

Federal Railroad Administration Office of Safety Headquarters Assigned Accident Investigation Report HQ-2008-37

> Union Pacific (UP) Northfield, MN March 31, 2008

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL RAILF					FRA FA	ACTU	AL R	AILI	ROAD A	CC	IDENT R	EPORT		Ι	FRA Fil	e # <u>H</u>	[Q-200	8-37	
1.Name of Railroad Operating Train #1									1a. Alphabetic Code					1b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.					
Union Pacific RR C 2.Name of Railroad C								20	a. Alphabetic		de		0308TC019 2b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.						
N/A								20	i. Aipilabeti	N/A			20. 1	N/A					
3.Name of Railroad O N/A	Operating	Train #3						3a	a. Alphabetio	c Co N/A			3b. 1	3b. Railroad Accident/Incident No. N/A					
4.Name of Railroad F	Responsit	ole for Trac	k Mair	ntenan	ce:			4a	1					4b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.					
Union Pacific RR C 5. U.S. DOT_AAR G			ificatio	n Nur	nhər			6	Date of Acc	UP	nt/Incident		7 1	0308TC019 7. Time of Accident/Incident					
5. 0.3. DOI_AAR C			mean	JII INUI	liber				Ionth 03		Day 31 Yea	ar 2008	/	01:51			AM	РМ	
8. Type of Accident/I		1. Deraili			4. Side c	ollision			7. Hwy-rail crossing 10. Explosion-detonation 13. Other 8. RR grade crossing 11. Fire/violent rupture (describe in							Code			
(single entry in co	de box)	2. Head o			5. Rakin	-			<ol> <li>RR grade</li> <li>Obstruction</li> </ol>		0			narrative)   01					
9. Cars Carrying		3. Rear er 10. HAZI		6. Broke		. Cars R			л 	12. Other impact			13. Divis				L		
HAZMAT	11 Damaged/Derailed 2					HAZMAT			1		Evacuated			0			TWIN CITIES		
14. Nearest City/Tow	'n					15. Milepost			16. State Abbr		Code 17.		. County						
_	NOR	THFIELD	)			(1	(to nearest tenth) 314.1				N/A   MN			RI					
18. Temperature (F)		19. Visib	ility	(sing	gle entry)	Code 20. W			ather (single er		entry) Code			21. Type of Tra		ack		Code	
(specify if minus)	) 7 F		Dawn Day	3.D 4.I	usk Dark	4		1. Clo	ear 3. Ra oudy 4. Fo		5.Sleet 6.Snow 2			1. Main 3. S 2. Yard 4. I				1	
22. Track Name/Nu							RA Track		Code		Annual Track			25. Tim				Code	
221 11401 1 (4110) 1 (4		SING	IFM	ΔΙΝ Τ	RACK		lass (1-9,		4		(gross tons in millions)	1	7		1. North	East			
		birto					ODE			IN	,	19.1	/		2. South	4. W	/est	2	
26 Tupo of Equipme	OPERATING TRAIN #1 26. Type of Equipment 1. Freight train 4. Work train 7. Yard/switching A. Spec. MoW Equip. Code  27. Was Equipment Code  28. Train Number/Symbol																		
<ol> <li>Type of Equipment 1. Freight train 4. Work train 7. Yard/switching Consist (single entry) 2. Passenger train 5. Single car 8. Light loco(s).</li> </ol>									a. spec. Mo	W LA	quip. Code	Atten	ded?				ibel/Syllibol		
