical Committee.....	6-3
6.3	Public Involvement Activities	6-3
6.3.1	Stakeholder Interviews.....	6-3
6.3.2	Stakeholder Advisory Groups.....	6-3
6.3.3	Communications, Outreach, and Engagement.....	6-4
6.3.4	Public Open Houses Prior to Draft EIS	6-6
6.3.5	Springfield Public Hearing	6-11
6.3.6	Comments on the Tier 2 Draft EIS	6-12
7.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	7-1
8.0	DISTRIBUTION OF THE FINAL EIS	8-1
9.0	REFERENCES.....	9-1
APPENDICES		
Appendix A Correspondence		
Appendix B Alternatives 2A and 2B Exhibits		
Appendix C Environmental Justice Analysis Technical Report		
Appendix D Special Waste		
Appendix E Public Involvement		
Appendix F Public Hearing Documentation		

Exhibits

Exhibit S.1-1. Existing Railroad Corridors	S-3
Exhibit 2-1A. Project Location	2-2
Exhibit 2-1B. Project Area Location.....	2-3
Exhibit 2-2. Existing Railroad Corridors	2-7
Exhibit 2-3. Existing Tracks on 3 rd Street	2-11
Exhibit 2-4. Location and Magnitude of Crossing Delays	2-13
Exhibit 2-5. Springfield’s Medical District	2-15
Exhibit 3-1. Alternative 1A	3-8
Exhibit 3-2. Alternative 1B.....	3-9
Exhibit 3-3. Alternative 1C	3-10
Exhibit 3-4. Passenger Station on 3 rd Street	3-12
Exhibit 3-5. Alternative 2A	3-14
Exhibit 3-6. Alternative 2B.....	3-15
Exhibit 3-7. Passenger Station on 10 th Street	3-16
Exhibit 4-1. Existing Land Use.....	4-2
Exhibit 4-2. Economic Activity Centers	4-6
Exhibit 4-3. Racial Minority Percentages by Census Block.....	4-12
Exhibit 4-4. Black Population percentages by Census Block.....	4-13
Exhibit 4-5. Racial Minority other than Black Percentages by Census Block.....	4-14
Exhibit 4-6. Hispanic or Latino Minority Percentages by Census Block	4-15
Exhibit 4-7. Low-Income Percentages by Census Block.....	4-16
Exhibit 4-8. Roadways	4-18
Exhibit 4-9. Bus Routes	4-22
Exhibit 4-10. Neighborhood Associations.....	4-23
Exhibit 4-11. Community Facilities	4-24
Exhibit 4-12. Bike Trails	4-26
Exhibit 4-13. National Register Sites within the Area of Potential Effect (APE)	4-28
Exhibit 4-14. Noise and Vibration Locations	4-46
Exhibit 4-15. Watersheds	4-51
Exhibit 4-16. Streams and Floodplains	4-52
Exhibit 4-17. NWI Mapped Wetlands.....	4-55
Exhibit 4-18. Section 4/6(f) Parks and Recreational Facilities	4-61
Exhibit 5-1A. Adverse Travel Preferred Alternative	5-15
Exhibit 5-1B. Adverse Travel Preferred Alternative	5-16
Exhibit 5-1C. Adverse Travel Preferred Alternative	5-17
Exhibit 5-2A. Adverse Travel Alternative 2B	5-18
Exhibit 5-2B. Adverse Travel Alternative 2B.....	5-19
Exhibit 5-2C. Adverse Travel Alternative 2B.....	5-20
Exhibit 5-3. Underpass – 10 th Street.....	5-23
Exhibit 5-4. Underpass Separation – 19 th Street.....	5-24

Tables

Table S.1-1. Environmental Impact Summary of Alternatives 2A and 2B	S-4
Table S.1-2. Mitigation	S-9
Table 1-1. Summary of Springfield Tier 1 Screening.....	1-3
Table 2-1. Number of Trains Through Springfield	2-8
Table 2-2. Springfield At-Grade Crossings and Grade Separations.....	2-9
Table 2-3. Springfield Illinois ICC Accident Data for all Years (1955-2010).....	2-9
Table 2-4. Springfield Illinois ICC Trespassing Incidents, 2000-2010	2-9
Table 2-5. Community Buildings Along 3 rd Street and 10 th Street Corridors	2-15
Table 3-1. Tier 2 Objectives and Screening Criteria	3-2
Table 3-2. Tier 2 Alternatives	3-7
Table 3-3. Predicted Crashes	3-17
Table 3-4. Vehicle Delays.....	3-17
Table 3-5. Horn Blowing.....	3-18
Table 3-6. Annual and Rehabilitation Cost Assumptions.....	3-19
Table 3-7. Present Value of Annual and Rehabilitation Costs (Millions)	3-21
Table 3-8. Capital Cost for Each Alternative.....	3-21
Table 3-9. Number of At-Grade Street Crossings and New Grade Separations.....	3-23
Table 3-10. Additional Right-of-Way.....	3-24
Table 3-11. Displacements and Access Changes	3-24
Table 3-12. Summary of Springfield Tier 2 Screening.....	3-28
Table 4-1. Land Uses Within the Project Area	4-1
Table 4-2. Populations and Households.....	4-3
Table 4-3. Population by Racial Composition (2010).....	4-4
Table 4-4. Labor Force Estimates for 2010.....	4-4
Table 4-5. Employment by Industry Category for Sangamon County for 2009	4-5
Table 4-6. Major Employers in Sangamon County (November 2009).....	4-5
Table 4-7. Median Household Income.....	4-7
Table 4-8. Race and Ethnic Characteristics in 2010 by Percent	4-10
Table 4-9. Median Household Income and Poverty Status in 2010.....	4-10
Table 4-10. Racial and Ethnic Minorities, and Low-Income Populations.....	4-11
Table 4-11. Streets Crossed by Rail Corridor	4-19
Table 4-12. NHRP and NHRP-Eligible Resources within the APE - 10 th Street Corridor ⁽¹⁾	4-30
Table 4-13. Air Quality Monitor Data for Springfield, Illinois (2007-2009)	4-39
Table 4-14. FTA Land Use Categories.....	4-41
Table 4-15. FTA Ground-Borne Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impact Criteria .	4-44
Table 4-16. Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings	4-44
Table 4-17. FTA Construction Noise Criteria	4-45
Table 4-18. Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results.....	4-47
Table 4-19. Existing Vibration Measurements Locations ⁽¹⁾	4-50
Table 4-20. CERCLIS Sites within the Project Area	4-58

