

Federal Railroad Administration Office of Safety Headquarters Assigned Accident Investigation Report HQ-2005-30

BNSF Railway (BNSF)/Canadian Pacific (CP) St. Paul, Minnesota April 6, 2005

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT FRA File # HQ-2005-30														<u>5-30</u>				
1.Name of Railroad 0	rui i inpinacene code						Railroad Accident/Incident No.												
BURLINGTON N	BNSF					TC0405102													
2.Name of Railroad (•					2b. R	Railroad Accident/Incident												
SOO Line RR Co. 3.Name of Railroad F	SOO					25.1	190779 Railroad Accident/Incident No.												
	1					30.1				iii ivo.									
BNSF Rwy Co. [B] 4. U.S. DOT_AAR G	BNSF					6 T		TC040											
4. 0.3. DOI_AAK 0	3.1	5. Date of Accident/Incident Month Day Year					Time of Accident/Incident												
			04 06 2005					11:00:00 🗸 AM 🔲 PM											
7. Type of Accident/		7. Hwy-rail crossing 10. Explosion-detonation 13. Other																	
(single entry in co	llision	8. RR grade crossing 11. Fire/violent rupture (describe in narrative) 9. Obstruction 12. Other impacts 01																	
8. Cars Carrying HAZMAT	ying 9. HAZMAT Car Damaged/Deraile				10. Cars Releas HAZMAT			ıg	g 11. People Evacuated				0			12. Division TWIN CITIES			
12 Name City/Face						14. Mile	post		Т	15. Stat	Α		16	. County					
13. Nearest City/Town ST. PAUL						(to nearest			0.8	Abbr Code N/A MN			. County	AMSEY					
17. Temperature (F)		18. Visit	•					Weather (single entry)			Code		20. Typ	e of Tra	nck		Code		
	(specify if minus) 1. Dawn 41 F 2. Day				3.Dusk 4.Dark 2			1. Clear 3. Rain 5.Sleet 2. Cloudy 4. Fog 6.Sno					2		Siding Indust		1		
21. Track Name/Num	ıber			22. FRA Trac				Code 23. Annua			nual Track Density		ty	24. Tim	e Table	Direction		Code	
MAIN TRE				K HOF VE	FMAN	Clas	s (1-9, X	()	gross tons in millions) 41.2					1. North 3. East 3					
			^	VI		1	OPER	ATI	ING TRA	IN #1									
25. Type of Equipme		. Freight tr				. Yard/swi	_	A.	. Spec. Mo	W Equip	. Code		as Equip	ment (Code	27. Tr	ain Nun	nber/Symbol	
Consist (single entry) 2. Passenger train 5. Single car 8. Light loco(s).									Attend					1 1					
3. Commuter train 6. Cut of cars 9. Maint./inspect.car													1. Yes 2. No VPTLB LU303 30a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive?						
														Fesivitaly to Wested					
R - Recorded a. ATCS g. Auto E - Estimated 22 MPH R b. Auto train control h. Curre									t of traffic n. Other than main track						1 = Remote control portable				
	ble/t	le/train orders o. Positive train control						2 = Remote control tower											
29. Trailing Tons (gross tonnage, d. Cab j.Track									arrant control p. Other (Specify in narrative)						3 = Remote control				
I									ic control		Code	(s)			itter - m control				
		587	0	f.	Interlockin	g I.	Yard lin	nıts		e	N/A N	I/A N/A	N/A	Telliote	Control	uansm	шсі	0	
Principal Car/Uni	it	a. Initial	and Nu	ımber	b. Positi	on in Trair	c. I	Load	ed(yes/no)					ed for drug	_	ol use,			
(1) First involved (derailed, struck, etc)				38					yes enter the number the appropriate box				positive i	n	A	dcohol 0	Drugs 0		
(2) Causing (if mechanical cause reported)					0				N/A 33. Was this consist tra					sporting passengers? (Y/N)					
34. Locomotive Units		a. Head		Mid T	rain	Re	ar End		35. Car	s			Lo	ade		Empty	/		
	End		b. Ma	nual	c. Remote	te d. Manual c. Re			e				Freight			ight d.		e. Caboose	
(1) Total in Train		2	2 0				0		(1) Total in Equipme		pment Co	nt Consist		0	0	_	0	0	
(2) Total Deraile 36. Equipment Dama		0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0		(2) Total				7	0	0)	0	0	
	ugu	176212	3		ck, Signal, ' Structure Da		44600	0	38. Prim Code	ary Caus	se	T10	12	39. Cont	tributing	Cause	;	N/A	
This Consist	image			Code				14/14											
40. Engineer/	41. Fir			Crew Members 42. Conductors 43. Brakemen					44. Engineer/Operator					f Time on Duty 45. Conductor					
Operators N/A	41.111	0					0 44. Eng			Hrs 3 Mi 15			15	Hrs 3 Mi 15					
Casualties to:	46. Rail	road Emplo	oyees 4	7. Trai	in Passenger	ngers 48. Other			49. EOT Device?					50. Was EOT Device Properly Armed?					
Fatal		0		0			0		1. Yes 2. No 1					1.	Yes	2.	No	1	
Nonfatal		N/A		0			0		51. Caboose Occupied by Crew? 1. Yes				2. No					ı N/A	
OPERATING TRAIN #2																			
52. Type of Equipment Consist (single entry) 1. Freight train 4. Work train 7. Yard/switchin 2. Passenger train 5. Single car 8. Light loco(s).					_	A.	A. Spec. MoW Equip. Code 53. Was Equip Attended?					S4. Irain Number			ioci/3yifiDOl				
3. Commuter tra				6. Cut of cars 9. Maint./inspect.car				r	1 1.				l. Yes	2. No 1			HH4	13	
55. Speed (recorded	speed, if	available)	Code	57.	Method(s)	of Operation	on (ente							57a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive?				
								natic block m.Special instructions						0 = Not a remotely controlled					
E - Estimated 10 MPH R b. Auto train control h. Current of traffic n. Other than main track 1 = Remote control portable																			

Form FRA F 6180.39 (11/06) Page 1 of 5

FEDERAL RA						FRAF	ACTUA	L RAILR	OAD AC	CIDENT I	REPO	ORT	F	RA File #	HQ-200	<u>5-30</u>			
56. Trailing Tons (gross tonnage, excluding power units) c. Auto train stop d. Cab e. Traffic f. Interlocking							j.' k	Time table/t Track warrar . Direct traff: Yard limits	nt control p	o. Positive train o. Other (Spectode Code	ify in n (s)	arrative)	2 = Remo 3 = Remo transmit remote c	0					
58. Principal Car/Unit a. Initial and Number						b. Posit	ion in Traii	n c. Load	ded(yes/no)	ed(yes/no) 59. If railroad employee(s) tested for drug/alcohol use,									
(1) First involved (derailed, struck, etc) ITLX4						15		yes	enter the number that wer the appropriate box.				re positive in Alcohol 0						
(2) Causing (if mechanical cause reported)							0		N/A	60. Was this consist transporting passengers? (Y/N)						l N			
61. Locomotive U	1. Locomotive Units a. Head End b. M			Mid 7	Γrain c. Remote		ar End	62. Cars							e. Caboose				
(1) Total in	Total in Train 5		0		0	0	0		(1) Total in Equipment Consist			0	c. Freight	0	0				
(2) Total De	(2) Total Derailed		0		0	0	0	0	(2) Total D	erailed		1	0	12	0	0			
63. Equipment Damage					64. Tra	ck, Signal,	Way,	215261	65. Primar	y Cause	T10		66. Contr Code						
This Consist 183873 Number of Cr						Structure D	amage	315261	Code		uty		N/A						
67. Engineer/	68.	Firen	nen		69. Cor	nductors	70. Br	akemen	71. Engine	eer/Operator			72. Cond						
Operators	Operators 1 0				1			0		0	Hrs 4 M								
Casualties to:	73. R	73. Railroad Employees			74. Trai	n Passenge	rs 75. Otl	her	76. EOT D			77. Was I							
Fatal		0				0		0		1. Yes 2. No 1 1. Yes 2. No 78. Caboose Occupied by Crew?						1			
Nonfatal		0				0		0		1. Yes	y Clew	2. No				2			
Highway User Involved										Rail Equipment Involved									
79. Type C. Tru	ıck-Trailer	· F.	Bus			Motor Veh	icle	83. Equipn	83. Equipment 3.Train (standing) 6.Light Loco(s) (moving)										
A. Auto D. Pick-Up Truck G. School Bus K. Pedestrian									1.Train(units pulling) 4.Car(s) (moving) 7.Light(s) (standing) 2.Train(units pushing) 5.Car(s) (standing) 8.Other (specify in narrative)										
B. Truck E. Van H. Motorcycle M. Other (spec. in narrative) N/A 2.Train(units pushing) 5.Car(s) (state of the control of the												8.Other	(specify in	narrauve)	I				
(est. MPH at impact) 0 1.North 2.South 3.East 4.West N/A										85. Circumstance									
82. Position 1.Stalled on	Crossing	nned on	Cross	ing 3 M	loving Ove	r Croceina	Code	85. Circumstance 1. Rail Equipment Struck Highway User											
4. Trapped	pped on	C1033	g 5wi	oving Ove	Crossing	N/A	2. Rail Equipment Struck by Highway User												
86a. Was the hi in the impa						olved		Code	86b. Was th	here a hazardo	e a hazardous materials release by								
1. Highway U	-	_				4. Neither		N/A	1. High	way User 2.	Rail E	quipment	3. Both	4. Neither		N/A			
86c. State here th	e name an	d qua	ntity of t	he ha	zardous	materials r	eleased, if a	any. N/A											
Crossing 2	1.Gates 2.Cantileve	er FLS	4.Wig S 5.Hw).Flagged by 1.Other (spec		88. Signaled C			Code	89. Whist 1. Yes	s	Code			
Warning 3.Standard FLS 6.Audible Code(s) N/A N/A N/A					<u>, </u>	9.Watc		2.None	NY/A	2. No 3. Unknown						N/A			
Code(s) 90. Location of V	N/A Varning	N	/A	IN/.	n	N/A Code	N/A 91. Crossi	N/A ing Warning	Interconnected Code 92. Crossing Illuminated by Street						Code				
1. Both Side 2. Side of V	1				with	Highway Si				Lights or S ₁									
3. Opposite Side of Vehicle Approach						N/A		. No . Unknown		N/A 2. No 3. Unl				nown					
							Behind or i	in Front of T		nin Code 96. Driver									
Age 0	2 Female						was Struck 2. No	by Second ' 3. Unknown								g N/A			
97. Driver Passed Standing Code 98. View of Track Obscured by							cured by	1VII 3. Did not stop											
Highway Vel		,	N/A			nanent Stru	cture	3. Passi	ng Train 5. Y	-		Other (s		arrative)		Code N/A			
101. Casulties to Highway-Rail						99. Driver		grapny 0.1	raphy 6. Highway Vehicle 8. Not obstructed Code 100. Was Driver in the Vehicle?										
Crossing Users			Killed		d I	njured		2.Injured 3.	-	-					D :1.C	N/A			
0						0	_	way Vehicle dollar dama	Property Dar ge)	103. Total Number of Highw (include driver)						ing Users			
														Code					
1. Yes		Illur	2. No)				N/A		Yes	Wom	2. No	19			N/A			
106. Locomotive Headlight Illuminated? 1. Yes 2. No							I	Code N/A		107. Locomotive Audible Warning Sounded? 1. Yes 2. No						Code N/A			
1. 105 Z. NO																			

Form FRA F 6180.39 (11/06) Page 2 of 5

FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

FRA File # <u>HQ-2005-30</u>

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

Form FRA F 6180.39 (11/06) Page 3 of 5

FRA File # HQ-2005-30

109. SYNOPSIS OF THE ACCIDENT

On April 6, 2005, at 11 a.m. CDT, an eastbound BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) mixed freight Train Symbol V-PTLBLU3-03 derailed. The accident occurred on the BNSF Twin Cities Division, St. Paul Subdivision, on Main Track No. 1 in St. Paul, Minnesota, at BNSF Milepost 0.8. The lead wheels of the lead truck on a loaded auto rack, ETTX 904043, climbed the high rail of the spiral in a 3-degree 11-minute right hand curve and was dragged approximately 1.5 miles. At BNSF Milepost 429.1, the derailed car sideswiped westbound Soo Line Railroad Company (SOO)¹ Train Symbol HH43 at Control Point Hoffman Avenue and derailed 13 cars of the SOO train. There was no release of hazardous materials and no evacuation.

The total estimated damages were \$1,121,346. Estimated damage for the BNSF was \$176,212 for equipment and \$446,000 for track and structure. The estimated damage for the SOO was \$183,873 for equipment and \$315,261 for track and structure.

There were no injuries to the train crew employees.

At the time of the accident the weather was overcast, with a temperature of 41 °F.

The probable cause of the accident was a difference in cross level between two points within 62 feet in a spiral, which resulted in an unprotected surface condition, causing the first wheel set of ETTX 904043 to climb over the high rail of the spiral.

¹ The Soo Line Railroad Company (SOO) was acquired by the Canadian Pacific Railway (CP) and is being operated as a separate entity for legal purposes.

110. NARRATIVE

The following information was obtained from an investigation that was conducted by the Federal Railroad Administration.

CIRCUMSTANCES PRIOR TO THE ACCIDENT

The crew of the BNSF eastbound train consisted of a locomotive engineer, student engineer, and conductor. They went on duty at 7:45 a.m., April 6, 2005, at Northtown Yard, which is located in Fridley, MN. Northtown Yard was the away terminal for the crew members. Prior to reporting for duty, all three employees received the required statutory off-duty period. The locomotive engineer was seated at the controls located on the right (south) side of the leading locomotive. The conductor and engineer student were seated opposite the engineer on the left (north) side of the locomotive cab.

The BNSF train was scheduled to travel from Fridley to Daytons Bluff, MN, a distance of approximately 15 miles. Before departing Northtown Yard, the train crew had a 1,000 mile inspection and initial air brake test performed by the Northtown carmen. They departed on Main Track No. 2 and received a roll-by inspection from the carmen. This mixed freight train consisted of two locomotives, 78 loads, and zero empties. It was 7,312 feet long, with 5,870 trailing tons.

At BNSF Milepost 7.9, the train went into emergency at 10 a.m. The entire train was inspected and a loose air hose was tightened. After the repair, the crew proceeded east after talking to the East Hump Dispatcher. The BNSF dispatcher then advised the train crew that they would be crossing over from Main Track No. 2 to Main Track No. 1, at Mississippi Street. After crossing over, the train proceeded to Hoffman Avenue Control Point on Main Track No. 1 on a clear (proceed) signal indication.

Approaching the point of derailment (POD) in the direction of the train movement, there are in succession, a 2-degree 41-minute right hand curve approximately 900 feet in length, a tangent approximately 600 feet in length, a 2-degree 22-minute left hand curve approximately 700 feet in length, a tangent approximately 600 feet in length, and then a 3-degree 11- minute left hand curve at the POD approximately 900 feet in length.

Approaching the point of collision (POC) from the west there are, in succession, a 3-degree 11- minute left hand curve approximately 900 feet in length, a tangent approximately 1,300 feet in length, a 2-degree 22-minute right hand curve approximately 300 feet in length, a tangent approximately 300 feet in length, a 2-degree 0-minute right hand curve approximately 600 feet in length, a tangent approximately 2,200 feet in length, a 2-degree 0-minute right hand curve approximately 600 feet in length, a tangent approximately 300 feet in length, and a 1-degree 40-minute right hand curve leading to tangent track at the POC with the SOO.

At the POC, the BNSF train was operating on Main Track No. 1 under the authority of a Traffic Control System. The BNSF Twin Cities Timetable No. 2, effective 0800 Wednesday, November 17, 2004, authorizes a maximum speed of 30 mph for both freight and passenger operations, FRA Class 3 track. The timetable and geographic direction of the train was east.

In the accident area, the SOO train (HH43) was operating through the crossovers from the SOO lead to SOO Main Track No. 2 under a clear (proceed) signal indication of a traffic control system. The SOO's Timetable No. 5, effective 0001 Sunday, April 3, 2005, authorizes a maximum speed of 10 mph for both freight and passenger operations, FRA Class 1 track. The timetable and geographic direction of the train was west. The HH43 consisted of 27 loads and 35 empties. It was 3,909 feet long, with 4,740 trailing tons.

THE ACCIDENT

As the eastbound BNSF train approached the POD, it was operating at a recorded speed of 22 mph. The train continued operating for a distance of approximately 1.5 miles with the leading set of wheels on ETTX 904043 derailed. At BNSF Milepost 429.1, the derailed car impacted SOO HH43, which was proceeding west

Form FRA F 6180.39 (11/06) Page 4 of

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

FRA File # HQ-2005-30

through the Hoffman Avenue crossovers, and derailed 13 cars of HH43. The BNSF mileposts change at Seventh Street from Milepost 0.0 to Milepost 430.0 to reflect a new line segment number. The SOO milepost at Hoffman Avenue Control Point is designated as 408.8.

According to the locomotive event recorder printout, a train line induced emergency brake application occurred at 11:05 a.m. At that point, the BNSF trainmaster, who aided the train crew during the air hose incident, notified the crew that there was a derailed car in their train. A total of seven loaded auto racks in the BNSF train derailed. The derailed cars were the 35th through the 41st car from the head end of the train.

The SOO conductor attempted to call the BNSF dispatcher using established emergency procedures, but the BNSF train had also gone into emergency and managed to reach the dispatcher first. Once the BNSF crew had relayed their information to the dispatcher, the SOO crew provided the dispatcher with their information. The SOO conductor then proceeded to walk back to the site of the collision, and found 12 cars of his train on their side, with another one damaged. Further examination revealed that 11 of the cars were tank cars containing Anhydrous Ammonia residue, but none were compromised.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

This accident met the criteria prescribed in Title 49 CFR, Part 219, Subpart C, Post Accident Toxicological Testing. The BNSF trainmaster secured the locomotive event recorder and transported the crew to a local hospital for mandatory FRA drug and alcohol screening. The test results were negative.

The BNSF train crew had no idea that their train had struck the adjacent SOO train at the Hoffman Avenue Control Point. The BNSF train crew first became aware of the derailment when their train went into emergency just prior to the intermediate signal. They stopped the train and immediately reported the derailment to the dispatcher. The crew was then instructed by the BNSF trainmaster to secure their train.

An inspection of the data printout from the BNSF leading locomotive event recorder indicated no unusual events related to train handling. An inspection of the data printout from the SOO leading locomotive event recorder indicated no unusual events related to train handling.

The initial derailed car, ETTX 904043, was inspected by an FRA Motive Power and Equipment Inspector. No concerns or exceptions to the FRA Railroad Car Safety Standards were noted. FRA Signal & Train Control and Hazardous Materials Inspectors were also on the site during the investigation and took no exceptions. The anhydrous ammonia tank cars were equipped with shelf-couplers, which was a major cause of the 12 cars tipping over as an entire group.

At the POD, the investigation revealed that there was a difference in cross level within 62 feet in a spiral. This was found after taking field measurements under load. These measurements revealed a deviation of 2 13/16 inches in 46 ½ feet. At the POD, the track was constructed of 136 pound continuous welded rail (CWR). The ballast was extremely fouled with dirt under a light covering of ballast. One side of the track structure was in the shade and the other in direct sunlight. FRA inspectors concluded that throughout the few days prior to this accident, the frost was coming out of the grade and sub-grade, but at a different rate relative to the shaded and sunlight side of the track structure.

The investigation also revealed that the BNSF had operated their geometry test car through the area on October 11, 2004, and issued a yellow tag for cross level concerns at milepost 0.8. The recorded measurement on that date was 2 inches. On December 14, 2004, the BNSF operated their Gage Restraint Measurement System (GRMS) car through the same area and again issued a yellow tag for cross level concerns. The measurement at that time was 2 3/4 inches. An exploded view of the geometry strip chart produced by the BNSF track geometry car during the last test, which occurred on October 11, and the geometry strip chart produced by the GRMS car on December 14, was obtained and reviewed. FRA inspectors also examined a two-color strip chart for cross level which compared the results obtained by the GRMS and the track geometry car. The results showed progressive cross level degradation at the POD.

The BNSF Engineering Instructions Field Manual revised on November 1, 2004, Chapter 5, titled Track Geometry, Section 5.5.4 states "The track inspector or track supervisor must field check Yellow Tag defects on tracks not more than seven days behind the track geometry car or STAR (GRMS) car test. Table 5-1 reflects BNSF track geometry maintenance limits (same as Yellow Tag limits). The track inspector or track supervisor must closely monitor these locations, arrange for repair as soon as possible, and place a slow order when necessary to ensure the safe movement of trains."

During the interview with the track inspector, he stated that there were so many "Red Tags" found during these tests and that the priority was the "Red Tags." The BNSF could not produce a written record showing that the "Yellow Tag" items were inspected and monitored as required. On April 5, 2005, the BNSF track inspector had inspected this area and noted no defective conditions. This was the last carrier track inspection documented prior to the accident on April 6, 2005.

PROBABLE CAUSE

The FRA determined that the probable cause of the accident was a difference in cross level between two points within 62 feet in a spiral, which resulted in an unprotected surface condition, causing the first wheel set of ETTX 904043 to climb over the high rail of the spiral.

#

Form FRA F 6180.39 (11/06) Page 5 of 5