	3. Commuter train 6. Cut of cars 9. Maint./inspect.ca										1	1. 1	Yes	2. No 1 MSSNP 30					
									er code(s)		apply) pecial instruct	ions		31a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive?					
R - Recorded     a. ATCS     g. Auton       E - Estimated     25     MPH     R     b. Auto train control     h. Current											Other than main			0 = Not a remotely controlled 1 = Remote control portable					
c Auto train stop i. Time ta									train orders		Positive train c			2 = Remo			er		
avaluding nouse units)									int control fic control	р. с	Other (Specify Code(s)		ive)	3 = Rem transmi			n one		
12283 f. Interlocking l. Yard									the control		e N/A N/A		N/A	remote o				0	
32. Principal Car/Unit	t	a. Initial a	and Nu	mber	b. Positi	on in Tr	ain c	. Load	led(yes/no)	33	I I I. If railroad er	nployee(s	) teste	d for drug	/alcohol	use,		1	
(1) First involved PGR 2730						10			no		enter the nu		were	positive in	n	A	lcohol	Drugs	
(derailed, struck, e				-							the appropr						00	00	
(2) Causing (if med cause reported)			0			0			N/A	1	34. Was this co	onsist tran	sporti	ng passen	gers? (Y	/N)		N	
35. Locomotive Unit	ts	a. Head End	b. Ma	Mid T nual 1			Rear End ual   c. R		36. Cars	s		a. Fr		aded b. Pass.	c. Freig	Empty ght   d.		e. Caboose	
(1) Total in Trair	n	4		0	0	0		0		in E	quipment Con	sist	88	0	19		0	0	
(2) Total Deraile	d	0		0	0	0		0	(2) Total	Dera	ailed		20	0	8		0	0	
37. Equipment Dama	age		4		ck, Signal, V	Vav			39. Prima	0.771	-			10 0 1					
This Consist	\$	6624,551.00			icture Dama	-	\$213,67	70.00	Code	ary C		H504		40. Cont Code	ributing	Cause		503	
		Number						Le				Leng	th of '	of Time on Duty					
41. Engineer/ Operators 1	42. Fir			43. Co	Conductors 44. Brakemen			n	45. Engineer/Operator					46. Conductor Hrs 5 Mi 7				Mi 7	
1	47. D.:1.	0			1	0			Hrs 5 Mi 7										
Casualties to:	47. Kallr		yees 4	8. Tra	in Passenger	s 49	. Other		50. EOT Device? 1. Yes 2. No 1					51. Was EOT Device Properly Armed? 1. Yes 2. No 1					
Fatal	Fatal 0				0		0		52. Caboose Occupied by Crew?										
Nonfatal		0			0		0		_		l. Yes		No					2	
	•						OPERA	ATIN	G TRAIN	J #2									
53. Type of Equipme	-m	Freight tra					witching	А	. Spec. Mov	W Eq	quip. Code	54. Was I		ment C	ode 5	55. Tra	ain Num	ber/Symbol	
Consist (single en		Passenger Commuter			0	Light l Maint	oco(s). inspect.c	ar			N/A	Attend		2. No   1	N/A		N/	A	
56. Speed (recorded					Method(s)		•		er code(s)	that		1. 1		58a. Rem		ntrolle	ed Loco	motive?	
R - Recorded				a.	ATCS	•	g. Auto	matic	block	m.S	pecial instruct			0 = Not a remotely controlled					
E - Estimated	N/A	MPH	N/A	b	. Auto train	control	n. Curr	ent of	traffic	n. C	Other than main	n track		1 = Rem	ote conti	ol por	table		

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL RAILF					FRA FA	CTUAL	RAILR	OAD AC	CIDENT REP	ORT	F	RA File	# <u>HQ-200</u>	<u>8-37</u>	
57. Trailing Tons (gross tonnage, excluding power units) N/A					d. Cab j.Track warrant e. Traffic k. Direct traffic				b. Positive train contr b. Other ( <i>Specify in r</i> Code(s)	arrative)	2 = Remo 3 = Remo transmit remote c	N/A			
50 Dringing Con/Un	:.	o Initial	and N		Interlocking		ard limits		N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A						
59. Principal Car/Un (1) First involved	it	a. Initial	and N	umber	b. Positic	n in Train	c. Load	ed(yes/no)	60. If railroad emp enter the numb			Drugs			
(derailed, struck, etc) N/A				N/.	A	N	J/A	the appropriate		re positive in Alcohol N/A			N/A		
(2) Causing (if mechanical cause reported) N/A				N/.	A	]	N/A	61. Was this cons	gers? (Y/	N)	N/A				
62. Locomotive Uni	its	a. Head End	b. Ma	Mid T anual	rain c. Remote		End c. Remote	63. Cars		Lo a. Freight	aded b. Pass.	1	mpty nt d. Pass.	e. Caboose	
(1) Total in Train N/A		1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(1) Total in	n Equipment Consist N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
(2) Total Deraile	(2) Total Derailed N/A N/A			/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(2) Total Derailed N/A			N/A N/A N/A N			N/A	
64. Equipment Dama	age				ck, Signal, W		N/A	66. Primar Code	-	N/A	67. Cont Code	ributing C	Cause		
This Consist		N/A Numbe	r of Cr		ructure Dam	age	N/A	Couc		Time on D	ntv		N/A		
68. Engineer/	69. Fire				onductors	71. Brak	emen	72. Engin	eer/Operator	Lengui or	73. Con				
Operators N/	1	N/A			N/A		√A		Hrs N/A M	i N/A				Mi <sub>N/A</sub>	
Casualties to:	74. Railro	oad Empl	oyees	75. Tra	in Passengers	76. Othe	r	77. EOT E		N/A		<ol> <li>Was EOT Device Properly</li> <li>Yes 2. No</li> </ol>			
Fatal		N/A			N/A	1	N/A		es 2.10		1.	103	2.110	N/A	
Nonfatal		N/A			N/A	1	N/A		1. Yes	2. No		N/A			
						OF	OPERATIN		#3					-1	
	Consist (single entry) 2. Passenger train 5. Single car 8. Light loco(s).								. Spec. MoW Equip. Code 81. Was Equipment Code Attended? 82. Train Number/Symbol N/A 1. Yes 2. No N/A N/A						
83. Speed (recorded	-								at apply)			otely Con	trolled Loco	motive?	
R - Recorded	R - Recorded a. ATCS g. Automatic							nock	<ol> <li>Special instructions</li> <li>Other than main tra</li> </ol>				controlled		
E - Estimated	E - Estimated N/A MPH N/A b. Auto train control h. Current of t							ranne	. Other than main tra . Positive train contr		1 = Remo 2 = Remo		l portable		
84. Trailing Tons (gross tonnage, d. Cab j.Track warra									o. Other (Specify in a		3 = Remo				
excluding power units)					Traffic		Direct traffi	c control	Code(s)			ter - more ontrol tra	e than one	1	
N/A					Interlocking	I.Y	ard limits		N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A	Tennote e		lisilittei	N/A	
86. Principal Car/Unit a. Initial and Nu					b. Positio	n in Train	c. Load	ded(yes/no)         87. If railroad employee(s) tested for drug/alcohol use, enter the number that were positive in         Alcohol							
(1) First involved (derailed, struck, etc) N/A				N	A		N/A	the appropriate		e positive i	11	Alcohol N/A	Drugs N/A		
(2) Causing (if me cause reported	chanical		N/A		N	A	]	N/A	V/A 88. Was this consist transporting passengers? (Y					N/A	
89. Locomotive Uni		a. Head		Mid T	rain	Rea	End	90. Cars	I	La	aded	E	mpty		
		End	b. Ma			i. Manual	c. Remote	90. Cars		a. Freight	b. Pass.	c. Freigl	nt d. Pass.	e. Caboose	
(1) Total in Train	n	N/A	N	I/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(1) Total in	Equipment Consist	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
(2) Total Deraile	ed	N/A	N	/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(2) Total E	erailed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
91. Equipment Dama	age	NT ( A			ck, Signal, W		<b>NT</b> / <b>A</b>	93. Primar	y Cause Code			ributing C	Cause	NT/ A	
This Consist		N/A Numbe		& St ew Me	ructure Dama	ige	N/A	N/A Code N/A Length of Time on Duty							
95. Engineer/	96. Fire		1 01 C1		onductors	98. Brak	emen	99. Engineer/Operator Hrs N/A Mi N/A Hrs N/A Mi							
Operators N/A		N/A			N/A		I/A							Mi N/A	
Casualties to:	101. Rail	road Emp	lovees	102.	Train	103. Oth	er	104. EOT			105. Was	lv			
Fatal		N/A			N/A		N/A		104. EOT         105. Was EOT Device Properly           1. Yes         2. No           N/A         1. Yes           1. Yes         2. No						
Nonfatal	N/A				N/A	1	 N/A		106. Caboose Occupied by Crew?       1. Yes     2. No						
		Highw	ay Us	er Inv	olved			Rail Equipment Involved							
107.			-				Code	111. Equip	oment					Code	
C. Truck-T A. Auto D. Pick-U	Frailer. F	F. Bus G. School			Motor Vehio strian	ele	2540	1.Train(un	3.Train its pulling) 4.Car(s)	(standing) (moving)	6.Light 7.Light(:	Loco(s) <sub>(</sub> <sup>5)</sup> (standi	(moving) ng)		
B. Truck E. Van					. Other (spec. in narrative) N/A				1.Train(units pulling)       4.Car(s) (moving)       7.Light(s) (standing)         2.Train(units pushing)       5.Car(s) (standing)       8.Other (specify in narrative)						
108. Vehicle Speed		N/A	109.	4.97	geographic		Code N/A	112. Position of Car Unit in							
(est. MPH at in	npact)		1.Nor	th 2.So	outh 3.East	4.West	1N/A				N/A				

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION       FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT       FRA File # HQ-2008-37         FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION       FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT       FRA File # HQ-2008-37														
110. Position													Code	
1. Stalled on Crossing 2.Stopped on Crossing 3.Moving Over Crossing       1. Rail Equipment Struck Highway User         4. Trapped       N/A												N/A		
	e highway user		-	•			Code	114b. Wa	is there a haza	rdous materials	release		Code	
in the impact transporting hazardous materials? 1. Highway User 2. Rail Equipment 3. Both 4. Neither N/A 1. Highway User 2. Rail Equipment 3. Both 4. Neither											4. Neither	N/A		
1. Highway User 2. Rail Equipment 3. Both 4. Neither 1977 and a straight by the formation of the hazardous materials released, if any.														
N/A														
115. Type 1.Gates 4.Wig Wags 7.Crossbucks 10.Flagged by crew 116. Signaled Crossing Code 117. Whistle Ban												Code		
Crossing       2.Cantilever FLS       5.Hwy. traffic signals       8.Stop signs       11.Other (spec. in narr.)       (See instructions for codes)       1. Yes         Warning       3.Standard FLS       6.Audible       9.Watchman       12.None       2. No														
Code(s)	N/A	N/A	1	I/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	J/A 3. Unknown					
118. Location of Warning     Code     119. Crossing Warning     Code     120. Crossing Illuminated by Street											by Street	Code		
1. Both Sid	0						h Highway Sig	gnals		Lights of	r Special Lig	hts		
2. Side of Vehicle Approach 1.										1. Ye				
<ol><li>Opposit</li></ol>	e Side of Vehic	le Appro	ach		N/A		2. No 3. Unknown N/A 2. No 3. Unknown				N/A			
121.	122. Driver's	Gender	Code	123.	Driver Drov	ve Behind o	ind or in Front of Code 124. Driver						Code	
Age	1. Male				and Struck o	r was Struc	k by Second	Train		e around or thru		4. Stopped on Crossing		
N/A	N/A     2. Female     N/A     1. Yes     2. No     3. Unknown     2. Stopped and then Proceeded     5. Other (specify narrative)       N/A     N/A     N/A     N/A     3. Did not Stop     narrative)									5. Other (specify in narrative)	N/A			
								N/A	3. Did i	lot stop				
125. Driver Pa Highway V		Cod	e   12		w of Track C ermanent Str		(primary ob	struction) ng Train 5.	Vagatation	7. Other	(specify in a	namatina)	Code	
	3. Unknown	N/.	A					0	Vegetation Highway Vehi		1 00	harranve)	N/A	
Construction	4		17:11	. 1	Tu base d	127. Driv	ver		Cod	le 128. Wa	s Driver in tl	he Vehicle?	Code	
Casualties to: Killed Injured							d 2.Injured 3.	5	Uninjured N/A		1. Yes 2. No			
129. Highway-Rail Crossing Users N/A N/A						0	130. Highway Vehicle Property Damage (est. dollar damage) N/A (include driver)						g Users	
132. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights? Code 133. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights Operational?											Code			
1. Yes 2. No							N/A 1. Yes 2. No				N/A			
134. Locomot	ive Headlight I	lluminat	ed?				Code	135. Locoi	notive Audibl	e Warning Soun	ded?		Code	
1. Yes 2. No N/A 1. Yes 2. No											N/A			



#### 136. DRAW A SKETCH OF ACCIDENT AREA INCLUDING ALL TRACKS, SIGNALS, SWITCHES, STRUCTURES, OBJECTS, ETC., INVOLVED.

#### 137. SYNOPSIS OF THE ACCIDENT

On March 31, 2008 at 1:51 a.m. CDT a southbound Union Pacific Railroad (UP) mixed freight train, MSSNP-30 derailed 28 cars. The accident occurred in Northfield, Minnesota at UP Milepost (MP) 314.1 on the Twin Cities Service Unit, Albert Lea Subdivision on single main track.

The conductor and locomotive engineer of UP Train MSSNP-30 sustained no injuries. PGR 2730, the sixth car from the head end of the train, was the first car to derail. This caused the seventh through the 33rd cars to derail in a general pile up. A total of 28 cars derailed, two of which were placarded loads of Sulfuric Acid (UN 1830). Tank car GATX 7282, a load of 98 percent Sulfuric Acid, was breached and leaking. The leak was contained to 655 gallons. There was no fire or evacuation, but as a precaution the Northfield Fire Department closed the northern lane of State Highway Route 3 which is adjacent to the derailment site.

The total estimated damages were \$838,221. Estimated equipment damage was \$624,551 and estimated track damage was \$213,670.

At the time of the incident it was dark and cloudy. The wind was north northeast at five mph and the temperature was 37 °F.

The probable cause of the derailment was excessive buffing or slack action due to the train makeup for the given consist of UP Train MSSNP-30, which included primarily cushioning device railcars. Train handling during dynamic braking was contributory but not considered the primary cause of the derailment

### 138. NARRATIVE

### CIRCUMSTANCES PRIOR TO THE ACCIDENT

The crew of UP Train MSSNP-30 consisted of a locomotive engineer and conductor. They went on duty at 9:30 p.m. on March 30, 2008 at UP St. Paul Yard in South St. Paul, Minnesota. St. Paul is the home terminal for both crew members. Before they went on duty both crew members received more than the required statutory off- duty rest period. The engineer had 26 hours 30 minutes off duty and the conductor had 26 hours 33 minutes off duty.

UP Train MSSNP-30 was scheduled to operate from South St. Paul to Mason City, Iowa with 107 cars of mixed freight (88 loads and 19 empties) with four locomotives on the head end. UP Train MSSNP-30 was 6,894 feet long, with 12,283 trailing tons. Before departing St. Paul Yard the engineer inspected the locomotives. The last daily inspection on the lead locomotive, UP 8316, was performed on March 30, 2008 at 5:00 a.m. and the last periodic inspection of locomotive UP 8316 was performed on March 23, 2008.

A Class 1 Terminal Air Brake Test was completed by a qualified mechanical employee at the UP South St. Paul Rail Yard on March 30, 2008 at 11:30 p.m. The End-of-Train Device (EOTD) # UPRQ 061357 WK was tested at the South St. Paul Locomotive Facility. It functioned as intended. The engineer acknowledged that the air brake slip was current and UP Train MSSNP-30 departed South St. Paul Yard at 12:30 a.m. on March 31, 2008.

The method of operation was Centralized Traffic Control (CTC). The maximum authorized speed was 40 mph. There were no speed restrictions in effect on the Albert Lea Subdivision in the area of the derailment. UP Twin Cities Area Timetable No. 3 effective 0001 Monday, December 17, 2007 was in effect. The timetable and geographic direction of the train was south. Timetable directions are used throughout this report.

UP Train MSSNP-30 movement from South St. Paul Yard to the east end of Northfield was uneventful. The engineer recalls cutting out the dynamic brakes on the fourth locomotive because the UP has a policy of a

maximum of 28 axles with dynamic brakes in operation. The engineer took no exception to the train makeup based upon his inspection of the train list. At about milepost 347, UP Train MSSNP-30 passed a wayside detector and no defects were noted. The axle count, as computed by the detector, matched the train list. UP Train MSSNP-30 operated through the siding at Farmington, Minnesota to meet a northbound train. The conductor of the northbound train inspected UP Train MSSNP-30 from the ground on the east side and reported no exceptions.

### THE ACCIDENT

As UP Train MSSNP-30 approached the Point of Derailment (POD), the engineer was operating the train at a recorded speed of 25 mph in dynamic breaking notch 8 when the computer screen in front of him indicated he should go to emergency air recovery. Prior to that, the crew did not feel or hear anything unusual. The train stopped suddenly and the air brakes did not recover. The locomotive engineer went back to check the trailing locomotives. While back there, a Northfield police officer shouted to him from the adjacent road. The officer told him he had a report of a derailed train. At that time the conductor shined a light toward the rear of the train and observed the derailed cars. The conductor then walked back toward the derailed cars and met the emergency responders. He checked the train list with the responders and concluded it was likely that at least one hazardous materials car was derailed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

ANALYSIS - TOXICOLOGICAL TESTING:

This accident met the criteria prescribed in Title 49 CFR, Part 219, Subpart C, Post Accident Toxicological Testing. A UP official transported the train crew to Woodwinds Hospital in Woodbury, Minnesota for mandatory FRA toxicological screening. The results of the tests were negative for both employees. FRA did take two exceptions to the documentation of the post-accident testing procedures. The specimens were collected after the four hour goal and the time of blood collection was missing on one of the Form #74.

CONCLUSION:

Impairment of the crew was not a causal factor.

ANALYSIS - LOCOMOTIVE ENGINEER OPERATING PERFORMANCE:

The locomotive engineer of UP Train MSSNP-30 was a certified locomotive engineer. He was in possession of a valid certification card at the time of the accident. He had been working as a locomotive engineer for the past 12 years and had operated on numerous occasions over the territory where the accident occurred. The locomotive engineer said he was alert and not distracted from his duties.

The UP mechanical department downloaded the event recorder data from lead locomotive UP 8316. Analysis of the data by FRA disclosed that locomotive UP 8316 was operating at 28 mph and slowed to 25 mph just before the train experienced an undesired emergency application of the train air brake system. No exception was taken to the engineer's train handling procedures.

A post accident simulation by Rail Sciences, Inc. concluded that due to the train make-up UP Train MSSNP-30 should have had no more than 28 equivalent dynamic brake axles applied. The engineer had 29 axles applied in dynamic position # 8 which may have generated enough retarding force to contribute to the buff forces that led to the derailment. The engineer's actions were not considered the causal event but allegedly contributed to the derailment scenario. CONCLUSION:

The engineer's performance during dynamic braking procedures was a contributing factor in the accident.

ANALYSIS - LOCOMOTIVE SAFETY DEVICES:

The four locomotives of UP Train MSSNP-30 were all equipped with a headlight, auxiliary lights, and an audible warning device as required by Federal regulation. The event recorder data indicated these devices were functioning as intended prior to the accident. Locomotive UP 8316 was equipped with an operating

### FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

speed indicator and event recorder. FRA's inspection of Locomotive UP 8316 revealed that the automatic brake valve was in full service with the independent brake valve applied. There was one exceptions noted to the second locomotive UP 3902 except that the left side flywheel guard was insecure. This exception did not contribute to the cause of the derailment.

# CONCLUSION:

The locomotive safety devices were in compliance with Federal Regulations.

# ANALYSIS - TRACK STRUCTURE:

The track structure at the POD included 136 lb continuous welded rail (CWR) laid in 2001. The track was in the middle of a long earthen cut on tangent track with a 0.5 percent descending grade. The UP's last required FRA track inspection was performed by a qualified track inspector on Saturday, March 29, 2008 and no defects were noted. There were no CWR joints in the derailment area. The last mechanized geometry inspection was performed on August 26, 2007 and there were no defects noted in the vicinity of the derailment. The last ultrasonic rail test was conducted on November 28, 2007. No defective rails were found in the vicinity of the derailment. No suspect evidence of rail or track failure was found during FRA's investigation. Some of the rail near the POD was not recovered and some that was recovered was severely damaged due to the derailment and cleanup process.

CONCLUSION:

The track was in compliance with Federal Regulations.

## ANALYSIS: - FATIGUE

FRA obtained fatigue related information, for the 10-day period preceding this incident including the 10-day work history (on duty/off duty cycles) for all of the employees involved.

### CONCLUSION:

Upon analysis of that information FRA concluded that one or more of the employees may have been working at a diminished level of safety (effectiveness) due to mental and/or physical attributes associated with fatigue, which may have contributed to the cause of the accident.

## PROBABLE CAUSE & CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

FRA determined that the probable cause of the derailment was excessive buffing or slack action due to train makeup of UP Train MSSNP-30 which included primarily cushioning device railcars. Train handling during dynamic braking was contributory but not considered the primary cause of the derailment. #

#