Table 4-21. Hazardous Materials Sites within Project Area (10 th Street Corridor)	4-58
Table 5-1. Environmental Impact Summary of the Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2B.....	5-1
Table 5-2. Residential and Commercial Displacements	5-3
Table 5-3. Environmental Justice Analysis Summary	5-10
Table 5-4. Miles of Rail Corridor Through Residential Neighborhoods	5-21
Table 5-5. Grade Separations	5-22
Table 5-6. Maximum Adverse Travel for Enterprise Street.....	5-25
Table 5-7. Properties of Architectural Significance within the Area of Potential Effect- 10 th Street Corridor ⁽¹⁾	5-32
Table 5-8. Summary of Noise Impact Results.....	5-42
Table 5-9. The Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2B Land Use Category 2 Vibration Impact Summary	5-43
Table 5-10. The Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2B Land Use Category 3 Vibration Impact Summary	5-44
Table 5-11. Potential Resources Not Qualifying for Protection Under Section 4(f)	5-53
Table 5-12. Predicted Crashes.....	5-53
Table 5-13. Number of At-Grade Street Crossing for each Alternative	5-58
Table 5-14. Horn Blowing	5-58
Table 5-15. Capital Cost for each Alternative	5-60
Table 5-16. Mitigation Commitments	5-62
Table 6-1. Community Presentations.....	6-5
Table 6-2. Public Response Summary - Alternative Which Best Addresses Accommodating Increasing Rail Traffic.....	6-9
Table 6-3. Public Response Summary - Factors Considered in Alternative Selection ..	6-10

Charts

Chart 4-1. FTA Project Noise Impact Criteria.....	4-42
Chart 4-2. Increase in Cumulative Noise Exposure Allowed by FTA Criteria	4-43

Acronyms

AAR	Association of American Railroads
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
AREMA	American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association
ARRA	America Recovery and Reinvestment Act
ASLRRRA	American Short Line and Regional Railroad Association
BCS	Biological Stream Characterization
CERCLIS	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMAP	Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning
CN	Canadian National Railroad
COSIM	Carbon Monoxide Screen for Signalized Intersections
CTA	Chicago Transit Authority
CWS	Community Water Supplies
dBA	Decibels (A-weighting)
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
EA	Environmental Assessment
EDR	Environmental Data Resources
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EJ	Environmental Justice
EJ&E	Elgin, Joliet, & Eastern Railway
EO	Executive Order
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GIS	Geographical Information System
HC	Heritage Corridor
HSR	High-Speed Rail
ICC	Illinois Commerce Commission
IDOA	Illinois Department of Agriculture
IDOT	Illinois Department of Transportation
IEPA	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
IHPA	Illinois Historic Preservation Agency
INAI	Illinois Natural Areas Inventory
INHS	Illinois Natural History Survey

INPC	Illinois Nature Preserves Commission
IPCB	Illinois Pollution Control Board
ISTP	Illinois State Transportation Plan
KCS	Kansas City Southern Railroad
LOS	Level of Service
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
MWRRI	Midwest Regional Rail Initiative
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MP	Milepost
MUTCD	Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAJPTC	North American Joint Positive Train Control Program
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NIRC	Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter Railroad
NS	Norfolk Southern Railroad
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRI	Nationwide Rivers Inventory
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
OMP	O'Hare Modernization Program
Pb	Lead
PEM	Palustrine Emergent
PM	Particulate Matter
PPV	Peak Particle Velocity
PSS/PFO	Palustrine Scrub-Shrub Forested
PTC	Positive Train Control
PUB	Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom
R	Riverine
RCRA	Resource and Conservation Recovery Act
RID	Rock Island District
RMS	Root Mean Square
ROD	Record of Decision
ROW	Right-of-Way
SCAL	St. Charles Air Line
SIU	Section of Independent Utility
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
TR	Township Range
TRRA	Terminal Railroad Association

UP	Union Pacific Railroad
USC	United States Code
USDOT	United States Department of Transportation
USEPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
VHT	Vehicles-Hours Traveled
VMT	Vehicle-Miles Traveled
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds